

## SUGGESTED QUESTIONS FOR THE MEXICO CITY BRIEFING

18 May 1995

- Why are certain officer's pseudonyms not releasable?
- Which names and/or pseudonyms are the most sensitive?
  - Several people, such as Ann Goodpasture, Richard Helms and David Atlee Phillips, are central figures in the assassination investigation, and their backgrounds have been publicized in varying degrees. If their activities are out in the open, why are their pseudos still protected?
- The use of State Department cover for CIA employees is already well known. If no identifiable threat to former CIA employees exists, why must their names/pseudonyms and cover identities continue to be protected?
  - (Example: JW, AW, RS, Bus)
- Why are crypts of certain agents, assets, and sources protected -- particularly those who are deceased or compromised?
- Is the Agency still using the same crypt generation system?
- Are the Mexico City surveillance crypts still being used?
  - If not, when did they cease to be used? Why are they still protected?
- Why are commonly known surveillance methods still being protected?
- Why does the Agency continue to protect:
  - names of stations?
  - location of stations?
- What is the Agency's policy on using alternative language?
  - Can "CIA presence" be used in lieu of revealing the actual location of the station?
- Can alternate language be used when referring to certain technical operations?
- Can "highly sensitive source" be used when dealing with specific liaison issues?

- Can alternate language be used for U.S. government agency crypts?
  
- What is the policy on file identifiers?
  - e.g. Country code identifiers
  - Slug line redactions
    - Why are crypts releasable elsewhere in document, and kept redacted in the slug line?
  - other prefix issues
  
- What are the most sensitive issues involved in the Mexico City records?