

ISSUES:

1. Background (As outlined in my summary)
2. Documents at issue - Give them packets
3. Main concern of the Secret Service
4. What I expect them to do here today.
5. Secret Service's strongest arguments.
 - A. Will compromise their current relationship with Mental Health Community
 1. Tide of opinion (Bennet Bill)
 2. They've taken it this far - must continue
 - B. Privacy infringements for those people not related to assassination
(I.e. - Fifth Grader, Etc.)

(Could be that book is published)
6. Secret Service's weakest arguments.
 - A. Not really all that concerned about protecting 6(5) Information cause they would have fought release.
 - B. Right to privacy on those who are deceased.
 - C. Rerelease could retraumatize
 - D. Release doesn't overcome public's need to know.
 - E. Unlikely that our release would cause the Mental Health Community to not provide protective information.

F.

7. Our strongest arguments for release.

A. Truest to our statute.

B. Our job is to get all the records out.

C. We can't say for certain whether something is unrelated to the
Assassination. Again - our job is to get all the records out

and

Then have the researchers take a look

E. The evidence is incredibly important - spans a short time frame

8. Problems with us releasing these documents.

RECOMMENDATIONS (One of two options)

1. Release all names

A. Most true to our statute.

B. No unanswered questions.

C. This evidence is incredibly important and only spans a short time
Frame. Secret Service one of the agencies most criticized by WC

D. Does the Board want to go to President because this is what will happen

E. Weighs towards favorableness - some of these names have already
Been released.

2. Release remaining names in 20 years.
 - A. Compromise position
 - B. Won't result in negative publicity.
 - C. Data is already out there - only thing we gain is names.