

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

F B I

Date: 5/27/64

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: Director, FBI (92-²⁸³⁹~~20839~~)
FROM: SAC, Philadelphia (92-441) (P)
SUBJECT: RUSSELL A. BUFALINO, aka
AR

(OO: Philadelphia)

Re Philadelphia airtels 5/20/64 and 5/22/64 and Bureau airtel 5/26/64.

Philadelphia airtel 5/20/64 recommended that results of interview with SUE NICHOLS be furnished to INS. At the time recommendation was made the subject's paramour, [redacted] (PH 665-C-TE), stated she had no intention of testifying at INS hearing of subject.

OTHER 4

Since that time, however, [redacted] has decided to be a cooperative witness for INS after consulting her attorney, CARLON O'MALLEY, Scranton, Pa., and INS attorney VINCENT SCHIANO. [redacted] stated that SCHIANO has told her that if she cooperates he would limit the questions asked of her and would ask only those questions approved by her attorney.

In general, the questions asked would concern her relationship with subject but no specific questions would be asked by INS concerning the exact nature of the intimacy between [redacted] and the subject.

In addition, [redacted] would be asked concerning her relationship with attorney REMO ALLIO. ALLIO has already testified at INS hearing that he was [redacted] attorney and refused to answer questions concerning his contacts with her.

3 - Bureau (92-²⁸³⁹~~20839~~)
2 - Philadelphia (92-441)
FTH:mab
(5)

REC-97

92-2839-216

MAY 28 1964

C Approved

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

56 JUN 10 1964

PH 92-441

[] denies that ALLIO was her attorney.

OTHER 4

[] gave \$40,000 to ALLIO, ostensibly as an investment in Monet Fashions, which company ceased to operate after she received only \$3,000 of her original investment. INS has indicated that ALLIO never invested this money in Monet Fashions and funneled it off, probably to the subject.

[] fears widespread publicity if she invokes the 5th Amendment at INS hearing and is willing to cooperate with INS if the questions asked of her are restricted.

[] does not want it known that she has been an informant for the FBI.

[], a former PCI of this office and an employee of [], expected to lay groundwork for testimony of [] by testifying concerning nights spent by subject at [] home at Lake Ariel, Pa.

It is not recommended that INS be furnished the details of the interviews conducted with SUBNICHOLS, because if this is done it will set a precedent for possible demand for information furnished Bureau by [] and [].

[] has been most cooperative and voluminous information has been furnished by her to this office. [] did furnish some information in the past concerning subject's relationship with [] and this information was reported under confidential symbol in Philadelphia reports concerning the subject.

The interview of OLIVER TROUP, SR., which was furnished to INS and to the attorney for subject by INS, was in the form of a signed statement and was not given to the Bureau in confidential classification as was the information from [] and [].

Every step will be taken to protect the confidential relationship between [] and the Bureau.

If a demand is made at INS hearing for information furnished by [] and [] and (NICHOLS,) the confidential