

Response to Doug

1. Eye witness. Autopsy longer with body. Dallas was quick. Makes eye-witness less reliable. Had you written a balanced and objective memorandum, you would have noted this fact.
2. Problems of unreliability of eye-witness generally. Remember Dr. Grossman who remembered Jackie in a white dress. What value are his recollections -- under oath or not -- about the nature of the wounds. Do we trust his descriptions of wounds more or less than his description of the dress. Why. A professional, balanced, and objective memorandum would have addressed such issues and not used terms such as "compel" etc.
3. Scope of the Board's responsibility
4. Sending this memo without the professional or personal courtesy of advising me that this was what you were doing.
5. Your priorities undergo a continuing change. You formerly and fiercely argued that the autopsy photos and Zapruder images were altered. You fiercely argued that Custer's deposition should be taken. You write dramatic memoranda when you do not get your way. This is unprofessional and discourteous.
6. A one-sided recounting of our discussions on this issue does not add to your credibility. Your memo is an unobjective advocacy piece. You seem to be writing the statement for posterity.
7. Memo has gone clearly against my express wish that you not write memoranda that speak in absolutist terms and without balance. In doing this against my express wishes, you have been

Grossly misunderstood the mandate of the Board and have attempted to supplant an interpretation of the mandate that is not consistent with the statute, the legislative history, or the Board's recommendations. It is an act of disloyalty.
8. The only possibility for the apparent discrepancies that you entertain is body alternation. You do not seriously entertain the possibility of error, nor of the fact that their observation of the wounds was immediately after the injury when tissue, blood, etc. may itself have distorted what it was that they believed they saw. How does deposing these witnesses under oath address this possibility? Why did you not consider it?