

NR_key_name: 64FEF5A8179FD59C85256682004DD4B8
SendTo: CN=Irene Marr/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Michelle Combs/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 09/17/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 10:11:01 AM
ComposedDate: 09/17/1998
ComposedDate_Time: 10:10:07 AM
Subject: Re: Chapter 6 quotes

Type it up and let's include it. To: Michelle Combs/ARRBcc: Laura Denk/ARRBFrom: Irene Marr/ARRBDate: 09/17/98 10:06:43 AMSubject: Re: Chapter 6 quotesI have another quote from Stephanie Fawcett appropriate for the Cuba section. To: Irene Marr/ARRB, Tracy Shycoff/ARRBcc: From: Laura Denk/ARRB Date: 09/17/98 09:57:09 AMSubject: Re: Chapter 6 quotesTo: Michelle Combs/ARRBcc: From: Laura Denk/ARRBDate: 09/17/98 09:56:46 AMSubject: Re: Chapter 6 quotesIn light of your comments, you should start to work immediately on getting quotes that are appropriate for Chapter 6. As I have mentioned before, READ the legislative history and see if you can find things that senators, agencies, etc... said at the hearings on the JFK Act. There are other credible sources, as well. We leave for the printer at 1 p.m. today and with a 50 page chapter, I'd like about 15 quotes.To: Laura Denk/ARRBcc: Irene Marr/ARRB From: Michelle Combs/ARRB Date: 09/17/98 08:51:12 AMSubject: Chapter 6 quotesI just looked at the quotes suggested for Chapter 6. I believe we are giving the wrong impression to quote members of the research community, particularly their conspiracy theories. Since these quotes will be highlighted in sidebars, it will look to the casual reader as if we are giving credence to particular theories or points of view. This will be particularly be evident where the "quotes" contrast with the textual explanation. It will look as if we don't believe the results of our own work. Many people will not bother to read the text thoroughly. They will glance at the sidebars and assume our work supported the theories/ points of view we quote. Given that in the history of this agency, we have avoided making substantive judgments as to the "truth" or "falsehood" of different conspiracies, we should avoid any appearance of substantive evaluation of theories about the assassination in the final report. Similarly, Russ Holmes handled the records on the assassination for years but he was not involved first hand. It is misleading to quote him as an expert on what might or might not have happened in Moscow, Mexico City or anywhere else. Joan Higdon was an administrative person on the Church Committee staff. She generally would not be considered an authority on the issues. It is my opinion that we should use quotes which support our own work. or which document material which is actually discussed in the text.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: