



THE BLACK VAULT

This document was obtained from The Black Vault, an online database of declassified government documents. This particular record is housed in the MKULTRA/Mind Control Collection, a compilation of more than 20,000 pages declassified by the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA).

The entire collection is free to download and online at:

<http://mkultra.theblackvault.com>

142

STANDARD FORM NO. 64


COPY NO. 1

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Assistant Director/Scientific Intelligence DATE: 19 May 1952
FROM : Chief, Medical Staff
SUBJECT: Special Interrogations

1. Reference is made to the attached draft, subject "Special Interrogations."
2. At the meeting of 14 May 1952 the Medical Office outlined its position regarding the Artichoke Project and requested that the term "Marco-hypnosis" be used to define those responsibilities within the interim program that are basically medical. This was agreed, and it was with such understanding that further concepts of responsibilities were evolved.
3. The attached draft provides the delegation of authority in regard research to the Medical Office, and lists in its "description" varied techniques pertinent to such research. This would extend the scope of medical responsibilities beyond the previously agreed definition.
4. It was also agreed at the meeting of 14 May 1952 that field activities would be under the command of the chief of field station concerned, provided that instances of this agreement would be referred back to headquarters for final decision. Paragraph 2a(3) does not provide for the qualifying phrase.
5. It is requested that the project draft more closely outline the concept of medical responsibility as originally defined and that Paragraph 2a(3) be changed to provide for the necessary qualifying phrase.

Attach.: 1
1. Project Draft
(19 May 1952)
Distribution:
Orig & 1 Addressee
1 File


--

142

TRANSMITTAL SLIP

May 19, 1952
DATE

TO:

BUILDING

ROOM NO.

REMARKS:

Joe:
I have read the enclosed T3 document and, as [redacted] knows, am in considerably disagreement with it. It seems to me to be a belated attempt to make up for 2 or 3 years confusion and ineffectiveness. I question the security in doing the study in this way, if it is to be done at all. If this is supposed to be covered up as a defensive feasibility study, it's pretty damn transparent. There is a lot of obvious experimental work that needs to be done on a study feasibility even

FROM: begin to get it done.

BUILDING

ROOM NO.

EXTENSION