

From a very quick review, it is my understanding of the FBI's description of its understanding of the "additional records and information" proposed guidance that it sees our guidance as limiting us to identifying source information through additional records and info. While that is certainly part of the intent, the provision is much broader than just focused on sources. I'd ask the FBI to revise its statement or to state more clearly that this is just their reading of it. It also tends to suggest by saying the additional records would be "used for interpretive and evaluative purposes" that our inclusion of them in the collection as assassination records would be precluded. Also, though it may be fine to require that requests for additional records are in writing, there may be practical problems. Would this generate a "memo war"? How did the HSCA request information? I'll read it again before we talk tomorrow.