NR key name: DAA9CA0471CD0B2D852565E000514968

SendTo: 74774.1276 @ compuserve.com @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 04/08/1998
DisplayDate\_Time: 10:54:04 AM
ComposedDate: 04/08/1998
ComposedDate\_Time: 10:47:52 AM

**Subject:** Re: Documents for investigation

Dear Mr. Hancock: I apologize for the delay in responding to your e-mail message. I want to let you know that your message was received, and in that same week it was shared with other staff members. We carefully review all leads and suggestions, and we appreciate your thoughtful and informative note. Over the years we have looked into the records of the Dallas Police Department, and we continue to do so. Your message has been forwarded to the appropriate staff person. Again, I apologize for the delay in responding to you. I hope you find this information helpful. Sincerely, Eileen A. Sullivan Press and Public Affairs Officer To: Eileen Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.govcc: (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From:74774.1276 @ compuserve.com @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date:03/01/98 10:31:46 PMSubject:Documents for investigation Hello Ms. Sullivan, I was given your name as a potential contact forsubmitting information to the AARB. Hopefully that's correct and this won't be justan annoyance. I would like to bring the Board's attention (if you are not alreadyaware of it) to a set of documents which were, in December of '63, in the possession of the Dallas Police Department. These records were confirmed by a number of Dallas deputy constables who had observed and reviewed them; the deputies went public in a story by Earl Golz in the Dallas Morning Newsin '76. The officers were Deputy Billy Preston, Constable Robie Love (deceased), Deputies Mike Callahan and Ben Cash. The documents were in a box turned over to DA Henry Wade who has apparently been cited as acknowledging them but feeling they were unimportant to the Ruby case and later as notrecalling them at all. The reason for turning the papers over to Wade duringRuby's trial was that they created a firm paper trail tieing Ruby and Oswaldtogether. I have seen a copy of the Dallas Morning News article reproduced in Penn Jones newsletter, in addition, the gist of the documents, contents and implications is summarized by Jim Marrs on page 411 of his book"Crossfire". It seems that Earl Golz or any of the surviving deputies would be worthyof your questioning; obviously if the documents are as described, theywould be immensely valuable and they were in legal custody of the DPD for some time in '63 so they seem to fall under your purview. If nothing else, taking statements from the surviving officers would seem a critical matter of documentation for the public's record of the assassination and it'sinvestigation. I hope you feel these documents are worth some inquiry (and would feel even better if you have already pursued them or the officers involved). Thank you also for any time you have devoted to this message. Larry Hancock 3696 Scotts Mill Run, Duluth Georgia

**Body:** 770-448-1443 (home); 770-890-9200 (work)

recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories: