

NR_key_name: 40A4D72C0DDF98AC8525661F005A49B0

SendTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo: CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB @ ARRB

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 06/10/1998

DisplayDate_Time: 12:36:17 PM

ComposedDate: 06/10/1998

ComposedDate_Time: 12:26:11 PM

Subject: Re: Lee Harvey Oswald EIN number Issue Dates

THIS IS MOST INTERESTING, BECAUSE THE IRS OFFICIALS TOLD US YESTERDAY THAT DIFFERENT NUMBERS COULD HAVE INDEED been issued for the same employers, or that the Memphis office could have been correct about numbers being "issued" in January 1964, but that there may have been any number of benign reasons for that. The problem with the LHO EINs is that two of the pre-USMC numbers have conflicting issue dates (one from the pre-USMC period, and one from Jan 1964), even though the numbers remain the same for those employers. This lead offers a possible explanation very similar to what we were told yesterday by the IRS officials. I think this lead should be faxed to them by Laura. To: Douglas Horne/ARRB, Laura Denk/ARRBcc: From: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB Date: 06/10/98 11:49:12 AM Subject: RSI just received the attached message from Debra Conway. I have not reviewed it. Please see if it contains any additional useful leads. To: Jeremy_gunn @ jfk-arrrb.govcc: bcc: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB) From: Debra Conway <debra @ jfk-lancer.com> @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date: 06/10/98 07:00:32 AM GMT Subject: For your information Subject: Oswald's Supposed 1964 Employer ID Number [repost] Date: 10 Jun 1998 05:50:00 -0700 From: jmcadams@primenet.com (John McAdams) Organization: PrimeNet Newsgroups: alt.assassination.jfk, alt.conspiracy.jfk

The following was sent to me by an anonymous e-mail correspondent. <Quote on>-----John Armstrong believes that some of LHO's W-2 forms were forged by the FBI because the IRS said the employer ID numbers were issued in 1964. Below are some quotes from IRS annual reports which may offer an inkling of an explanation. Basically, January 1964 is when the Southwest IRS region, of which La. was then a part, began using the "master file." My guess then is that any pre-1964 account which was still active was added to the master file in January, 1964 and that's why an IRS check today might make seem that the id number was "issued" in 1964. The catalog number for these reports was T22.1. Commissioner of Internal Revenue 1964 Annual Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1964-p.xxi- [This is a map of the IRS regions and districts. It shows that the Louisiana district was in the Southwest Region] p. 12 Effective January 1, 1964, as planned, two more regions began processing returns under the master file concept. With new service centers located at Austin, Tex., and Cincinnati, Ohio, serving the Southwest and Central regions, respectively. the expansion of the Business Master File was accomplished without major problems. At the end of the [fiscal] year, the Business Master File contained over 2.3 million tax-payer entities, or accounts, and increase of 95 percent since July 1, 1963.- Commissioner of Internal Revenue 1961 Annual Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1961-p.24-The National Computer Center at Martinsburg, W. Va., due for completion in the fall of 1961, will be the "heart" of Record

Body:

recstat:

DeliveryPriority: N

DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt:

Categories: