

Discussion of Chapter 4
Open Meeting -- July 21, 1998

- Audience
 - Who is the likely audience for Chapter 4?
 - How should Chapter 4 be tailored for its audience?
- Guidance (or lack thereof) from Congress
 - Extent to which Chapter 4 should include a discussion of the lack of guidance from Congress on how to apply standards.
- Review Board's rules
 - Discussion of the concept of the "Common Law" of the Review Board and the organization of the chapter into Issue/Rule/Commentary format.
 - Should Chapter 4 discuss the Review Board's adjudicatory function?
- Government-wide review of records
 - Should Chapter 4 discuss the Review Board's holistic approach to the guidelines? Specifically, should Chapter 4 discuss the ways in which the Review Board gained knowledge or experience in its review of one agency's records that informed its decisions on the records of other agencies?
 - Review Board unique because it had the advantage of hearing arguments from all agencies. For example, once Review Board released information in CIA records, NSA would be unlikely to win by making the same arguments as CIA.
- Need for overviews at the beginning of each section
 - Do we need to introduce each section with an overview? For example, at the beginning of the discussion of the section covering "sources and methods" postponements, would the Board like to have an overview of how the agency dealt with sources and methods?
- Level of detail
 - More? Less?
- Section 6 postponement standards
 - As compared to declassification standards established by the Exec. Order, FOIA, and other agency's declass. standards, did the JFK Act standards serve their intended purpose?
 - To what extent should Chapter 4 evaluate the Section 6 standards?