

NR_key_name: B244CBE243E86465852565F300588974
SendTo: Tracy @ madbbs.com @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 04/27/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 12:09:14 PM
ComposedDate: 04/27/1998
ComposedDate_Time: 12:07:04 PM
Subject: Re: Research of John Armstrong

Thank you for writing. We are aware of Mr. Armstrong's research. The Review Board is working with the IRS to release this information. However, please be advised that tax information is explicitly exempted from the JFK Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992. We are, however, working on this so that it might be released. Sincerely, Eileen Sullivan
To: Eileen_Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.govcc: Tracy @ madbbs.com @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT
From: Eileen_Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.govcc: Tracy @ madbbs.com @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT
Date: 04/22/98 07:49:10 PM
ASTSubject: Research of John Armstrong
Dear Ms. Sullivan, I write to you as a private researcher and concerned citizen regarding an issue pertaining to the tax records of Lee Harvey Oswald. The ARRB may, of course, be aware of the issue but if not, it should be as it is one of profound import. An individual named John Armstrong of Tulsa, Oklahoma, is working on a theory that there were two nearly identical persons using the name of Lee Harvey Oswald. One bit of information he uses to support this allegation revolves around three W-2 tax forms now at the National Archives in the name of Lee Harvey Oswald, one of which is from Pfisterer Dental Labs where Oswald was employed in 1956. The taxpayer ID number near the top of the form is (according to information provided by Armstrong in a JFK newsgroup posting) 72-0444599. The post goes on to say that the current owner of Pfisterer Labs, Linda Faircloth, wrote to the IRS concerning the tax number in 1995. The IRS responded by saying there was no record of this number. However, several numbers from the same sequence were established in January, 1964; therefore, Oswald's number probably was as well. Armstrong has used this to say that the numbers are forged since they were established in 1964 when Oswald was already dead and not 1956. Is there an easy way for the ARRB to definitively put an end to this allegation? I think this is the type of thing that can gain a life of its own if it is not dealt with promptly. Perhaps the ARRB through its dealings with the IRS can settle the issue once and for all, or in fact, is now working to do so. If there is a person at the IRS who I could contact regarding this allegation I would be glad to do so if you could point me in the right direction. Thank you for your time and attention; I look forward to hearing from you. Sincerely, W. Tracy Parnell - att1.htm

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: