

NR_key_name: D4503E4CA8307600852565D800736C72
SendTo: wljoyce @ phoenix.Princeton.EDU @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R econd/O=ARRB
From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 03/31/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 4:00:44 PM
ComposedDate: 03/31/1998
ComposedDate_Time: 3:59:37 PM
Subject: Re: Bad FBI News
 Bill, we look forward to your visit tomorrow. Your plan sounds good. The meeting went very well on Capitol Hill. I will be preparing an e-mail for the Board shortly. I will include the details there. Best, Jeremy
 To: Jeremy_Gunn @ jfk-arrb.govcc: bcc: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB) From: wljoyce @ phoenix.Princeton.EDU @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date: 03/31/98 02:40:27 PM Subject: Re: Bad FBI News
 Jeremy: This is a truly appalling development, the more so for the truly inchoate nature of the FBI's behavior! I frankly don't believe that David and Jack were told anything of the sort, and, as you point out, they never objected when we stated our goals for the final year. Nor did they ever write us! I hope your meeting with Burton's staff went well today, and that this may motivate the FBI to reconsider their situation... I hope that we might discuss this tomorrow. My plan for tomorrow is to show the flag by visiting with a few staff on a more or less random basis, hang out in what I think of as Jack's office and hope that there may be staff who might want to discuss their job futures, their current work (to help me understand better what they do), and then join me for a very informal bag lunch to discuss whatever... How does that sound? I hope that my visit might contribute in some small way to a growing perception that the Board (or at least parts of it!) cares about them and their work! Let me know when it might be convenient for us to chat as well... See you tomorrow,
 Bill
 At 06:00 PM 3/30/98 -0500, you wrote: >> Carol Keeley called me a few minutes ago and reported, officially, that the FBI's position is that it will not be able to complete its JFK work during this Fiscal Year. We had a cordial 20-minute conversation during which the following points were raised: >>-- I asked why we had not been notified earlier. She responded that she and John Collingwood had told David and Jack, during the time reauthorization was being sought, that the FBI would not be able to finish. I said that I had never been told this, and, in any case, we had sent communications to the FBI that clearly articulated our goal and that the FBI had never contested it. She said that a draft FBI letter had been prepared in October 1997 stating that the FBI would not be able to finish, but that she did not know why it had not been sent. She said that some changes are being made to it now and that it will be sent shortly. >>-- I said that I thought that she should have hired sufficient personnel a few months ago. She responded that it would have taken too long to train them. >>-- She said that they had been considering how the work could be completed after the Review Board goes out of business. >>-- We discussed the Board's ultimate weapon: voting to release unreviewed documents. I said that it was my understanding that the Board was prepared to do this. I said that if this step were to be taken, we would want to vote on the least sensitive records and spend our time reviewing the more sensitive records on a document-by-document basis. She agreed that we should attempt to prioritize. >> Laura
Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: H
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: