THIS FILE IS MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE DECLASSIFICATION EFFORTS AND RESEARCH OF:

THE BLACK VAULT

THE BLACK VAULT IS THE LARGEST ONLINE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT / GOVERNMENT RECORD CLEARING HOUSE IN THE WORLD. THE RESEARCH EFFORTS HERE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DECLASSIFICATION OF THOUSANDS OF DOCUMENTS THROUGHOUT THE U.S. GOVERNMENT, AND ALL CAN BE DOWNLOADED BY VISITING:

HTTP://WWW.BLACKVAULT.COM

YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO YOUR FRIENDS, BUT PLEASE KEEP THIS IDENTIFYING IMAGE AT THE TOP OF THE .PDF SO OTHERS CAN DOWNLOAD MORE!



(c) crown copyright



| | ha- | | | a de la companya de l | | | F DEFEN | | 2 | >1R >28 | MOD For (Revi | m 329 sed 2/9 PQ = 10 |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| | Date opened (Date | <u>ol</u> | | / | / | 2 | Attention is drawn to the notes on the inside flap. Enter notes of elated files on bage 2 of this acket | | | STABLISHMENT/U SJACS | | існ |
| 4 | res (| Se LIS CUPE | 216 | /// | O NUT O | SUE | BJECT VHO | n de general de la composition de la co Composition de la composition de la comp | | | | <u></u> |
| | | $\langle \rangle$ | | so. Y | , v | - | FOR INF | | | DCE & REO | NESTI | <u>е</u> 1915 г. |
| 2412 | | 1 | Part | 1 | | | 102 111 | OKMATI | | | 1 | |
| alasi - | Referred to | Date | Min/ Encl | Referred to | Date | Min/ Encl | Referred to | Date | Min/ Encl | Referred to | Date | Min |
| | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | light for the second | <u> </u> |
| | 2 | | 1 | | | | 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | 1.00 |
| | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| | | | | | | | CLOS | D | | | | |
| | | | <u></u> | <u>en den d.</u> Montes de la | | | Mc. Europeration | nion | | an a trainight Trainight | 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1. | |
| | | | | an 2 a thi | | | io to tes n ≤ita | a | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Castified | on :- | | | | |
| | | | | | | - ³ - ₂₅ , | D/DAS Com | <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> |) e | 4/3 PT W | | |
| | | | | | | | Last enclosure | = <u>37</u> | ingen Starten Maria | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 1.13 | | | | |
| 다음의 관련적 14년 - 14년 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | and the second second | | | | | | <u>a di A</u> tta | | | | |
| 1 | a <u>an an a</u> rain. Ta ta | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | allean a The angle | | | | |
| | | | | | <u> - 985</u> 9725. | n Older S. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | an an the second se Second second | | | |
| Support 1 | FOR DRO USE ON | ILY | | | | | | | | | | |
| Υ. | 1st Review date | | | | | S | ection 40 | | 1997 P.10 | | | |
| i Uzrazie | digani | Yanging | | | | | | | | 44.2 | 1.00 | |
| Y. Sala | | | | | | 0.513.11 | le Ref: DAS/64/3 | | | | 1027-1 | |
| 4 | 2nd Review date | | | PA ACTION (MOD Form 262) must be comple | | P | art: V | RCU | 00013 ⁻ | 1066 T ® | | |

÷

Registered File Disposal Form

| regia | stered i ne bisp | 050110 | | | | | | | | (Hevi | sed 10/97 |
|------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|
| FILETIT | E: (Main Heading - Secondary I "UGS i' fublic chereston for information | U . | , · | · | <u>Γ</u> | | Referen (Prefix a D Part: | ind Numb | er): HS /64 | 4/3 | |
| PROTEC | TIVE MARKING (including cave | ats & descriptors | i): | REST | UNC | | | | | | |
| Date of la | ist enclosure: 18 | WLY . | 2001 | | Date closed: | - | 857 | 14 | 200 | • (| |
| PART 1. | DISPOSAL SCHEDULE REC (To be completed when the file Destroy after | vears years | | Reviewer's | 1 1st review | Date | | | | rd Destructio | in Date |
| PART 2. | BRANCH REVIEW (To be completed not later than (Delete as appropriate) a. Of no further administrati and Codeword material canno b. (i) To be retained for_ | ve value and not t be destroyed lo | worthy of j cally and m | permanent p nust be forwa | reservation. DE inded to CS(RM |)). | | - | | | V L Vetesti |
| | LEGAL | | | | E POLICY + OF | | Ò | | | - | |
| | CONTRACTUAL | | | ORIGINA | | PAPERS | | | | | |
| | FINANCE/AUDIT | | | MAJOR | EQUIPMENT PI | ROJECT | | ٢ | | | 1 |
| | DIRECTORATE POLICY | \square | | OTHER (| Specify) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

MOD Form 262F

12

| (ii) Key enclosures wh | ich support the recommendation are: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|--|---|---|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (iii) At the end of the s | pecified retention period the file is to b | e: | |
| Destroyed | | | |
| Considered by CS(RM) for permanent preservation | or | v | |
| c. Of no further administrat | ive value but worthy of consideration | by CS(RM) for permanent preservation. |] |
| ection 40 | | PART 4 DESTRUCTION CERTIFICATE It is certified that the specified file has been destroyed. | |
| | | Signature: | |
| (Block Ca rade/Rank: D | | Name:(Block Capitals) | |
| ot below HEO/equivalent) | ate: CEFFENCE | Grade/Rank: Date: | - |
| anch Title and Full Address: | AVOT | Witnessed by (TOP SECRET* and SECRET only) | |
| MINIS 4 0AS 4 | ale: Certification of the second seco | Signature: | |
| POU MAI | Genting Manading Nation Michael Michae | Name:(Block Capitals) | |
| No: | ONDO | Grade/Rank: Date: 1(FOR CS(RM) USE ONLY) | |

Produced by Ministry of Defence, CS (Pr) 2. Tel. 0117 9376256

| ENC NO | NAME | DATE RECD | DATE REPLIED | CODE REQUEST | ANSWERED WITHIN 20 WORKING DAYS? | OA LETTER? | SOURCE OF CODE REQT | OPS LEAFLET USED? |
|-----------|------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|---|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Section 40 | 15/2 /oj | No reply Sent | No | YES | No | | |
| 2 | _ | 08/02/01 | 08/02/01 | No | YES | No | | 11 a |
| 3 | _ | 16/01/01 | 13/02/01 | NO | YES | \sim_{\circ} | | 1 |
| 4 | _ | 23/01/01 | 14/02/01 | NO | YEJ | YES | | |
| 5 | _ | 06/02/01 | 16/02/01 | No | YES | No | | |
| 6 | _ | 13/02/01 | 08/05/01 | No | YES | No | | |
| 7 | ~ | 26/02/0) | Islozla; | No | YES | NO | | |
| 5/2 | _ | 02/03/03 | 03/03/01 | No | No reply sent | NO | | |
| 8 | _ | 27/02/01 | | NO | YES | No | | |
| 9 | - | 20/02/01 | 20/03/01 | NO | YES | NO | | |
| 10 | - | 14/02/08 | 22/03/01 | NO | YES | No | ·'r | |
| 11 | _ | 15/02/01 | 28/03/01 | NO | Yej | YES | | |
| 12 | | 21/05/61 | 28/03/01 | No | YES | No | | |
| 13 | | 15/02/01 | zolozloj | NO | VES | NO | | |
| 14 | | 20/03/01 | 29/03/01 | NO | YES | NO | | |

.

. .

•. • • • •

× - ↓ - ↓ - ↓ - ↓

5

ų.

| | ENC NAME | | DATE RECD | DATE REPLIED | CODE REQUEST | ANSWERED WITHIN 20 WORKING DAYS? | OA LETTER? | SOURCE OF CODE REQT | OPS LEAFLET USED? |
|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | 15 Section 4 | 10 | 3/0101 | 23/04/01 | No | YES | No | | |
| | 16 | | 18/9/01 | 20/00/01 | No | YES * | NO | | |
| | 17 | | 02/04/01 | 20/04/01 | NO | YES | NO | | |
| | 18 | | 28/03/01 | 20/04/01 | NO | YES | No | | 8. |
| | 19 | | 05/04/01 | 24/09/01 | No | YES | No | | |
| | 20 | | | 27/04/01 | NO | YES | No | i | |
| | 2) | | 01/00/01 | 30/04/0 | NO | YES | NO | | |
| | 22 | | 23/09/01 | 23/05/01 | NO | VES | YEJ DURY | | |
| Eracios or E | 23 | | 5/05/01 | 08/05/01 | NO | YES | No | | |
| 2 PERO ~ - | 24 | | 09/05/0 | 07/06/01 | NO | YES | YES | | |
| , <i>y</i> . K | 25 | | 29/05/01 | 22/06/01 | . No | YES | NO | | |
| | 26 | | 08/06/01 | 26/06/01 | NO | YES | NO | | |
| +, _ | 25/1 | | -5V0501 | 25/06/01 | ND | YES | NO | | |
| + | 27 | | 07/06/01 | 28/66/01 | No | YES | YES | | х. х. |
| | 28 | | 03/07/01 | 04/07/01 | NOI | YES | NO | | |
| peaces and reaces and refails and | to Answared | 6 yr Flying Complainty | 611 | | / | · · · · · | | | |

| | | | | | Ч , I | 1 | | | | | r |
|------------------|-----------|------------|---|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | ENC NO | NAME | | | DATE RECD | DATE REPLIED | CODE REQUEST | ANSWERED WITHIN 20 WORKING DAYS? | OA LETTER? | SOURCE OF CODE REQT | OPS LEAFLET USED? |
| | ,29 | Section 40 | | | 18/06/01 | 05/07/01 | No | YES | No | | |
| Moveo | 30 | | | | 05/07/01 | 09/07/01 | NG | YES | No | | Ţ |
| | 31 | | | | 18/06/01 | 10/07/01 | NO | XEJ | YES | | |
| SEE Flattwitt | 32 | | | | 23/06/01 | 11/07/01 | No | YES | NO | | |
| * | 33 | | | | 09/07/01 | 11/07/01 | No | YES | No | | |
| 64/2/1244 | _ | | | | 05/07/01 | 11/07/01 | NO | YES | NO | | |
| 10.1[s[ump | 35 | | | | 18/05/01 | 12/07/01 | NO | YES | NO | | * |
| | 36 | | | | 18/06/01 | 18/07/01 | NO | YES | YES | | |
| PURKE | 37 | | | | 13+21 107/01 | 29/07/01 | NO | YES | NO | | |
| 64/3/10946 | 1 | | | - | 09/07/01 | 1 1 | NO | YES | NO | | |
| - 07/3/8594 | 22/1 | | | | 03/05/01 | 1 1 | 20 | YES | NO | | |
| | | | | | | ¥ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | F | | | | | | | | |

i.

~ji

. .

71

3

114

1

¥

ę

· · · · ·

ENCLOSURE 37 IS PLACED ON 64/3/15 PT A E7 Section 40



Thank you for your fax dated 9 July 2001. As requested, please find enclosed a number of papers on the Rendlesham Forest incident that have recently been released to Lord Hill-Norton and a member of the public under the Code of Practice on Access of Government Information. Some have been sanitised to protect the privacy of those who have corresponded with the Ministry of Defence.









9th July 2001



I am writing to you under the terms of the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information to request that you send me copies of all papers that the MOD holds on the Rendlesham Forest UFO sighting.

I understand that Baroness Symons recently sent copies of this material to Lord Hill-Norton, and that the MOD has also released it to a member of the public.

I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.





From:<mark>Section 40</mark> Directorate of Air Staff 4a1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

| \sim | 8 |
|--------|----|
|) 1 | |
| \sim | 11 |
| 0 | 11 |
| | |
| | |

| Telephone | (Direct dial) |
|-----------|---------------|
| | (Switchboard) |
| | (Fax) |
| | (GTN) |

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

| Section 40 |
|-------------------------------|
| Knottingley West Yorkshire |
| Section 40 |

Your Reference D/DAS/64/3 Date 18 July 2001



Thank you for your letter of 15 June, addressed to the Secretary of State for Defence, concerning 'unidentified flying object'. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs' and I have been asked to reply.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

In your letter you asked whether the Secretary of State for Defence would "concede that UK airspace has been penetrated by craft whose design and performance far exceed current state of the art aircraft design", a statement you are making in light of various alleged 'UFO' sightings. I can assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time "picture" of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft). The MOD is not aware of any incursions in to UK airspace by such craft as you describe.

You mention a number of incidents where you believe there is evidence of UK airspace being penetrated by unknown craft. Those reports listed at (iv) to (vi) in your letter are contained in files which are open for public viewing at the Public Record Office at Kew, Richmond. If you wish to view them the address is as follows. We do not hold any other information on these incidents.

Public Records Office Ruskin Avenue Kew Richmond Surrey TW9 4DU

Tel: 020 8876 3444 Fax: 020 8878 8905

With regard to those reports mentioned at (i) to (iii), these are not yet open for public viewing so I will address these individually.

(i) When the Ministry of Defence received Lieutenant Colonal Halt's memorandum concerning the events which are alleged to have occurred at Rendlesham Forest/RAF Woodbridge in December 1980, all available substantiated evidence was looked at in the usual manner by those within the Ministry of Defence and the RAF with responsibility for air defence matters. The judgement was that there was no indication that a breach of the United Kingdom's air defences had occurred on the nights in question. As there was no evidence to substantiate an event of defence concern no further investigation into the matter was necessary. Although a number of allegations have subsequently been made about these reported events, nothing has emerged over the last 20 years which has given us reason to believe that the original assessment made by this Department was incorrect.

(ii) The MOD received several reports about lights seen in various locations and at various times during the early hours of the 31st March 1993. Enquiries were made at the time, but these proved to be inconclusive.

(iii) An airmiss was reported by two British Airways pilots on an aircraft travelling to Manchester Airport on 6 January 1995. As a matter of routine the Ministry of Defence was notified by the Civil Aviation Authority about the pilots' report shortly after the incident occurred. At the time the matter was discussed with Departmental air defence experts who confirmed that they were not aware of anything which would indicate a matter of defence relevance associated with the sighting. Furthermore there was no evidence to suggest that the UK's air defence had been compromised. As is usual with airmiss incidents involving civil aircraft, the CAA Joint Airmiss Working Party (which is a joint Civil/Military body which has complete access to all sources of civil and military information available) investigated the pilots' report. Airmiss Report 2/95 was a result of their findings. The Group were not able to determine precisely what the pilots saw, but ruled out any military aircraft activity. However there is no suggestion in the report that what the pilots observed was extraterrestrial in origin. Without any evidence to suggest that the incident was of defence relevance MOD interest in this sighting has long since concluded.

Finally, I must say that MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.





VE-Mais

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

TO MAS(Sec) 3

. . ?

| Ref No | 2961 | _/2001 |
|--------|--------|--------|
| Date | 18/6/0 | 1 |

The Secretary of State / _____ has received the attached letter from a member of the public. This office has not acknowledged it.

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly; your reply should therefore be sent within 20 working days of receipt in this branch. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 223/99, further information is available from DG Info on extensior Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of **requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice** including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

MINISTERIAL CORRESPONDENCE UNIT Section 40

| Demor | Mailbox Listing | Secure Connection ministers.demon.co.u |
|--|---|---|
| Message: | e View headers | |
| Originally from: | Section 40 | Originally to: public |
| Date: | Fri, 15 Jun 2001 01:40:08 +0100 | |
| Subject: F.A.O Geo | ff Hoon | |
| 3 | part message in MIME format. | |
| Content-Type: te charset= | _000_0012_01C0F53C.1C3126C0 xt/plain; "iso-8859-1" -Encoding: quoted-printable | |
| | n and i hope you can give me a ite a specific question as you reply. | |
| Airspace has been exceed currents context of such Halt relating to correspondence f 30/31 March 1993 Ringways Inciden referring to an with a ship's ect anomalous radar and (vi) AIR 16/ | tary of State for Defence will n penetrated by craft whose de tate of the art aircraft desig reports as (i) submitted by Li events in Rendlesham forest i rom Air Secretariat 2al relati (iii)Joint Airmiss (P) 2/95 r t of January 1995 (iv) AIR 20/ object at 50000ft that gave a ho (V)AIR 20/9320, DDI (Tech)/ return with hovering and unusu 1199 relating to the testimony 9 Squadron, RAF in September 1 | esign and performance far = m when taken in the = isutenant Colonel Charles = in December 1980 (ii) = .ng to the incidents of = relating to The Manchester = '9321, DDI (Tech)/c.290/3/ = radar return consistent = 'S290 referring to an = al acceleration capability = y of Flight Lieutenant = |
| Section 40 Knottingley West Yorkshire Section 40 i also appreciat involved | e that there is obviously nati | ional security issues = |
| know for my "mys- | d with any "group" of any sort elf" that is all the subject matter with anybo | |
| Content-Type: te charset= | _000_0012_01C0F53C.1C3126C0 xt/html; "iso-8859-1" -Encoding: quoted-printable | |
| <html><head> <meta http-equiv<br=""/>charset=3Disc-88</head></html> | UBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Tr =3DContent-Type content=3D"tex 59-1"> "MSHTML 5.50.4522.1800" name=3 | st/html; = |

https://web.mail.demon.net/cgi-bin/webmail.cgi

15/06/01



Thank you for your recent letter concerning sightings of 'unidentified flying objects' from the Wirral area of Merseyside. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to "UFOs".

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance, namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential-threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

Finally, the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

I hope this is helpful and explains our position.

Yours sinced Section 4

., 1. Section 40 Birthenhead Merseyside Section 40 Hear Sir Miss I am Wrighting to inform yer about Sightings of U.S. B's over a period of sure years, all of which were over the wirral area, of Messeyside (Buttenhead). The people on these Ships are able to communicate with telepathy. Such incase you think I Could of mistaken these Sightings with airoplands or planets, Most of these Ships teme with in a stone throw when from me. I Scal thought Id report the Sighting 5 you can book into it. Is you need to know any-

thing else, please contact me on this number Section 40 hank you ris Sincerly Sect

POSTCOD PLEASE USE Y mas main Blog ROOM 4199 linistres of depende Y nopro



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

| - | ~ | |
|----|----|---|
| ٢. | 21 | 1 |
| | 7- | - |
| 5 | / | 1 |
| | | |

| C) | Telephone | (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) | 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40 |
|---|---------------|--|--|
| Section 40 Richmond North Yorkshire Section 40 | Our R D/DA | Reference eference S/64/3 ly 2001 | |



Thank you for your letter of 18 June addressed to the Prime Minister regarding 'unidentified flying objects'. Your letter has been passed to the Ministry of Defence and this office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence of this nature. I have been asked to reply.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance, namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. I should add that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force and the Ministry of Defence remains vigilant for any potential threat. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service.

With regard to the four points which you asked the Prime Minister to support, I will address these in the same order as your letter.

1. To hold open, comprehensive, secrecy-free hearings to take military/ agency witness testimony on events and evidence relating to an Extraterrestrial presence on and around Earth.

Anyone, whether they are a member of the public or in the Armed Forces is able to report a sighting to the Ministry of Defence and their report will be examined in light of our defence interest as detailed above. There is therefore no need to hold 'hearings to take witness testimony' on these events.

2. To hold open hearings on advanced energy and propulsion systems, relating to extraterrestrial phenomena that, when publicly released, will provide solutions to global environmental challenges. These technologies which may now be sequestered behind the National Security Act.

To date the Ministry of Defence knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We are therefore unable to comment on 'advanced energy and propulsion systems' which we do not know exist.

3. To enact legislation which will ban all spaced-based weapons, and to enact and implement international treaty and legal standards prohibiting the weaponisation of space.

The United Kingdom's position on the military use of space is clear. The Outer Space Treaty places some important limitations on military activity in space, prohibiting the deployment of weapons of mass destruction and military activity on the moon and other celestial bodies. But we do not wish to see a general prohibition on the military use of space. For example, the 1998 Strategic Defence Review confirmed a continuing need for secure satellite communications for the armed forces. We recognise, however, there are issues that countries wish to discuss on space and we support the further consideration of Outer Space by the Conference on Disarmament in Geneva to identify whether there are substantive issues on which further work could be done.

4. To enact comprehensive legislation to research, develop and explore space peacefully and co-operatively with all cultures on Earth and in space.

I have explained above our position on the military use of space. While we remain open minded, we know of no evidence to substantiate the existence of extraterrestrial lifeforms and therefore are unable to comment on our future co-operation with these alleged beings.

Finally, I must say that the Ministry of Defence has no expertise or role in respect of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We are aware that many people have claimed to have experienced various phenomena and we remain open-minded.



DAS 4 - 5 JUL 2001

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To

B

C

Ref No 5330 /2001 Date

The attached letter, which the Prime Minister has received, has been forwarded to this Department for official action. All correspondence is to be answered within 20 working days on receipt in this Branch. No 10's letter codes are as follows:

The letter has been acknowledged by No 10.

- The letter has been acknowledged by No 10. Please consider whether there is anything which can usefully be said to the correspondence and action accordingly.
 - No acknowledgement has been sent. In this case, however, it is obviously important that both an acknowledgement and a full reply are sent.

Unless specifically asked to do so, there is no need for you to copy your replies to this office.

A new Open Government Code of Practice came into force on January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 223/99 further information is available from DG Info on extension Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep record of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice including details of the correspondence and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be based on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

MINISTERIAL CORRESPONDENCE UNIT

Section 40

10 tend. Concerns about UFO Success Section 40 Perchmond North Yorkshite. Section 40 2 0 JUN 2001 Dear Lrine Minister Once again the people have wated for you and your provisionent to run are country. With the position of e beautiship tomer great responsibility both with the short term and long term problems that we all face. The reason I am writing to you involve the description project. Dr. Staten Gener M.D. the people to send to their senation or representative affect what they save wanting done. The letter makes very wreeshing reacher more it is very important to everyone, around the world Plese take your time to raid it and think about what the are sayin As your Mr. Prime Minister are the chosen Leader of are Country of We come to you to ask the question to other London around the work that the people of this country need to know the Next month in July what better an opportunity to and the many when could bring about these changes when Mr President Bush come over here to England to Stay with you at Chequers on A is interested that this disclosence project is a cauch to happen and with the state of the world and is at the morent. Something needs to be done now not hatte

Do M. and asking you as are prime minister of this County: marke a difference inregard to are Juture and to the Juture of the planet.

I hope you are the man you " claim to be and you ask Mr Broughants Bush what he is going to do about the disdoseure project, and "tell him that the people need to know the teath Now so we can do something about are juture. A. Time Leader Lead from the front and put his message

people can do no other but to aboy.

Egt this leader please be you Mr Prime Minister Thank you for taking the time to rando this Letter. Techope we can count on you

Thank you again ?. yours Sincerely

Section 40

The Disclosure Project web site: WWW. Jisclosure project. org/

THE DISCLOSURE PROJECT OPEN HEARINGS & U.S. CONGRESSIONAL ACTION

,

As you know, the recorded testimony of scores of military, government and other witnesses to Unidentified Flying Objects and Extraterrestrial events and projects from around the world establishes the existence of a UFO/Extraterrestrial presence on and around Earth. This recorded testimony consists of dozens of first-hand, often top-secret witnesses to UFO and Extraterrestrial events, internal UFO-related government projects and covert activities, space-based weapons programs, and covert, reverse-engineered energy and propulsion system projects. The technologies that are of an Extraterrestrial origin, when publicly released within a planned transition period, will provide solutions to global environmental and security challenges.

These numerous recorded witnesses constitute only a small portion of a vast pool of identified present or former military, intelligence, corporate, aviator, flight control, law enforcement officers, scientists and other witnesses, who will come forward when subpoenaed to testify at Congressional hearings. Without a grant of immunity releasing them from their security oaths, many such unimpeachable witnesses fear to speak out.

The legislation to ban space-based weapons will prohibit acts of war against Extraterrestrial civilizations, which have proven to be concerned about our nuclear and weapons industry, but also have proven they are not to be hostile. The comprehensive legislation will transform the terrestrial war industry into a world cooperative military (without space weapons), civil, and commercial space industry. This will provide unprecedented benefits and opportunities to all on Earth and in space.

Our generation of voters and leaders are responsible for this once in a lifetime decision to ban space-based weapons so that we will be permitted to join the peaceful travelers in the universe.

Therefore, the undersigned are asking that you sponsor, enact and actively support each of the following:

- To hold open, comprehensive, secrecy-free hearings to take military/agency witness testimony on events and evidence relating to an Extraterrestrial presence on and around Earth.
- To hold open hearings on advanced energy and propulsion systems, relating to extraterrestrial phenomena that, when publicly released, will provide solutions to global environmental challenges. These technologies which may now be sequestered behind the National Security Act.

- To enact legislation which will ban all space-based weapons, and to enact and implement international treaty and legal standards prohibiting the weaponization of space.
- To enact comprehensive legislation to research, develop and explore space peacefully and cooperatively with all cultures on Earth and in space.

Thank you for your prompt attention to my concerns.

Sincerely yours,

NAME & SIGNATURE ADDRESS



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB



Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

| Section 40 | | |
|---|----|---|
| Section 40 | ι. | Your Reference |
| Wellingborough Northants Section 40 | | Our Reference D/DAS/64/3 Date 11 July 2001 |



Thank you for your letter of 6 July.

I can confirm that there is no evidence of any connection between the alleged sightings of black panthers in Rutland or in the grounds of Sandringham House, and incursions into UK airspace by unauthorised or hostile air activity. Neverthelesss, your letter has been placed on our files.

Yours sincerely,



• m O D whitehall london. ction 4 Wathands Section 40 6/JUL42001 Section 40 Dear Black pantless 1. e. Brooch And strong VFO Association & pantities AS RAF Cofference and Rutland HAD lots of sightings of Black pantles Newspaper you hold in my file of black parther Story of grounds at Sandlinghem. P.S. The wither to Hm the Queen and sent your lefter copy mil Section 40

Б. Т

Section 40

Northonis

Section 40

4

 \sim

7

Section 40

Directorate of All staff (a) Ministry of Defonce

Room 8245 Main Boilding Whitekall

Londono CWIA 2HB





Thank you for your letter of 23 June concerning your interest in joining this Department.

First, it might help if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. I should add that the integrity of the UK's airspace, in peacetime, is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the RAF. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time "picture" of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft).

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to working in this Department, staff are not recruited specifically to work here. MOD civil servants generally move around the Department and undertake duties in a wide variety of areas. Staff with the appropriate experience to carry out the broad range of tasks associated with general RAF matters may be posted to serve in Directorate of Air Staff (DAS). Should you wish to become a MOD civil servant you may wish to look for job vacancies at your local employment office. However, I should emphasise that joining the Civil Service as a MOD employee would be no guarantee of a posting to DAS.

Finally, you may wish to be aware that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Yours sincerely,



Secretariat Air Staff (Room: 8249) MoD Main Building, Whitehall London, SW1A 2HB

23 June 2001



CV enclosed

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am a graduate of The University of Section with a First Class Masters Degree. Motivated and hard working, I seek a career in a field that interests me and write to inquire about the possibility of working for the MoD office that investigates unidentified flying objects.

One of my interests is Twentieth Century history with an emphasis on technology, particularly advances in aviation, rocketry and astronautics. A few years ago, while searching in the Public Records Office for firsthand recollections of Meteor Jet exercises, I was inadvertently directed to the Air Ministry and MoD's UFOrelated files dating from the 1950's and 60's (more recent files are not yet accessible). I found these documents to be a fascinating and enjoyable read. I was very impressed by the professional and courteous approach taken by staff when dealing with the public, and how those investigating a report could call upon the services and expertise of diverse civilian and military resources and institutions. Of course, communications and data management has changed beyond all recognition since then, and today you must be processing more enquiries in less time and with greater accuracy.

Even though there was far less air traffic and fewer satellites at that time, I would imagine that there are many similarities between recent UFO reports and the observations described to S6 S4air and DS8 during the Sixties. I am intrigued to know in what ways the MoD's methods of investigation have been required to evolve in lieu of technological developments. An array of devices and aircraft of varying size, shape and manoeuvrability are operational in the sky today; balloons (scientific, meteorological), airships (often internally illuminated), helicopters, flying wings/deltas, UAV and VTOL vehicles are among the contraptions that past and present have been misreported as unidentified or somehow anomalous by people of all backgrounds. Celestial phenomena (meteors, Venus, Jupiter), satellites, flare drops, and things as innocent as kites and birds also account for many of the UFO reports, a tiny fraction of which will remain unidentified mainly due to insufficient information provided by witnesses.

I have read a few of what are apparently key books on the subject of unidentified flying objects and have seen some of the sensationalist documentaries that occasionally appear on television. Both rarely offer anything of academic substance and predictably avoid emphasising the consistently identifiable nature of UFO's. I realise that folklore flourishes around this topic, and that a so-called 'Ufology' while essentially harmless, is fraught with anecdote, speculation and lunatic elements (all now fully catered for on the Internet). I can also see, while not a fan of the genre myself, that science fiction has played a large part in the dissemination of the flying saucer mythology, no doubt with some relative effect upon the volume and repeat-correspondents; a stigma surrounds unidentified flying objects, the phrase itself having come to mean different things to different people. It is just for this reason that I would like to become involved with the subject at the MOD, where informed staff investigate prosaic, if unusual, aerial phenomena in a systematic and rational manner.

I must stress that I am in no way affiliated with any flying saucer 'organisations' or members thereof, nor do I subscribe to periodicals in this vein. I certainly do not believe that any evidence exists or has ever existed to support a hypothesis that Earth is visited by extraterrestrials. I have a sensible overview of the subject and know something of how it has been administered by the MoD in the past. I feel that I have the genuine interest, willingness to learn and intelligence required to contribute to your department, which I would be delighted to work for in any capacity, for example as a graduate trainee, intern or general assistant.

Yours faithfully,







Skills derived from University studies: Balancing creative and analytical thinking with academic rigour. Research (often archival), assimilating source material for written or verbal discussion. Conducting illustrated presentations to large groups. Working to deadlines, independently or as part of a team.

Dissertation: I considered the artistic and socio-cultural significance of London Underground's Inter-War design approach as it expanded into the suburbs, relating this to contemporaneous continental developments. A radical and thoughtfully orchestrated campaign of design standardisation: new buildings and equipment, a new typeface, innovative advertising posters and slogans, all came together to create a stylistically unified, self-publicising corporate identity.



Section 40

Work Experience (examples of temporary and full-time positions)



Working knowledge of Windows word processing, E-Mail and Internet



Secretariat Air Staff (Room: 8249) MoD Main Building, Whitehall London, SW1A 2HB







Thank you for your letter of 6 June, addressed to the Prime Minister, regarding 'unidentified flying objects'. Your letter has been passed to the Ministry of Defence and this office is the focal point within the MOD for correspondence of this nature. I have been asked to reply.

As you will know from our previous correspondence, the Ministry of Defence examines any reports passed on from members of the public of sightings that they cannot themselves identify solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance. Our only concern is whether there is any evidence that UK airspace has been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. I should add that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous policing of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force and any threat to the UK Air Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time.

You say in your letter that the MOD can offer no explanation for your particular sightings. We are aware that many people see various phenomena in the sky which they cannot identify. However, if there is no evidence of a potential threat to the UK from an external military source, we do not attempt to identify what might have been seen. We believe that rational explanations such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena could be found for these sightings if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this service.

As Dr Moonie explained in his letter to your MP, Mr Alan Williams MP, in March, the MOD has no expertise or role in respect of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We remain open-minded but to date we know of no evidence to substantiate the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Yours sincerely,





TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS4 Sec

Ref No 4963 /2001

1 IFO

Date 18(6(01

The Secretary of State / _____ has received the attached letter from a member of the public. This office has not acknowledged it.

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly; your reply should therefore be sent within 20 working days of receipt in this branch. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 223/99, further information is available from DG Info on extension Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of **requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice** including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.





From: Section Applectorate of Air Staff 4a, Room 8245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

| hone | (Direct dial) | | |
|------|---------------|--|--|
| | (Switchboard) | | |
| | (Fax) | | |

Telep

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40



Thank you for your letters dated 19 and 30 April, the latter addressed to Dr Moonie and passed to this office for reply as we are the focal point for correspondence of this nature.

Firstly, you say that you have checked with various Universities etc... in the area and your enquiries have confirmed that the objects you saw, but could not identify, in the sky over Swansea in February were not weather balloons. As Dr Moonie explained in his letter to Mr Alan Williams MP in March, the Ministry of Defence's interest in UFO sightings is limited to whether there is any evidence that UK airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the UK from an external military source, we do not positively identify what was seen. The mention of weather balloons in Dr Moonie's previous letter was therefore only a suggestion of what might have been seen, not confirmation of what was actually seen.

In view of your concern you may wish to be aware that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time "picture" of UK airspace. Any threat to the Air Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft).

In your letter of 19 April, you asked why the MOD does not keep a database of video, photographic and radar evidence. Members of the public do occasionally send us photographs or videos of objects in the sky which they have been unable to identify. These are usually of lights at night for which there could be rational explanations, such as aircraft lights. It is not the function of the MOD to provide an aerial identification service and therefore, there is no reason for us to keep a database of this material. Once examined, these videos/photographs are either placed on our files with the relevant correspondence, or returned to the sender if requested.

You also mentioned a book that you recently borrowed from your local library by Mr Nick Pope. Mr Pope left this office (previously entitled Sec(AS) 2) several years ago. The views expressed by Mr Pope on the subject of "UFOs" are entirely his own personal opinions and do not represent nor reflect those of the MOD.
Finally, in your letter of 30 April, you report a further sighting of these objects on 29 April. I can confirm that we have received no other reports from anywhere in the United Kingdom for that date. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that on 29 April the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

TION I hope this is helpful. F.S. If you were not in this photo, my bront I amon would be visible, which is where the eye- witnessus Hours Sinceret Section 40 were standing when they spotted the objects. 53 MISC CC 6/6/2001/-Two reliable eye- witnesses viewed these objects flying against the wind, slightly to the North of the Phoenix centre, (which you visited early March); in clear weather conditions at 4.30 p.m. I have already investigated the possibility of weather balloons and none are released in this area. A couple of years back acquaintance of my brother-inlaw was alarmed by a recurring early morning sighting of a hovering object, and managed to capture this on video - If I thought you would really sit down and watch this, I would spend some of my Benefit and get Because the M.O.D. can offer no explanation, I wrote to you a copy. Jack Straw, wondering if experimental police aerial surveillance equipment might be patrolling the Swansea skies. He did not reply One civil servant deals with all UFO reports from the public. I find this person's arrogance very Sincerely, disquieting -

This enclosure (30) has been placed on 0/045 (00-100), 64/3/12 PtA €1

Enclosure (29) moved to 64/3 AW Eq

ENCLOSURE 28 NOW PLACED ON 64/3 PT U E 6 Section 40



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

| Telephone | (Direct dial) | 020 7218 2140 |
|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) | 020 7218 9000 Section |

1

Section 40

Milwaukie OR 97222-2435 USA

Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS/64/3 Date 2 July 2001



I wrote to you on 25 June, in reply to your letter of 23 April, concerning an alleged sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' near RAF Bentwaters, Suffolk in January 1995.

I have now had an opportunity to examine our files of 'UFO' sightings and correspondence with the public, and I can confirm that the Ministry of Defence did not receive any sighting reports in Suffolk in January 1995. I am therefore unable to assist further with your enquiries.





From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

Te

| S. 80 | 1.00 | 1 |
|--------|------|---------|
| フ | 7 | |
| \sim | 1 | • |
| 1 | 1) | į z |
| | 2 | 27 1 |

| lephone | (Direct dial) | - 1 |
|--------------|---------------|-----|
| - 1 . | (Switchboard) | |
| | (Fax) | C |
| | (GTN) | C |
| | | |

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

| Section 40 | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Newport Pagnell Buckinghamshire | |
| Section 40 | |

Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS/64/3 Date 28 June 2001



Thank you for your letter of 22 May addressed to the Prime Minister regarding 'unidentified flying objects'. Your letter has been passed to the Ministry of Defence and this office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence of this nature. I have been asked to reply.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. I should add that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force and the Ministry of Defence remains vigilant for any potential threat. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service.

With regard to the four points which you asked the Prime Minister to support, I will address these in the same order as your letter.

1. To hold open, comprehensive, secrecy-free hearings to take military/ agency witness testimony on events and evidence relating to an Extraterrestrial presence on and around Earth.

Anyone, whether they are a member of the public or in the Armed Forces is able to report a sighting to the Ministry of Defence and their report will be examined in light of our defence interest as detailed above. There is therefore no need to hold 'hearings to take witness testimony' on these events.

2. To hold open hearings on advanced energy and propulsion systems, relating to extraterrestrial phenomena that, when publicly released, will provide solutions to global environmental challenges. These technologies which may now be sequestered behind the National Security Act.

To date the Ministry of Defence knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We are therefore unable to comment on 'advanced energy and propulsion systems' which we do not know exist.

3. To enact legislation which will ban all spaced-based weapons, and to enact and implement international treaty and legal standards prohibiting the weaponisation of space.

The United Kingdom's position on the military use of space is clear. The Outer Space Treaty places some important limitations on military activity in space, prohibiting the deployment of weapons of mass destruction and military activity on the moon and other celestial bodies. But we do not wish to see a general prohibition on the military use of space. For example, the 1998 Strategic Defence Review confirmed a continuing need for secure satellite communications for the armed forces. We recognise, however, there are issues that countries wish to discuss on space and we support the further consideration of Outer Space by the Conference on Disarmament in Geneva to identify whether there are substantive issues on which further work could be done.

4. To enact comprehensive legislation to research, develop and explore space peacefully and co-operatively with all cultures on Earth and in space.

I have explained above our position on the military use of space. While we remain open minded, we know of no evidence to substantiate the existence of extraterrestrial lifeforms and therefore are unable to comment on our future co-operation with these alleged beings.

Finally, I must say that the Ministry of Defence has no expertise or role in respect of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. We are aware that many people have claimed to have experienced various phenomena and we remain open-minded.



VF05/bourflying

DAS 3

FILE

JUN 2001



TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

DARL To

B

С

| Ref No | 4633 | /2001 |
|--------|------|-------|
| Date | 6601 | 7 |

The attached letter, which the Prime Minister has received, has been forwarded to this Department for official action. All correspondence is to be answered within 20 working days on receipt in this Branch. No 10's letter codes are as follows:

The letter has been acknowledged by No 10.

- The letter has been acknowledged by No 10. Please consider whether there is anything which can usefully be said to the correspondence and action accordingly.
- No acknowledgement has been sent. In this case, however, it is obviously important that both an acknowledgement and a full reply are sent.

Unless specifically asked to do so, there is no need for you to copy your replies to this office.

A new Open Government Code of Practice came into force on January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 223/99 further information is available from DG Info on extension Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep record of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice including details of the correspondence and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be based on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year. MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

MINISTERIAL CORRESPONDENCE UNIT

Tony Blair Prime Minister 10 Downing Street London SW1A 2AA

3 Déferce

22nd May 2001

Dear Tony Blair Prime Minister

As you know, the recorded testimony of scores of military, government and other witnesses to Unidentified Flying Objects and Extraterrestrial events and projects from around the world establishes the existence of a UFO/Extraterrestrial presence on and around Earth. This recorded testimony consists of dozens of first-hand, often top-secret witnesses to UFO and Extraterrestrial events, internal UFO-related government projects and covert activities, space-based weapons programs, and covert, reverse-engineered energy and propulsion system projects. The technologies that are of an Extraterrestrial origin, when publicly released within a planned transition period, will provide solutions to global environmental and security challenges.

These numerous recorded witnesses constitute only a small portion of a vast pool of identified present or former military, intelligence, corporate, aviator, flight control, law enforcement officers, scientists and other witnesses, who will come forward when subpoenaed to testify at Congressional hearings. Without a grant of immunity releasing them from their security oaths, many such unimpeachable witnesses fear to speak out.

The legislation to ban space-based weapons will prohibit acts of war against Extraterrestrial civilizations, which have proven to be concerned about our nuclear and weapons industry, but also have proven they are not to be hostile. The comprehensive legislation will transform the terrestrial war industry into a world cooperative military (without space weapons), civil, and commercial space industry. This will provide unprecedented benefits and opportunities to all on Earth and in space.

Our generation of voters and leaders are responsible for this once in a lifetime decision - to ban space-based weapons so that we will be permitted to join the peaceful travelers in the universe.

Therefore, the undersigned are asking that you sponsor, enact and actively support each of the following:

- To hold open, comprehensive, secrecy-free hearings to take military/agency witness testimony on events and evidence relating to an Extraterrestrial presence on and around Earth.
- To hold open hearings on advanced energy and propulsion systems, relating to extraterrestrial phenomena that, when publicly released, will provide solutions to global environmental challenges. These technologies which may now be sequestered behind the National Security Act.
- To enact legislation which will ban all space-based weapons, and to enact and implement international treaty and legal standards prohibiting the weaponisation of space.

• To enact comprehensive legislation to research, develop and explore space peacefully and cooperatively with all cultures on Earth and in space.

International Action - The Disclosure Project continues from residents of countries other than the United States as to actions they can take in their own countries to achieve the Disclosure goals.

http://www.disclosureproject.org/

Thank you for your prompt attention to my concerns.

Sincerely yours Section 40 Section 40 New/port Pagnell, Buckinghamshire Section 40



Thank you for your letter dated 4 June 2001 concerning "unidentified flying objects. As you will be aware from previous correspondence, the Ministry of Defence has only a very limited interest in matters concerning 'unidentified flying objects' and I am therefore afraid that I have nothing to add to our earlier statements. Your letter has been placed on our files.

Yours sincerely ection

Please Reply To : Pater EDiror DATE MONDAY 4 601 Your Rof: MOD. Whitehall/LONDON, TIME : 16.25 HAS.

Dear Sir, or madam

This note or letter is not a request for any Information regarding the worlds up problem, it is my personall View on a matter which I believe to be the planets ERRITH, Most inportant issue Since The united states of maninica detached the promic Bomb over Japon during the final days of the 2.9 world use. I wish to point out I howour Our defonce stradigy's, which is parament to the "defonce of our realm" and uk. I also with do point out that our small group of dedicated Scientific researched including myself who has researched and studied the of problem for close on 37 years now is convinced that the Ecosive Intaudos who prostrate Our mie/space and indeed the world at harge are hostile, now you may scaft at my without remarks, but it is my balief indeed that our Minimumy 15. indeed working on a defense programme to possibly defend or planet earth against such a possible Arian thrank and indeed of other more torrostrict energy threads should they grise. I fully as a public Citizes andorse the ministry of deforse's policy of no discloses of a defense Significance, my only with that more groups take a closer look at the is GHT T andone issue bifore our minimuy Comments I know you may not agree with my commits listed, but as a humble man I an Concerned for my

COM7/ fellow mow and of Coverse our wellbuig of planed ERATH which if the booked after propady with Care and deep understanding is a really unique and beatful place. I endoke wasa, for their Intellight and exploration of Space which people once you a time termed as heavon, by the wy I do firmly believe in our "lord Jesus Christ, I have views on perhaps where our lord orignhed for but I will retrain from going into details here, Why I mention these issues is because I realise that our defense gilots, hero's that they are, are quite defences to a paranomet degree in Conbatting trush Eusinice Intrusted but I also understand that "depte of a Cinserfied nature will repair also from Informing the public of Large (15 The world) that we happened as not alone, I can filly undestand this stance in view of pilots, losing their lives in Some Incisate whilst in pushit or Cuse proximity & a "deadly uto I use the term deadly as this is what I believe to be the truth; for two pins I would burn all my books and research documents, but I have been Introsted more in a Sincare way and humaking my those nost out there who is my opinion are on as ago trip for first Story which is often blow out of all propation and as you may well know, Storgs gain monution as they gather from Street to Street. I am a dedicated researcher on the governuts Side not against, I an Sad that it only Injeed more people were to take a look on the defined issue of our planet than perhys progres would have been for better between hatalliques depte and thoughtful and Confidents researched, having Said this I believe there is a let of good men and home of there doing a great job Just as you dapt dois day do day will Prinking one day los will all share the wind (We are not alone) Bert wind (We are not alone)

First class /م 🕅 THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Secretariat (Air STAH) 2A, ROOM 8245 MAIN Building White hall. LONDON SWIA 2HB.



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

020 7218 2140

020 7218 9000

ctior

Telephone (Direct dial)

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN)

Section 40

Milwaukie OR 97222-2435 USA Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS/64/3 Date 25 June 2001



Thank you for your letter of 23 April addressed to the Third Air Force regarding an 'unidentified flying object' near RAF Bentwaters in January 1995. Your letter has been passed to the Ministry of Defence and this office is the focal point within the MOD for correspondence of this nature.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular enquiry, I can confirm that RAF Bentwaters closed in 1993 and was sold to Bentwaters Investments Limited on 15 May 1997. In January 1995 there were no military aircraft accidents in the vicinity of the base. However, disused RAF Stations are sometimes used to conduct military exercises and this may have been why military vehicles and helicopters were seen in the vicinity.

You also mention in your letter, that you believe RAF Watton would have monitored unknown air traffic. You may therefore be interested to know that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous policing of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time "picture" of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft).

You seem to be confused about the involvement of the HQ Provost and Security Services((UK) with regard to 'UFO' reports. Until 1992 the Flying Complaints Flight (FCF), part of the HQ Provost and Security Services(UK) based at RAF Rudloe Manor, was the central co-ordination point for any "UFO" reports made to RAF stations (from whatever source, i.e. members of the

public or service personnel). Its function was simply to record details and pass the reports directly to Sec(AS)2a (now DAS4a, this office) in the Ministry of Defence. Sec(AS)2a would then examine the reports and decide whether what was seen had defence implications. No action was taken on the reports by staff in the FCF. The FCF (now the Defence Flying Complaints Investigation Team) no longer have any involvement in the central collection of "UFO" reports made to air force bases. Any reports received by air force stations are now forwarded directly to DAS4a for consideration.

Finally, in order to assist with your enquiries I have requested our files for January 1995 from archives to see if the MOD received any relevant 'UFO' sighting reports and I will write to you again when I have had an opportunity to examine these files.



| 31/05/01 | 10:5 | 57 | 3AF/CCE | ₽÷ <mark>S</mark> e | ection - | 40 | | | ND. 991 DO: |
|----------|-------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------|------------|---------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | 202 | | | | | 2 | |
| - | RAFM | F/SRAFL lidenhall | | | | | | | |
| | Suffolk | 1P28 8N | | | | | | | |
| | Care a | | ion 4 | | | | | | |
| | | | | f | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | a | Y | | | | | | | |
| | | A | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | To: | Se | ction 4 | 10 | | From: | RAVENA | | |
| | Fax: | | ction | | | Date: | May 31, 2001 | | |
| | Phon | | ouorr | | | Pages: | | | - |
| | Ro: | IFO | | | | CC: | | | |
| | KU . | IFU | | | ~ | | | | |
| | | gent | 🗆 For Re | view | 🗆 Please (| Comment | Please Reply | D Please Recycl | • |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | ·Con | ments | s - 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | * | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Υ. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

i

-

| 31/05/01 | 10:57 | 3AF/CCEA | Section 40 | | NO.9 | 91 002 | > |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------|-------------------|-----|
| | | | | - | | | - |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Section 40 | | | |
| • | | | | Section 40 | | | |
| | | | | MILWAUKIE OR 972 | 22-2425 | | |
| DEPAR | TMENT OF | THE AIR | FORCE | MEMORIE ON SIZ | 22-2403 | | |
| | AIR FOR | | | a | | | |
| | | PUBLIC A | | 04-23- | 61 | | |
| BOX 1 | APO AE | 09459-840 | In Inder | | | | |
| (BII) | .isn kar | co, squap | ron Leader <mark>Sec</mark> | tion 40 | | | |
| Pleas | se forwar | ð letter | to the British | RAF CO if possible. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Dear | Sir: I a | m looking | into a incide | nt which had alleged | ly occur- | | |
| $\hat{(i)}$ ed af | ter RAF | Bentwater | s was closed d | own in Sep 93. The | ALLEGED | closed in 1993 | |
| ~ INCIL | JENT OCCU. | RED IN TH | E MONTH OF Jan | 95 and involved an | unauthor- | Sold in P | 147 |
| 12ed | the mai | n into th | PAF Bentwater | mately 150 yards to s. Due to the twin B | ase clos- | | |
| | | | | twaters, any thing i | | | |
| rm of | any low | flying n | oisy air craft | complaints, there is | s not al- | Defence | |
| ot of | resource | es for lo | cal British ci | villians to utilize | to notify | Kstation | 2 |
| | | | they witness | a air craft going do | wn on | ¥. | |
| MOD p | roperty. | indiant | d unknaus tim | Craft was described | an bala | | |
| | r in sha | ne and Or | ange Réd col | or. I would expect the | as cria- | | |
| | | | | | | 1. 1 | |
| the u | nknown a: | ir traffi | c and notefied | the proper MOD autho | | plan rad | te |
| | was cla | cheu co p | hospitte ruba | ct of trash site. I t | 10 1100 | everage . | |
| | | | | f tracking to exist i | | | |
| | | | | c if it deviates from t reentering space de | | | |
| | satellite | | might represen | c reencering space d | DIT IIOM | | |
| | | | USAF people no | t being involved with | any ac- | | |
| — tivit | y related | to eith | er base, that | they would have any i | orm of | | |
| repor | ts on thi | is incide | nt and if at o | ne time they did have | a cour- | | |
| tesy | report fi | com the M | OD people, the | reports would have o | one the | check on | ~ |
| | | | | s at the dept that is s would have been pro | | Tule for | Н. |
| dispo | sed of so | ome two w | ears after the | alleged incident. A | encer FOIA | any repoi | -0 |
| Thei | ncident v | vas said | to involve som | e thirty military ver | icles. | | |
| with | some civi | illian and | d two military | ambulances and asson | ted vans | | |
| inclu | ding a bi | g white | one covered wi | th aerials. This is a | rather | | |
| | | | | d the main gate of RA | | | |
| Helic | , some ca | re seen | ynt, also use i over head. Thi | of search lights and s is a sizeable form | several of night | | |
| time | militarv | activity | or possible n | ight time maneuver dr | ill, ho= | a . / | |
| wever | I would | have to | eliminate any | form of reserve night | time / | Possibly | |
| maneu | vers of F | AF/joint | maneuvers, du | e to the close proxim | ety of | exercise | |
| | | | | RAF Bentwaters base 1 | | Exer Linn | |
| lai m. | Tam a | ousing u | nits, which we | re converted to civil Jan 81 memorandum f | Tian no- | | |
| then | . I am aw Deputy Ra | se CO. C | arles T. Halt | , Lt Col, USAF, for y | our ref- | 2 | |
| feral | 1, I will | include | a copy of this | incident. The incid | ents of | | |
| Jan 9 | 5 night t | ime are o | completely sepa | erate incident and ar | e not | | |
| | | | ld not be confi | used with the Halt me | morandum | | |
| OI 13- | -Jan-1981 | • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Clart | | 1. 211 | 10 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



involved with

UFO'.

With out violation of your Official Secrets Act, are there any local base's that might have such quick reaction and the vehicles in their inventory for utilization in such a event such as th Jan 1995 incident. I have never heard of the MOD Police ever trying to catch unknown lights or unknown aircraft with such effort and would expect that if the pursued aircraft was and still remains in the unknown catagory, that some extensive report on their eff--MOS Blice would not be orts must have been filed elsewhere. If you do not have a report copy on file, then what are the possibility of obtaining a refferall as to where the releasable portions of the report can be obtained. I have heard of RAF Rudlee Manor as a central repository of specific catagory of such high profile incident reports. Can - Explain you if possibly release their base to the USAF Public Affairs unit CO at THIRD AIR FORCE if possible. Their parent base might be more accessable, their official name is RAF Brampton, Cambridgeshire, RAF Support Command and headquarters of the Provost and Security Services is the branch of the RAF that investigates breaches of security in addition to regular policing duties. Another section is the S4 unit which is the Flying Complaints Flight unit which handles complaints about low flying infringements. This unit comprises no more then thirty personnel and is manned permanently.

It would be expected to involve this section unit S4 and other important organizations within RAF Brampton, as they would have a over all security clearance and it would be their over all station assigned security interest in this Jan 1995 incident. Twould like to correspond with the public affairs person at RAF Brampton if possible, they may have some brocures of public interest that might be available to interested inquirers. I would expect this organization would have had the authority to pursue or intercept any downed or suspect unknown air craft. I would like to determin if there were any military sighting incident reports from local base's that might have gone thru your office from either USAF or RAF or from unreleasable sources that might have shed some light onto this matter as to what the RAF people were looking for and weither there was audi visual documentation on the intruder done. Of course not being cleared for such information, I would probably not learn any such information, due to this Official Secrets Act: Can you advise on how this would apply to such filed docum and briefly what or how is this regulation written and can a copy of the regulation be released if possible in the return reply.

Please accept my kind appreciation in your return reply thru the USAF dept of Public Affairs unit CO.



| /05/01 | 10:57 | 3AF/CCEA → Sec | ction 40 | ND. 991 | DP |
|------------|-------|----------------|---|---------|----|
| | | | Doc. 2-9b U.S.A.F., Europe Letter with Encl., Jan 13, 1984 | | |
| <u>ç</u> . | DPY | HEADO | DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE Quarters 81st combat support group Apo New York 09755 | (USAFE) | |

REPLY TO ATTN OF: CD

SUBJECT: Unexplained Lights

TO: RAF/CC

1. Early in the morning of 27 Dac 80 (approximately 0300L), two USAF security police patrolmen saw unusual lights outside the back gate at RAF Woodbridge. Thinking an aircraft might have crashed or been forced down, they called for permission to go outside the gate to investigate. The on-duty flight chief responded and allowed three patrolmen to proceed on foot. The individuals reported seeing a strange glowing object in the forest. The object was described as being metalic in appearance and triangular in shape, approximately two to three meters across the base and approximately-two meters high. It illuminated the entire fore§ with a white light. The object itself had a pulsating red light on top a bank(s) of blue lights underneath. The object was hovering or on legs As the patrolmen approached the object, it mansureed through the trees and disappeared. At this time the animals on a nearby farm went into a frenzy. The object was briefly sighted approximately an hour later near the back gate.

13 Jan 81

2. The next day, three depressions 1 1/2" deep and 7" in diameter were found where the object had been sighted on the ground. The following night (29 Oec 80) the area was checked for radiation. Beta/gamma readi. of 0.1 milliroentgens were recorded with peak readings in the three depressions and near the center of the triangle formed by the depressions. A nearby tree had moderate (.05-.07) readings on the side of the tree toward the depressions.

3. Later in the right a red sun-like light was seen through the trees. It moved about and pulsed. At one point it appeared to throw off glowin particles and then broke into five separate white objects and then disappeared. Immediately thereafter, three star-like objects were noticed in the sky, two objects to the north and one to the south, all of which were about 10 off the horizon. The objects moved rapidly in sharp angu movements and displayed red, grean and blue lights. The objects to the north appeared to be elliptical through an 8-12 power lens. They then turned to full circles. The objects to the north remained in the sky fo an hour or more. The object to the south was visible for two or three hours and beamed down a stream of light from time to time. Numerous ind duals, including the undersigned, witnessed the activities in paragraphs 2 and 3.

(SIGNED) CHARLES I. HALT, Lt Col, USAF Deputy Base Commander



Thank you for your letter dated 21 May 2001 concerning research you are undertaking concerning an alleged incident in Roswell, New Mexico in 1947.

First, it may be helpful if I explain our position on 'unidentified flying objects'. The Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'UFOs' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Finally, any comments relating to the alleged incident in Roswell in 1947 are a matter for the United States Government and are not for the UK MOD to remark upon. Should you wish to pursue your query with the US Government, you may wish to write to the US Embassy at 24 Grosvenor Square, London, W1A 1AE.





Dear Sir/Madam,

I wonder could you possibly be of some assistance. A colleague and I are currently researching what has become known as the 'alien autopsy film'. The film in question was originally broadcast on TV around the world in 1995 and is owned by London businessman Ray Santilli.

The film allegedly shows the dissection of an alien creature recovered from a crash in the desert outside of Roswell, New Mexico in 1947. It goes without saying that the film is highly controversial and has been the subject of much debate.

There are four main theories that have been put forward in an attempt to try and explain what the film may or may not be. They are:

- 1. A recovered ET from Roswell in 1947.
- 2. A cynical modern day hoax made purely for money.
- 3. A film of some poor deformed human being.
- 4. A US intelligence 'propaganda' film of some kind.

My colleague and I would be most grateful if you would care to comment on the film in question more information of which can be located at the alien autopsy archive on our web site (www.beyondroswell.com).

a and a second a second se

Any information or comment on this film would be greatly appreciated.

Yours Sincerely, Section 40 Section 40

Postcod¹⁰ use the Dial of the second seco \$7 3 00.00 pm 45400422 M4 86 6 1 SECRETARIAT CAM STAFE) QA MINNISTRY OF DEFENCE, MARN YSULOINUT ROOM SALS うちょうによう

(JOUND)

SHC

Swip

| | From: Section Aliv ectoral MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, Loi | | | 15 24 |
|--|---|-----------|---|--|
| CO . | | Telephone | (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) | 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40 |
| Section 40 Townhill Swansea Section 4 | | | eference (Sec)/64/3 | - |
| Day Secti | ion 40 | | | |

Thank you for your letters dated 19 and 30 April, the latter addressed to Dr Moonie and passed to this office for reply as we are the focal point for correspondence of this nature.

Firstly, you say that you have checked with various Universities etc... in the area and your enquiries have confirmed that the objects you saw, but could not identify, in the sky over Swansea in February were not weather balloons. As Dr Moonie explained in his letter to Mr Alan Williams MP in March, the Ministry of Defence's interest in UFO sightings is limited to whether there is any evidence that UK airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the UK from an external military source, we do not positively identify what was seen. The mention of weather balloons in Dr Moonie's previous letter was therefore only a suggestion of what might have been seen, not confirmation of what was actually seen.

In view of your concern you may wish to be aware that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time "picture" of UK airspace. Any threat to the Air Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft).

In your letter of 19 April, you asked why the MOD does not keep a database of video, photographic and radar evidence. Members of the public do occasionally send us photographs or videos of objects in the sky which they have been unable to identify. These are usually of lights at night for which there could be rational explanations, such as aircraft lights. It is not the function of the MOD to provide an aerial identification service and therefore, there is no reason for us to keep a database of this material. Once examined, these videos/photographs are either placed on our files with the relevant correspondence, or returned to the sender if requested.

You also mentioned a book that you recently borrowed from your local library by Mr Nick Pope. Mr Pope left this office (previously entitled Sec(AS) 2) several years ago. The views expressed by Mr Pope on the subject of "UFOs" are entirely his own personal opinions and do not represent nor reflect those of the MOD. Finally, in your letter of 30 April, you report a further sighting of these objects on 29 April. I can confirm that we have received no other reports from anywhere in the United Kingdom for that date. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that on 29 April the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincretu Section 40

Low Plying/ UFJ'S





MINISTERIAL CORRESPONDENCE UNIT

11, ((ec) То

ection 40

Ref No 37.69/2001Date 9/5/01

The Secretary of State, / _____ has received the attached letter from a member of the public. It has not been acknowledged by this office.

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister concerned. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly, your reply should therefore be sent within 20 working days of receipt in this branch. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 223/99, further information is available from DOMD on extension Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of **requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice** including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Section 40 RECEIVED Townfill - 1 HAY 2001 Swamsea Section 40 US OF S 19/4/2001.

Dear Dr. Moonie,

I did follow your advice, and heaked with the local educational institutions and Swansea airport as to the possibility of weather balloons. (Re the "UFO" article in the South Wales Evening Bast 19/3/2001.) No ballons are released in this area. The Met Office in London kindly let me know that A balloons are released daily at Cardiff Hirport That is approximately 45 miles to the East. I have been fortunate enough to have a conversation with Sgt. Section 40 from the Defence Flying Complaints Investigation Team. He came to see me on a routine matter (I provided a witness statement in a low Blying problem), but while he was visiting me, he was kind enough to listen to my concerns about a number of things seen locatly. He unofficially confirmed that the objects in the newspaper article were almost certainly not weather balloons from Cardiff. (These are not released simultaneously.) I recently borrowed a book from my library, dealing with UFO's from the point of view of a civil servant working for Sec (AS) 2a at M.O.D. main enilding. (should you wish to Bollow this up, the book is titled "Open skies, closed minds" and the author is Nick Pape.) At the time of writing, the M-O.D. were not keeping a data base of video, photographic or radar evidence. If this is still true, I means that an obligation is not being met. The Air Services are supposed to maintain a continuous recognised air picture. Not much effort is put into an attempt to

6 . L 16-P schieve this. A policy review is needed. To say that UFO's are of no defence significance is to fall into the trap of inductive reasoning, like the turkey in December who reasons that the kind Barmar Bed him yesterday and will therefore do so again today! Minister, the buck stops with you , not with the civil servant to whom you will pass on this latter. Yours sincerely, Section 40

P.S. Section 40, Editor of the Evening Bost read your last reply. When I told him that the same standard type of reply had been issued by various Governments for years, he asked bitterly "Doesn't anyone care who's watching us?"

ection 40 Townhill MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Swamsea Section 40 DAS 4 (SEC) - 2 MAY 2001 30 4 2001 Dear UFO Dask, FILE Re the recent Swanses U.F.O. These things were seen again yesterday at 4.20 p.m in clear weather by two witnesses in my own street. They described the objects as silvery in colour and spheroid in shape. They were travelling from East to West against a brisk breeze, two close together, bollowed a couple of minutes later by a third one on its own. They were visible for at least a minute, so were not travelling that fast. One witness estimated them to be the size of a van, but round. The angle of elevation was 30° or so . One of them appeared to change direction by 90° and seemed to be approaching the witnesses but then it turned again to continue on its original path Dr. Lewis Moonie suggested that I check out this area for weather balloons. I have thoroughly investigated this possibility and there are none in this part of the country. Yours faithfully Section 40

Defnyddiwch y the Postcode Please Use Cod Post De Orli Cymru Royal Mail 10.00 00.00 05:06 pm 30.04.01 M.O.D.

Air Stall Secretarial, 2 SHIAAHB Main Building NODMOT Whitehall

ENCLOSURE 23 NOW PLACED ON 64/3 PT U Section 40

E 5





From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

| Telephone | (Direct dial) | 020 7218 2140 |
|-----------|------------------------|---------------|
| | (Switchboard) (Fax) | 020 7218 9000 |
| | (GTN) | Section |



Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS(Sec)64/3 Date 31 May 2001



Thank you for your letter of 2 May 2001.

I note you have agreed to pay the cost of a search of archived files for the dates mentioned in your two earlier letters. As I mentioned in my letter of 11 April, I have identified 18 files covering the period of interest and each file may contain up to 100 enclosures. To recover these files from archives, search them to identify any reports relevant to your enquiry, and copy and remove the personal details (sanitise) on any found, I estimate would take 60 hours to complete. The first 4 hours work would be undertaken free of charge, leaving 56 hours, which would attract a charge of $\pounds 15$ per hour, a total of $\pounds 840$.

This department does not have the resources to conduct 60 hours additional work and we would have to regard your full request as voluminous under the *Code of Practice on Access to Government Information*. However, we are able to conduct 12 hours work, which with 4 hours free, would equate to a charge of £120. In order therefore to help you narrow your request, the following is an estimate of the cost of searching material for each individual date you have mentioned. I have based these estimates assuming 100 enclosures per file and that each enclosure would take two minutes to examine, copy and sanitise (where appropriate). Please note where part of an hour is quoted this is charged as a full hour.

1.) 10th November 1971 - Object seen crossing sky over Birmingham at 7.25am

Two archived files identified.

 2×100 enclosures = 200 enclosures 200 x 2 minutes = 400 minutes = 6 hours 40 minutes Total cost of search = £105

2.) 4th January 1979 - "The Mince Pie Martian Case"

Eight archived files identified. 8 x 100 enclosures = 800 enclosures 800 x 2 minutes = 1600 minutes = 26 hours 40 minutes Total cost of search = £405

3.) 20th August 1980 - Hopton, Norfolk

Six archived files identified. 6×100 enclosures= 600 enclosures 600×2 minutes= 1200 minutes = 20 hoursTotal cost of search= £300

4.) 19th June 1981 - UFO seen over Baldock, Herts at 11.00pm

Two archived files identified. 2×100 enclosures= 200 enclosures 200×2 minutes= 400 minutes = 6 hours 40 minutesTotal cost of search= £105

5.) Any sightings for the 6th August 1954, over the Bristol Channel

Any surviving records from the 1950's are open to the public at the Public Record Office, Ruskin Avenue, Kew, Richmond, Surrey TW9 4DU. Telephone: 020 8876 3444, Fax: 020 8878 8905.

6.) Any sightings reported for the 21st October 1954, in the Staffordshire area.

As above, these would be in the Public Record Office.

7.) Any sightings reported over Wimborne, Hampshire, 6th May 1971.

If any sightings were reported, the details would be contained in the same files that have been identified at number 1 above. If searched alone this one would attract a charge of $\pounds 105$, but if both were searched for at the same time, there would be no additional cost for this request.

8.) Any sightings over Great Yarmouth for the 20th August 1980.

If any sightings were reported, the details would be contained in the same files that have been identified at number 3 above. If searched for alone this one would attract a charge of $\pounds 300$, but if both were searched for at the same time, there would be no additional cost for this request.

9.) Any UFO reports, or any sightings for the 19th/20th June 1981, in the Hertfordshire and Suffolk areas.

If any reports were received, the details would be contained in the same files that have been identified at number 4 above. If searched for alone this one would attract a charge of ± 105 , but if both were searched for at the same time, there would be no additional cost for this request.

If the cost of obtaining the information turned out to be significantly greater than our estimate suggests we would contact you again before proceeding further.

With regard to your question concerning the release of information concerning UFO reports held on MOD archived files, you may be interested to know that the MOD is bound by the *Code of Practice on Access to Government Information*. This means that we are committed to providing you with whatever information you require, as long as it is not exempted under the Code. You are not required to ask for specific dates, locations etc but we do, of course, need to know what particular material you are interested in before a search can be conducted. Please also bear-inmind that UFO reports are filed in the order in which they are received, not in date order of the sighting. Some people do not report sightings to us until months after the event and a request for reports for a particular month may still involve a search of several files and, in some instances, this can result in the request becoming voluminous under the Code.

I would be grateful if you could let me know what reports/period you are interested in and confirmation that you are willing to meet the charges as detailed above.





Directorate of Air Staff, 4a1 (Secretariat), Ministry of Defence, Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, LONDON, SW1A 2HB

2/5/2001

Your Ref. D/DAS(Sec)64/3



Thank you for your letter of the 11th April 2001.

We are more than willing to pay for the cost of the search involved, and look forward to hearing from you in due course.

Would it be possible for you to explain to us, please, what guidelines are in force relating to the duplication of UFO reports from archive material kept by the MOD, taking into consideration that, while this service is available following a request relating to a specific date, why cannot we, as members of the public, ask for copies of UFO reports covering a specific period? For example, knowing that you will be searching through a number of files covering a specific period, could you not copy, say, the relevant files covering, for example, a particular month?

We thank you for your assistance in this matter, and look forward to hearing from you.







From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1(Secretariat) MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN)



| Section 40 BIRMINGHAM Section 40 | Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS(Sec)64/3 Date 18 April 2001 |
|--|--|
| | 18 April 2001 |



Thank you for your letter of 11 April in which you enquired about a reply to your earlier letter of 8 March. A reply was sent to you on the 11 April and this would have crossed in the post with your second letter of the same date. I apologise for the slight delay in responding.

I hope you have now received my letter and are therefore aware of how the 'UFO' sighting reports and correspondence we receive are stored and the difficulties involved in retrieving the information you have requested.

With regard to the first two dates mentioned in your latest letter, you may wish to be aware, it was generally the case that before 1967 all "UFO" files were destroyed after five years, as there was insufficient public interest in the subject to merit their permanent retention. However since 1967, following an increase in public interest in this subject "UFO" report files are now routinely preserved. Any files from the 1950s and the early 1960s which did survive are already available for examination by members of the public at the Public Record Office, Ruskin Avenue, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 4DU.

You also asked if there were any "UFO" sightings reported to the MOD on three further dates. Any reports that do exist would be contained within the 18 files identified in my earlier letter.

As I said in my letter of 11 April, if you would like us to estimate the cost of a search of our archived files, please let me know. Some of the dates and locations given in your letter of 11 April are different to those given in your letter of 8 March, so before we commence any search we would, of course, need to know which ones you would like us to look for.

I will await further advice from you before sending for any archived files.







Dear Sirs,

We are somewhat disappointed not to have received any reply to our previous letter, which was sent to you now over six weeks ago, but presume that it may have been mislaid in the post.

Can you tell us if you have any information regarding the following UFO incidents, please?

- 1 Any Sightings for the 6th August, 1954, over the Bristol Channel?
- 2 Any Sightings reported for the 21st October, 1954, in the Staffordshire area?
- 3 Any Sightings reported over Wimborne, Hampshire, (May 6th, 1971)?
- 4 Any Sightings over Great Yarmouth for the 20th August, 1980?
- 5 Any UFO reports, or any Sightings, for the 19th/20th June, 1981, in the Hertfordshire & Suffolk areas?

We look forward to hearing from you.

Yours faithfully,


MOD (Bre Sord 2a) Abort 82451 Mon- Building Mon- Buildin Mon- Building Mon- Building Mon- Building M Reyel Mail Branghan Muli Centre 11.04.01 USJJ pn 27841305 royalmail.com/seta Send ... ? What will TIME I



From: <u>Section 40</u> Directorate of Air Staff 4a1(Secretariat) MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN)





Your Reference

Our Reference D/DAS(Sec)64/3 Date 11 April 2001



Thank you for your letter of 8 March in which you enquire about UFO sightings reported to the Ministry of Defence on four dates between 1971 and 1981.

Firstly, I should inform you that the UFO sighting reports and correspondence we receive are not computerised, but filed manually in the form they are received on Branch files. Only the files covering the past few years are retained in this office, with the rest being held in archives until their release to the Public Record Office on reaching the 30 year point. Therefore, the only way we can advise you as to whether there were any UFO sightings reported on the dates and at the locations you have specified, is to recall all the relevant files and conduct a manual search. We have identified 18 files, currently held in archives, which cover the dates of interest to you.

The Ministry of Defence is bound by the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information. This means that we are committed to providing you with the information you require, as long as it is not exempted under the Code. However, to ensure that this does not create an extra burden on the taxpayer, we have a charging regime for more complicated requests. If a request is likely to require over four hour's work, each hour's work over the four hours (or part thereof) is charged at $\pounds 15$ per hour.

As we do not currently have sight of the files concerned, we do not know how many enclosures each one contains (it could be up to 100) and it is therefore difficult to estimate how long a search may take. To search through 18 files, however, would most likely take more than four hours and I should inform you that your request is likely to attract a charge. You should also be aware that we cannot guarantee that a search would find any sighting reports relevant to those that you are interested in. We would be happy to send you copies of any that are found, which would be sanitised to protect the privacy of those who corresponded with this Department.

If you would like us to provide an estimate of the cost of this search, please let me know and I will recall the files from our archives. Alternatively, you may wish to refine your request to a smaller amount of material that may not incur a charge.

Yours sincerely,



Ministry of Defence, Main Building (Air Staff 2a), Room 8245, Whitehall, LONDON, SW1A 2HB

\$

١.



Dear Sirs,

Can you please let us know if you have any reports of UFOs sighted for the following dates, please:

| 10th November, 1971 | Object seen crossing sky over Birmingham, at 7.25 a.m. |
|---------------------|--|
| 4th January, 1979 | 'The Mince Pie Martian Case'. |
| 20th August, 1980 | Hopton, Norfolk. |
| 19th June, 1981 | UFO seen over Baldock, Herts., at 11.00 p.m. |

We look forward to hearing from you.

Yours faithfully,





UNA PROPERTY OF THE PARTY SS March 🕌 Mothers Royal Mail Demogram West Castro

YOD. AR Stop 2er Roan 8245. KONDW. SWIA 2HB When wal

| INCIDENT | <u>FILE NO.</u> | TITLE | HELD BY |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| 10 Nov 1971 | #AIR 2/18565 | UFO Reports 1970-71 | PRO for release 2002 |
| | #AIR 20/12399 | UFO Reports 1971-72 | PRO for release 2003 |
| | | | |
| 4 Jan 1979 | D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt F | UFO Reports, Correspondence 1979 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt G | UFO Reports (Edited copies) 1979 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt H | UFO Reports (Edited copies) 1979 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt J | UFO Reports (Edited copies)1979 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt K | UFO Reports (Edited copies) 1979 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS75/2/4 Pt A | UFO Reports 1979 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS75/2/4 Pt B | UFO Reports 1979 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS75/2/4 Pt D | UFO Reports 1979 | Records 2 |
| 20 Aug 1980 | D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt G | UFO Reports, Correspondence 1980 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt H | UFO Reports, Correspondence 1980 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt L | UFO Reports, Correspondence 1980 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS875/2/2 Pt M | UFO Reports, Correspondence 1980 | Records 2 |
| | *D/DS8/75/2/5 Pt A | UFO Reports 1980 | Records 2 |
| | *D/DS8/75/2/5 Pt B | UFO Reports 1980 | Records 2 |
| 19 June 1981 | *D/DS8/10/209 Pt A | General briefs and reports, UFO Correspondence | Records 2 |
| | *D/DS8/10/209 Pt B | General briefs and reports, UFO Correspondence | Records 2 |

ł,

Records 1 Section to equest files from PRO
* Files already called back from Hayes

DAS4A(SEC)

| From: | DAS4A1(SEC) |
|----------|------------------------------|
| Sent: | 03 April 2001 16:46 |
| To: | DAS4A(SEC) |
| Subject: | UFOs-Request for information |



Your may recall that we had a chat about a letter from **Section 40** regular UFO correspondents) who were asking about four particular sightings between 1971 and 1981 (one being the infamous 'Mince Pie Martian Case'). To answer their questions we would need to recall a number of files from archives and manually go through them to identify any which fit their description. I suggested we charge them for this work.

I have attached a list of the files which we would need to recall. The first two are currently with the PRO for release in the next two years. I asked Section if it is possible to recall files once they have gone to the PRO. He said they can be requisioned by departments on a short term loan and he has ask his registry to recall them for us. He estimates they will take between one and two weeks to come. Those marked with a * we already have because these were recalled as part of our search for info on Rendlesham Forrest. All the rest would have to be sent for from Hayes.

Could we have a chat when you are free about what we are going to do as far as charging for this info. These people are regulars who ask if we have had any reports on certain dates. They have not asked for copies of any reports and I suspect they think we just type the date in to a computer. If we are going to charge them we could offer to send sanitised copies of any we find.

Happy to discuss whenever you are ready.



habans inhearing Cetieg to be a change (\$15.00 per has after fist 4 hours) was they like to refime their nequest etc.

| INCIDENT | FILE NO. | TITLE | HELD BY |
|--------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| 10 Nov 1971 | #AIR 2/18565 | UFO Reports 1970-71 | PRO for release 2002 |
| | #AIR 20/12399 | UFO Reports 1971-72 | PRO for release 2003 |
| 4 Jan 1979 | D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt F | UFO Reports, Correspondence 1979 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt G | UFO Reports (Edited copies) 1979 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt H | UFO Reports (Edited copies) 1979 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt J | UFO Reports (Edited copies)1979 | Records 2 |
| ж. | D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt K | UFO Reports (Edited copies) 1979 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS75/2/4 Pt A | UFO Reports 1979 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS75/2/4 Pt B | UFO Reports 1979 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS75/2/4 Pt D | UFO Reports 1979 | Records 2 |
| 20 Aug 1980 | D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt G | UFO Reports, Correspondence 1980 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt H | UFO Reports, Correspondence 1980 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt L | UFO Reports, Correspondence 1980 | Records 2 |
| | D/DS875/2/2 Pt M | UFO Reports, Correspondence 1980 | Records 2 |
| | *D/DS8/75/2/5 Pt A | UFO Reports 1980 | Records 2 |
| | *D/DS8/75/2/5 Pt B | UFO Reports 1980 | Records 2 |
| 19 June 1981 | *D/DS8/10/209 Pt A | General briefs and reports, UFO Correspondence | Records 2 |
| | *D/DS8/10/209 Pt B | General briefs and reports, UFO Correspondence | Records 2 |

а.

Records 1 Section #10 quest files from PRO * Files already called back from Hayes

DAS4A1(SEC)

To: DAS4A(SEC) Subject: UFOs-Request for information

Section 40

Your may recall that we had a chat about a letter from **Section 40** (regular UFQ correspondents) who were asking about four particular sightings between 1971 and 1981 (one being the infamous 'Mince Pie Martian Case'). To answer their questions we would need to recall a number of files from archives and manually go through them to identify any which fit their description. I suggested we charge them for this work.

I have attached a list of the files which we would need to recall. The first two are currently with the PRO for release in the next two years. I asked **Section** if it is possible to recall files once they have gone to the PRO. He said they can be requisitoned by departments on a short term loan and he has ask his registry to recall them for us. He estimates they will take between one and two weeks to come. Those marked with a * we already have because these were recalled as part of our search for info on Rendlesham Forrest. All the rest would have to be sent for from Hayes.

Could we have a chat when you are free about what we are going to do as far as charging for this info. These people are regulars who ask if we have had any reports on certain dates. They have not asked for copies of any reports and I suspect they think we just type the date in to a computer. If we are going to charge them we could offer to send sanitised copies of any we find.

Happy to discuss whenever you are ready.



DAS4A1(SEC)

| From: | Info(Exp)-Records1 | | |
|-------|---------------------|--|--|
| Sent: | 03 April 2001 12:55 | | |
| | | | |

To: DAS4A1(SEC)

Subject: RE: Request for info from closed UFO files

Section 40

On transfer files can be requisitioned by departments (on short term loan).

On your request I will ask my registry to order them for you – requisitions usually only take between one – two weeks to obtain.

One thing you need to be aware of for the future - under Freedom of Information the 30-year rule disappears. Probably from June 2002 (to be agreed) files transferred after that date will be deemed to be open unless an exemption request has be authorised ie "UFO" files will be available to researchers on transfer.

Section 40

----Original Message-----From: DAS4A1(SEC) Sent: 03 April 2001 12:47 To: Info(Exp)-Records1 Subject: Request for info from closed UFO files



We have received a request for information on four alleged UFO sightings spanning a period of 10 years. In order to answer this query we will need to call files back from archives. Two of the files we have identified are AIR 2/18565 (UFO Reports 1970-71) and AIR 20/12399 (UFO Reports 1971-72) both of which are currently with the PRO awaiting release in 2002 and 2003 respectively. I would be grateful for your advice on whether it is possible to recall files once they have been sent to the PRO or will we have to tell the enquirer that they will simply have to wait until they are opened to the public.





Filor - 18 Encs-Loo = 18×100 = 1800 X 2 mis = 3600 + 60 = 60 hours. Percas. 4 free, so 60 - 4 = (56) x LIS= 2840.00 this does not include 11 Apr matomal Grideliner. seterin The code - "cisthis current personale personale con reasonable esh of no. we have a capy have may has cases h of pages ine rpone -> Section 4 before request (how)

42,275 - 18 = 422.75

Increas 99/00-00/01- 00/01 increase_3, 108 havy. = 7.3% increase. (Kosovo factor)?

ERSON

| From: | DAS4A(SEC) |
|---------|----------------------------------|
| Sent: | 04 May 2001 08:36 |
| To: | DAS4A1(SEC) |
| Cc: | DASAD4(SEC) |
| Subject | :: UFO Section Ng Section 40 ERS |

I have had a look through the correspondence.

Here are my suggestions on the subject of 'how much can we hlep them' - I know you will have plenty of ideas on how to answer the letter otherwise, they do appear to have got themselves in a bit of a muddle.

They have asked two sets of questions but the second tranche (11 Apr) are either referring to material already at the PRO or, it appears, likely to feature among the files identified by Section Perce Records).

Archives (and we would rather not do that). I suggest we say 18 files times average 100 enclosures = 1800 documents times average 2 minutes per document to review/sanitise = 3600 minutes divided 60 = 60 hours work. 4 of those hours would be free and so the "bill" for that work could reach 56 times £15 = £840.

The DCI on the code states "if dealing with a request would require an unreasonable diversion of resources it may be refused". I suggest we might view reasonable diversion of resources in the light of the number of hours work we indicated we might do for the number of hours work we indicated we might do for the number of hours work we indicated we might do for the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the second diversion of the number of hours the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the second diversion of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the second diversion of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the second diversion of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the second diversion of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the papers and as a state of the number of hours from the numbe

Section of the full task is XXX, we have the regard the request as "voluminous" under the Code. This would indicate that a refinement of the request must be made - we could perhaps answer 2 or 3 of his questions, dependent to some extent on how meaty the files turn out to be. (However we do know correspondence from the years quoted is considerably heavier than at present.)

Section 40

Section 40 request-Estimate was for 10 hours worke. As a gesture of goodwill only gnoted hath Time taken to complete -8 hours + 40 minutes Section 40 charged 45. Summary of Section Acquest for information

Letter dated 8 March 2001

Information requested:

1). 10th November 1971 - Object seen crossing sky over Birmingham at 7.25am

Files identified - AIR 2/18565 UFO Reports 1970-71 2 files v 100 encl. = 200 encl. AIR 20/12399 UFO Reports 1971-72 2 minus = 400 minutes = 6 hours 40 minutes

Files due to be released to PRO 2002/2003. Recalled by Records 1 and currently on loan to us.

2). 4th January 1979 - "The Mince Pie Martian Case"

Files identified - D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt F UFO Reports, Correspondence 1979 D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt G UFO Reports (Edited copies)1979 D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt H UFO Reports (Edited copies)1979 D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt J UFO Reports (Edited copies)1979 D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt K UFO Reports (Edited copies)1979 D/DS75/2/2 Pt K UFO Reports (Edited copies)1979 D/DS75/2/4 Pt A UFO Reports 1979 D/DS75/2/4 Pt B UFO Reports 1979 D/DS75/2/4 Pt D UFO Reports 1979

All these files are currently held in archives with Records 2 and would need to be recalled.

3). 20th August 1980 - Hopton, Norfolk

Files identified - D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt G UFO Reports, Correspondence 1980 D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt G UFO Reports, Correspondence 1980 D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt L UFO Reports, Correspondence 1980 $^{>2}$ win = 12 $^{>0}$ minutes D/DS8/j75/2/2 Pt M UFO Reports, Correspondence 1980 = 20 hours *D/DS8/75/2/5 Pt A UFO Reports 1980 *D/DS8/75/2/5 Pt B UFO Reports 1980

These files are held in archives with Records 2, except those marked * which have been already been requested and are currently held in our office.

4). 19th June 1981 - UFO seen over Baldock, Herts at 11.00pm

Files identified- D/DS8/10/209 Pt A General briefs and reports, 2 files - 100 enc = 200 enc UFO Correspondence 1981 2 min × 200 = 400 minutes - D/DS8/10/209 Pt B General briefs and reports, = 6 hours 40 minutes UFO Correspondence 1981

Letter dated 11 April 2001

5). Any Sightings for the 6th August 1954, over the Bristol Channel.

UFO records have only been preserved since 1967, but any files from the 1950s that PRO did survive would now be open to the public at the Public Record Office.

6). Any Sightings reported for the 21st October 1954, in the Staffordshire area.

As above these would be in the PRO.

7). Any Sightings reported over Wimborne, Hampshire, May 0 17/1. If any sightings were reported these would be in the same files as 1) above. See 1) This could be searched for at some time at an estimate

8). Any Sightings over Great Yarmouth for the 20th August 1980.

Section has already asked about this date in his previous letter, but for a different location. Any reports would be in the same files as indicated at 3) above. See 3) the scarched This could be scarched for at scare time at in extra cost 9) Any UFO reports, or any Sightings, for the 19th/20th June 1981, in the Hertfordshire & Suffolk areas.

Malready asked a similar question in his previous letter. Any reports would be in the same files as indicated at 4) above.

See 4) The could be searched for at no edita cost.

PRO .

Calculation of work/time/cost to research answers all these questions.

Total number of files to be searched = 18

Number of enclosures (unknown) assumed 100 per file = 1800 documents

Average 2 minutes per document to review/sanitise = 3600 minutes $\div 60 = 60$ hours

4 hours work would be free = 56 hours at £15 per hour = £840 to charge.

Comparison with work carried out for Section 40

It was estimated that in order to answer Section 400 est 10 hours work was required, which would cost £150. As a gesture of goodwill he was asked to agree to pay only half of this ie. £75. When the work was complete he was billed for the actual time taken, which was 8 hours 40 minutes at a cost of £65.

FILES IDENTIFIED RELATING TO THE SUBJECT OF "UFOS" COVERING THE PERIOD 1970 - 1981:

Note.

- At the time of the PQ December 1998 a trawl was made through the most likely MOD Form 262Fs stored at Hayes for files relating to UFOs (search was in fact limited to Sec(AS) and predecessor branches only).
- In addition, files already reviewed, catalogue and transferred to the PRO, but have yet to be released are identified.
- 3. Comments column record the location of the file, if known:

a. PRO - Public Record Office ie awaiting release at the designated date.

b. Records 1 = D Info (Exp)R 1, GSY. The files are awaiting cataloguing and eventual transfer to the PRO.

c. Records 2 = D Info (Exp)R2, Hayes. Files are held pending transfer to D Info (Exp)R1.

d. Defence Intelligence Staff $\,$ - DIS, OWO. Records to be transferred to D Info (Exp)R 1/2 in due course.

e. Sec(AS), now DAS(Sec).

| File ref. | Date | Title | Comments |
|--------------|---------|-------------------------|--|
| AIR 2/18564 | 1957-71 | UFO reports West Freugh | PRO for release 2002, but as the subject of Section 4 |
| | | | Sectionquig With Records 1 |
| AIR 2/18565 | 1970-71 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2002 |
| AIR 2/18872 | 1972-73 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2004 |
| AUR 2/18873 | 1973-74 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2005, |
| | | | but as the subject of Section 4 |
| AIR 2/18874 | 1974-75 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2006 |
| AIR 2/18920 | 1975-76 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2007 |
| AIR 2/18921 | 1976 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2007 |
| AIR 20/12067 | Jan 70 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2001 |
| AIR 20/12297 | Feb 70 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2001 |
| AIR 20/12298 | Mar 70 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2001 |
| AIR 20/12299 | Apr 70 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2001 |
| AIR 20/12300 | May 70 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2001 |
| AIR 20/12301 | Jun 70 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2001 |
| AIR 20/12302 | Jul 70 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2001 |
| AIR 20/12303 | Aug 70 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2001 |
| AIR 20/12304 | Sep 70 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2001 |
| AIR 20/12305 | Oct 70 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2001 |
| AIR 20/12306 | Nov 70 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2001 |
| AIR 20/12399 | 1971-72 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2003 |
| AIR 20/12400 | Jan 71 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2003 |
| AIR 20/12401 | Feb 72 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2003 |
| AIR 20/12402 | Mar 72 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2003 |
| AIR 20/12403 | Apr 72 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2003 |
| AIR 20/12404 | May 72 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2003 |

| 4/0 20 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|----------|
| AIR 20/12405 | jun 72 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2003 | |
| AIR 20/12406 | Jul 72 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2003 | |
| AIR 20/12407 | Aug 72 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2003 | |
| AIR 20/12408 | Sep 72 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2003 | |
| AIR 20/12409 | Oct 72 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2003 | |
| AIR 20/12410 | Nov 72 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2003 | |
| AIR 20/12411 | Dec72 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2003 | |
| AIR 20/12544 | Jan 73 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2004 | |
| AIR 20/12545 | Feb 73 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2004 | |
| AIR 20/12546 | Mar 73 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2004 | |
| AIR 20/12547 | Apr 73 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2004 | |
| AIR 20/12548 | May 73 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2004 | |
| AIR 20/12549 | Jun 73 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2004 | |
| AIR 20/12550 | Jul 73 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2004 | |
| AIR 20/12551 | Aug 73 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2004 | |
| AIR 20/12552 | Sep 73 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2004 | |
| AIR 20/12553 | Oct 73 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2004 | |
| AIR 20/12554 | Nov 73 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2004 | |
| AIR 20/12555 | Nov 73 | UFO reports | PRO for release 2004 | |
| BJ 5/311 | <u>Dec 73</u> 1968-70 | UFO: Met aspects | PRO for release 2004 | |
| B/ 5/377 AF/419 | 1968-70 Dec 71 | | PRO for release 2001 | |
| | Dec /1 | BBC 2 Man Alive programme | A - | |
| AF (SAF(-)) (477 | | LIEOs Padia Oufant - | Records 1 | e · . |
| AF/S4f(a)/422 | Dec 72 | UFOs Radio Oxford programme | POLICY FILE!" Records 1 | 1 |
| AF/447 Pt 1 | Aug 75- | Edited Reports | Records 1 | T |
| | Jun 76 | 1 | Edited very badly. Some | |
| | ļ | + / / | are duplicated in files | |
| | | + | marked thus * (below) | |
| A E 10 A 4/ A 1 A = | | Leave and the second second | | |
| AF/S4f(Air)/506 | 1967-73 | Statistical analysis of UFOs (in | "POLICY FILE!" Records 1 | |
| | | response to PQs) | Acres 4 | |
| AF/584 | Jan 74 | response to PQs) Reports | Records T | |
| AF/584 AF/585 | Jan 74 Feb 74 | response to PQs) Reports Reports | Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 | response to PQs) Reports Reports Reports | Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 | Jan 74 Feb 74 | response to PQs) Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports | Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/588 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 | response to PQs) Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports | Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/588 AF/589 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jun 74 | response to PQs) Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports | Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/588 AF/589 AF/589 AF/590 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 | response to PQs) Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports | Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/588 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jun 74 | response to PQs) Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports | Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/588 AF/589 AF/589 AF/590 | J <u>an 74</u> Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jun 74 Jul 74 | response to PQs) Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports | Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/588 AF/589 AF/590 AF/591 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jun 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 | response to PQs) Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports | Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/588 AF/589 AF/589 AF/590 AF/591 AF/592 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jun 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Sep 74 | response to PQs) Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports | Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/587 AF/589 AF/599 AF/590 AF/591 AF/592 AF/593 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 Jun 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Sep 74 Oct 74 | response to PQs) Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports Reports | Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/589 AF/589 AF/590 AF/591 AF/591 AF/593 AF/594 AF/595 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jul 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Sep 74 Oct 74 Nov 74 Dec 74 | response to PQs) Reports Repor | Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/589 AF/589 AF/590 AF/591 AF/592 AF/593 AF/593 AF/595 AF/596 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jul 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Sep 74 Oct 74 Nov 74 Dec 74 Jan 75 | response to PQs) Reports Repor | Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/588 AF/589 AF/590 AF/591 AF/592 AF/593 AF/593 AF/595 AF/595 AF/596 AF/587 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jul 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Sep 74 Oct 74 Nov 74 Dec 74 Jan 75 Feb 75 | response to PQs) Reports Repor | Records 1 Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/588 AF/589 AF/590 AF/590 AF/591 AF/592 AF/593 AF/594 AF/595 AF/595 AF/596 AF/587 AF/588 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jul 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Sep 74 Oct 74 Nov 74 Dec 74 Jan 75 Feb 75 Mar 75 | response to PQs) Reports Repor | Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/588 AF/589 AF/590 AF/591 AF/591 AF/592 AF/593 AF/594 AF/595 AF/596 AF/587 AF/598 AF/598 AF/599 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 Jun 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Sep 74 Oct 74 Nov 74 Dec 74 Jan 75 Feb 75 Mar 75 Apr 75 | response to PQs) Reports Repor | Records 1 Record | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/586 AF/587 AF/589 AF/590 AF/591 AF/592 AF/593 AF/593 AF/594 AF/595 AF/596 AF/598 AF/598 AF/599 AF/598 AF/599 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jun 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Oct 74 Nov 74 Dec 74 Jan 75 Feb 75 Mar 75 May 75 | response to PQs) Reports Repor | Records I Corr 4 Records I Corr 4 Records I Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/589 AF/590 AF/590 AF/591 AF/593 AF/593 AF/594 AF/595 AF/596 AF/587 AF/598 AF/598 AF/590 AF/590 AF/590 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jul 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Sep 74 Oct 74 Nov 74 Dec 74 Jan 75 Feb 75 Mar 75 Apr 75 May 75 Jun 75 | response to PQs) Reports Repor | Records I | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/589 AF/590 AF/590 AF/591 AF/592 AF/593 AF/593 AF/594 AF/595 AF/596 AF/587 AF/598 AF/598 AF/590 AF/581 AF/561 AF/562 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jul 74 Jul 74 Sep 74 Oct 74 Nov 74 Dec 74 Jan 75 Feb 75 Mar 75 Mar 75 Jun 75 Jul 75 Jul 75 | response to PQs) Reports Repor | Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/587 AF/587 AF/589 AF/590 AF/591 AF/592 AF/593 AF/593 AF/594 AF/595 AF/596 AF/598 AF/598 AF/599 AF/560 AF/561 AF/562 AF/560 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jul 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Sep 74 Oct 74 Nov 74 Dec 74 Jan 75 Feb 75 Mar 75 May 75 Jun 75 Jul 75 Jul 75 Dec 75 | response to PQs) Reports Repor | Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/585 AF/587 AF/587 AF/589 AF/590 AF/591 AF/592 AF/593 AF/593 AF/594 AF/595 AF/595 AF/596 AF/598 AF/598 AF/598 AF/598 AF/598 AF/591 AF/591 AF/592 AF/591 AF/592 AF/592 AF/593 AF/592 AF/593 AF/595 AF/593 AF/593 AF/595 AF/593 AF/595 AF/593 AF/595 AF/593 AF/595 AF/595 AF/595 AF/595 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/598 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/560 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jul 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Sep 74 Oct 74 Nov 74 Dec 74 Jan 75 Feb 75 Mar 75 Apr 75 Jul 75 Jul 75 Dec 75 Jan 76 | response to PQs) Reports Repor | Records 1 | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/586 AF/587 AF/589 AF/590 AF/591 AF/592 AF/593 AF/593 AF/594 AF/595 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/597 AF/590 AF/560 AF/561 AF/561 AF/608 AF/600 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jul 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Sep 74 Oct 74 Nov 74 Dec 74 Jan 75 Feb 75 Mar 75 May 75 Jun 75 Jul 75 Jul 75 Dec 75 | response to PQs) Reports Repor | Records 1 | |
| AF / 584 AF / 585 AF / 586 AF / 586 AF / 587 AF / 589 AF / 590 AF / 590 AF / 591 AF / 592 AF / 593 AF / 595 AF / 596 AF / 598 AF / 598 AF / 599 AF / 598 AF / 599 AF / 590 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jul 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Sep 74 Oct 74 Nov 74 Dec 74 Jan 75 Feb 75 Mar 75 Apr 75 Jul 75 Jul 75 Dec 75 Jan 76 | response to PQs) Reports Repor | Records I | |
| AF/584 AF/585 AF/586 AF/586 AF/587 AF/589 AF/590 AF/591 AF/592 AF/593 AF/593 AF/594 AF/595 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/596 AF/597 AF/590 AF/560 AF/561 AF/561 AF/608 AF/600 | Jan 74 Feb 74 Mar 74 Apr 94 May 74 Jun 74 Jul 74 Aug 74 Sep 74 Oct 74 Nov 74 Dec 74 Jan 75 Feb 75 Mar 75 Jun 75 Jun 75 Jun 75 Jun 75 Dec 75 May 75 Jun 76 | response to PQs) Reports Repor | Records I | |

•

| AF/616 | Sep 76 | Reports | Records 1 | |
|-----------------------|--------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| AF/617 | Oct 76 | Reports | Records 1 | |
| AF/618 | Nov 76 | Reports | Records 1 | |
| AF/619 | Dec 76 | Reports | Records 1 | |
| AF/3459/75 | 1970 | Policy and policy statements | "POLICY FILE" | Purch |
| | | | Records 1 | |
| D/DS8/25/3 | 1978 | Parliamentary correspondence | Records 2 | alman ungue |
| D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt A | 1977 | UFO reports, correspondence | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt B | 1977 | UFO reports, correspondence | Records 2 | - myne |
| D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt C | 1978 | UFO reports, correspondence | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt F | 1979 | UFO reports, correspondence | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt G | 1980 | UFO reports, correspondence | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/1 Pt H | 1980 | UFO reports, correspondence | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt A | 1977 | UFO reports (edited copies) | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt B | 1977 | UFO reports (edited copies) | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt C | 1977 | UFO reports (edited copies) | Records 2 | |
| D/D58/75/2/2 Pt D | 1977 | UFO reports (edited copies) | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt E | 1978 | UFO reports (edited copies) | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt F | 1978 | UFO reports (edited copies) | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt G | 1979 | UFO reports (edited copies) | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt H | 1979 | UFO reports (edited copies) | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt J | 1979 | UFO reports (edited copies) | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt K | 1979 | UFO reports (edited copies) | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt L | 1980 | UFO reports (edited copies) | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/2 Pt M | 1980 | UFO reports (edited copies) | Records 2 | |
| D/DS75/2/3 Pt D | 1978 | UFO reports | Records 2 | |
| D/DS75/2/3 Pt E | 1978 | UFO reports | Sec(AS) - 8/00 | |
| D/DS75/2/3 Pt F | 1978 | UFO reports | Sec(AS) - 8/00 | |
| D/DS75/2/3 Pt G | 1978 | UFO reports | Records 2 | |
| D/DS75/2/3 Pt H | 1978 | UFO reports | Records 2 | |
| D/DS75/2/3 Pt J | 1978 | UFO reports | Records 2 | |
| D/D\$7\$/2/4 Pt A | 1979 | UFO reports | Records 2 | |
| D/DS75/2/4 Pt B | 1979 | UFO reports | Records 2 | |
| D/DS75/2/4 Pt D | 1979 | UFO reports | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/5 Pt A | 1980 | UFO reports | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/2/5 Pt B | 1980 | UFO reports | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/6 Pt A | 1979 | TV discussion | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/75/7 Pt A | 1979 | Satellite debris | Records 2 | |
| D/DS8/10/209 Pt A | 1981 | General briefs and reports, UFO | Records 2 | |
| 0,000,10,200 114 | 1301 | correspondence | Necolus 2 | |
| D/DS8/10/209 Pt B | 1981 | General briefs and reports, | Records 2 | |
| 0,000,00,000,000 | 1501 | correspondence | 11-40743 | |
| D/DS8/10/209 Pt C | 1982 | General briefs and reports, | Records 2 | |
| 0/000/10/2001112 | 1302 | correspondence | | |
| D/DS8/10/209 Pt D | 1982 | General briefs and reports, | N/T Hayes | |
| 0/030/10/203710 | 1 902 | correspondence | ing r mayes | |
| D/D58/10/209 Pt E | 1983 | General briefs and reports, | N/T Hayes | _ |
| -,050/10/205106 | 1905 | correspondence | infr mayes | |
| D/DS8/10/209 Pt F | 1984 | General briefs and reports, | N/T Hayes | |
| -, | 1504 | correspondence | | |
| D/DS8/10/209 Pt G | 1984 | General briefs and reports, | Records 2 | |
| -,, | 1.50. | correspondence | | |
| D/DS8/10/209/1 Pt A | 1983 | General briefs and reports, UFO | Records 2 | · · · |
| _,, , o, cos, , r r A | 1.202 | correspondence reports | | 1 |

| D/DS8/10/209/1 Pt B | 1984 | General briefs and reports, UFO correspondence reports | Records 2 | |
|---------------------|------|--|------------------------|------------|
| D/DS8/10/209/1 Pt C | 1985 | General briefs and reports, UFO correspondence reports | Records 2 | |
| | | | | |
| AF/X58/64 | 1968 | UFO policy & policy statements | DAS(Sec), LM 24 Aug 00 | 1 |
| AF/X58/64 | 1970 | UFO policy & policy statements | DAS(Sec), LM 24 Aug 00 | 1 |
| D/D58/75/1 Pt A | 1979 | UFO policy & policy statements | DAS(Sec), LM 24 Aug 00 | Policy 6?. |
| D/DS8/75/1 Pt B | 1979 | UFO policy & policy statements | DAS(Sec), LM 24 Aug 00 | 1 |

FILES IDENTIFIED BY SOCIO 17 Z DESIGNATED PERIOD

| D/Sec(AS)/12/1 Pt A | 1985 | UFO policy | DAS(Sec) ? | |
|---------------------|------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| D/Sec(AS)/64/1 Pt A | 1996 | UFO policy | DAS(Sec) ? | Police Du |
| D/Sec(AS)/64/1 Pt B | | UFO policy | DAS(Sec) ? | |
| D/Sec(AS)/64/1 Pt C | | UFO policy | · DAS(Sec) ? | outside |
| D/Sec(AS)/64/1 Pt D | | UFO policy | DAS(Sec) ? | peracel o |
| D/Sec(AS)/64/5 Pt A | 1996 | Media issues | DAS(Sec) ? | (194 Key. |

UFO FILES CREATED BY DIS

exercise.

Note: Information obtained by Section 40 (Exp)R1b, during the early phases of the Section 40

55/40/9/1 Pt 1 58 - 63 **UFO policy** IN PRO DEFE 31/118 55/40/9/1 Pt 2 63 - 67 IN PRO DEFE 31/119 **UFO** policy 55/40/9/1 Pt 3 DESTROYED 8 Aug 84 68 - 71 **UFO** policy 55/108/15 Pt 4 DIS 71 - 96 UFO policy 55/108/15 Pt 5 96 - 00 UFO policy DIS 55/108/15 Pt 6 **UFO** policy 2000 - date DIS veny 108/15/1 Pt 1 N/K Incident file DIS 108/15/1 Pt 2 N/K Incident file DIS 108/15/1 Pt 3 DIS N/K Incident file 108/15/1 Pt 3 DIS N/K Incident file DIS 108/15/1 Pt 4 Incident file N/K 108/15/1 Pt 5 N/K Incident file DIS 108/15/1 Pt 6 N/K Incident file DIS 108/15/1 Pt 7 Incident file DIS N/K 108/15/1 Pt 8 N/K Incident file DIS 108/15/1 Pt 9 N/K Incident file DIS DESTROYED DATE N/K 108/15/1 Pt 10 N/K Incident file 108/15/1 Pt 11 DESTROYED DATE N/K N/K Incident file 108/15/1 Pt 12 N/K Incident file DESTROYED DATE N/K 108/15/1 Pt 13 N/K Incident file DESTROYED DATE N/K ١ 108/15/1 Pt 14 N/K Incident file DESTROYED DATE N/K 108/15/1 Pt 15 N/K Incident file DESTROYED DATE N/K 108/15/1 Pt 16 N/K Incident file DESTROYED DATE N/K 108/15/1 Pt 17 Incident file DESTROYED DATE N/K N/K 108/15/1 Pt 18 N/K Incide DESTROYED DATE N/K 108/15/1 Pt 19 N/K Inciden DESTROYED DATE N/K 108/15/1 Pt 20 N/K Incident file DESTROYED DATE N/K 108/15/1 Pt 21 1977 Incident file Records 1 108/15/1 Pt 22 1977 Incident file Records 1 108/15/1 Pt 23 Incident file **Records** 1 1977

| 99992 1997 | | | |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 108/15/1 Pt 24 | 1977 | Incident file | Records 1 |
| 108/15/1 Pt 25 | 1977 | Incident file | Records 1 |
| 108/15/1 Pt 26 | N/K | Incident file | DESTROYED DATE N/K |
| 108/15/1 Pt 27 | TN/K | Incident file | DESTROYED DATE N/K |
| 108/15/1 Pt 28 | N/K | Incident file | DESTROYED DATE N/K |
| 108/15/1 Pt 29 | N/K | Incident file | DESTROYED DATE N/K |
| 108/15/1 Pt 30 | N/K | Incident file | DESTROYED DATE N/K |
| 108/15/1 Pt 31 | N/K | Incident file | DESTROYED DATE N/K |
| 108/15/1 Pt 32 | Feb 78 - Jan 83 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/1/5 IPt 33 | N/K | Incident file | DESTROYED 30 Nov 92 |
| 108/15/1 Pt 34 | Jun <u>83</u> - Mar 85 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 35 | Apr 85 - Dec 86 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 36A | Dec 86 - Nov 87 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 36B | Nov 87 - Jul 88 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 37 | Aug 88 - Jul 89 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 38 | Jul 89 - Dec 89 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 39 | Jan 90 - Jun 91 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 40 | Jun 91 - Jan 92 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 41 | Feb 92 - Apr 93 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 42 | Apr 93 - Oct 93 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 43 | Oct 93 - Jan 94 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 44 | Jan 94 - May 94 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 45 | Jun 94 - Nov 94 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 46 | Nov 94 - May 95 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 47 | Jun 95 - Jan 96 | incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 48 | Feb 96 - Jul 96 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 49 | Aug 96 - Oct 96 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 50 | Nov 96 - Dec 97 | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/1 Pt 51 | Jan 97 - to date | Incident file | DIS |
| 108/15/2 Pt 1 | 1993 | "Title unknown" | DIS |

...



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

| +1 | | ノフ |) |
|----|---|----|-----|
| +1 | < | ~ | _ |
| 21 | | 1 | -1 |
| | | 7 | у×. |

020 7218 6638

| Telephone | (Direct dial) |
|-----------|------------------------|
| | (Switchboard) (Fax) |
| | (GTN) |

020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Defence Science and Technology Laboratory Portsdown West Portsdown Hill Road Hampshire PO17 6AD Your Reference

Our Reference D/DAS(Sec)64/3 Date 23 May 2001

Dear Section 40

Please find enclosed letters from two members of the public which have been sent to this department.

Section the has invented new propulsion technology which he is keen to share with the UK government, and Sections equiparties as to whether the RAF possesses any atomic powered aircraft as described in his letter.

This department has no expertise in this field and the Technical Director at DERA Boscombe Down has suggested that you may be able to help these people with their enquiries. I would therefore be grateful if you could reply to them direct.

Thank you for your assistance.

Yours sinceley, Section 40



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

| Telephone | (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) | 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section |
|-----------|--|---|
|-----------|--|---|

| | Your Reference |
|------------------|---|
| South Kensington | Our Reference D/DAS(Sec)64/3 Date |
| Section 40 | Date 21 May 2001 |



Thank you for your letter of 13 April addressed to the Secretary of State for Defence regarding 'atomic powered aircraft'.

Your letter has been passed to the Defence Science and Technology Laboratory who may be able to answer your questions. Should you need to contact them the address is as follows;



Defence Science and Technology Laboratory Portsdown West Portsdown Hill Road Hampshire PO17 6AD

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,





AIRCRAFY

23 ADD 2001

MINISTERIAL CORRESPONDENCE UNIT

To DAB (Sec)

Ref No <u>3365</u> /2001 Date <u>2014</u> (0)

The Secretary of State, / _____ has received the attached letter from a member of the public. It has not been acknowledged by this office.

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister concerned. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly, your reply should therefore be sent **within 20 working days** of receipt in this branch. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 223/99, further information is available from DOMD on extension Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of **requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice** including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence performed throughout the year.



| ~ ' | Section 40 |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ECRETARY OF STATE FOR DEFENCE | |
| Ministry of Defence | |
| MAIN Building | |
| WHITEHALL | SOUTH KENSINGTON |
| LONDON SWI | Section 40 |
| | Tel: Section 40 |
| | 2001.04.13 |
| | |
| MR. GEOFF HOON | |
| Dear Sir | |
| | |
| I am Writing to ask if the Royal Air Force is | Flying any Atomic-Powered |
| Aurcraft. | |
| I keep having this recurring Dream about on 1 | Atomic Powered Spaceship. I |
| would like to let you know about it. | |
| This spaceship is based on the Lockheed SR-711 | Blackbird. It has a crew |
| of two. It has full stealth capability and when | Fully cloaked it is |
| Completely invisible and also invisible to Radar. 7 | |
| layered Force Field Surrounding it. Each layer | |
| to the layer beneath it. | - |
| The spaceship has six Laser cannon Firing Forwa | rd From Underneath the nose. |
| These are capable of destroying a Small Moon h | |
| once. The Loser cannon use Gravity Waves and t | - |
| the Atomic Power Plant. The Light-Boltz are acc | ~ _ |
| at a Very Fast speed by revolving Magnetic Field | |
| The spaceship has two separate propulsion system | |
| Faster than Light travel. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| In my Dream, the spaceship had the name AUR? | ORA TWO clearly dischard |
| on the Port Side, Just below the cockpit. In | · · · · · |
| Similar Spaceship called SIGMA 3. | |
| I would be interested to hear your comments on | |
| Thankyou. | |
| Yours Faithfully | |
| Section 40 | |



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Lafayette IN 47904 USA

Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS(Sec)64/3

D/DAS(Sec)64/3 Date 21 May 2001



Further to my letter of 29 March regarding your invention, I have been informed by the Defence Evaluation and Research Agency at Boscombe Down, that the correct person to deal with your enquiries is Section 40 at the Defence Science and Technology Laboratory. I have passed your letter to Section back also sent your second letter which was addressed to DERA at Boscombe Down. I apologise for any inconvenience caused.

Should you need to contact Sectionthe Guture, the address is as follows;

Section 40

Defence Science and Technology Laboratory Portsdown West Portsdown Hill Road Hamphire PO17 6AD

Yours sincerely,



(GBR) VØ. 23 1M -MAY-2001 -01.1 NO. 023501 14 MAY 2001 DERA Unwiks Boscombe Down 10.50 0011 gent Dear Madame(s) /Sir(s), they !! until toluction contart us then. About 5 weeks ago I was kindly informed by Section 40 Tred the Directorate of Air Staff at the British MoD, that my letter 131 (david mid-March ? 01) had been duly forwarded to you. [McD

> reference D/DAS (Sec) 64/3]. The new propulsion/levitation concept that I'm proposing works an where (on land, in water, on water, in any type of atmosphere, in space is would enable a vessel to be a space with, aircraft, submarine a (wheeled) land-ATV simultaneously, or juse specialize in any sin or any combination of these roles, e.g. as a boat/submarine-aircraft.

> It is not an antigravity concept. It needs power, e.g. from an ordin jet or piston eagine, or even from a compact nuclear BWR. The last source is obviously best-suited for space applications, e.g. (unmanne interstellar voyages, new made more practicable because this come does not involve wave or particle emission-thrust (jet-effect) at as it is also more efficient and reliable than any of inday's propulsu. /lift(-off) technologies. Yet, it is relatively simple for today's acrespace/aircraft industry to make a projectype of it for resting.

> I would be truly gratefull for an opportunity to visit you, even for only a day, at a time and place of your convenience, for a fewhour presentation and discussion, should you be kink enough to cover the expense of that trip (simple necomodations) and sign a simple noturized statement of non-disclosure in reference to an attached (and also notarized) copy of the material I will be presenting.

> Please let me know what your current intentions of procedural state on this matter is. Sincerely and cordially, Section 40 8 May "

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE DAS 3 23 MAY 2001 FILE TOTAL P 21 ROM UF-770 (GBR)V0.23 1M

P.01

P.S. Some of my mail has been misplaced during the last 3 menths due to a recent change in mail-person locally. If you have al ready mailed me anything, PLEASE remail it to me (w/any revisions you may deem appropriate after receiving this letter, Thank you so much. Section 49 May '01.

HE REACH

| Section 40 Bolowde Bo Section 40 Bolowde Bo Section 40 From Section 40 From Se | DERRA Aircraft Test and Evaluation Technical Director DERA Boson be Sown SALISBURY With SP4 0JF Tei Section 200 |
|---|--|
| Our Ref. DERA/AT&E/TD/00/1 Your Ref. D/DAS(Sec)64/3 - Port V-Encky Dear Section 40 | 2 April 2001 |
| We have received your covering note and the information concess submitted to you by Section worthately, we are not the corres Chief Scientist, DERA Boscombe Down (now known as Section person as Chief Scientist DERA – who was situated at DERA Famb I have made enquiries on your behalf and I am now told that directed to Section 40 Portsdown West. | on 40 was not the same orough. |
| I am very sorry not to have been able to help you more, but I hor resolve this issue and that you can find the correct person to help Yours sincerely Section 40 Section 40 | |

| ġ | MINISTRY OF D | Dife ctorate of Air Staff (Sec) 4 DEFENCE hitehall, London, SW1A 2HI | | 15 2 |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---|---|-----------------------------|
| | | Telephone | (Direct dial) (Fax) | 0207 218 2140 Section 40 |
| Sectio | on 40 | | Reference eference S(Sec)/64/3 4 | |
| Penzance Cornwall Sectio | | Date 30 April | | |
| Dear S | ection 40 | | | <u></u> |

Thank you for your letter dated 23 March 2001, which was passed to us by the Low Flying Complaints Cell. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'unidentified flying objects.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

Reports from members of the public of sightings are usually made to Police stations, RAF stations and air traffic control centres and are then forwarded to this office. There is also an answerphone in this office where sighting reports can be left. The reports, which are usually very brief and vague, are considered, as necessary, in consultation with air defence advisers within the MOD, and a decision is taken as to whether what was seen represents a threat to the security of the UK. Sighting reports are kept on file within this office for future reference.

As is the case with other government files, MOD files are subject to the provisions of the Public Records Act of 1958 and 1967. This Act of Parliament states that official files generally remain closed from public viewing for 30 years after the last action has been taken. It was generally the case that before 1967 all "UFO" files were destroyed after five years, as there was insufficient public interest in the subject to merit their permanent retention. However since 1967, following an increase in public interest in this subject "UFO" report files are now routinely preserved. Files from between 1967-1971, along with any files from the 1950s and early 1960s which did survive, are already available for examination by members of the public at the Public Record Office, Ruskin Avenue, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 4DU. Files from 1972 onwards will be routinely released to the Public Record Office at the 30 year point.

The Department receives, on average, about 400 sighting reports from members of the public each year and a similar number of letters, some of which may also contain sighting reports or photographic material. Therefore, as I hope you will appreciate, it would not be practical for us to provide you with copies of such a vast amount of material. If, however, there are specific dates or sightings which you are interested in, we may be able to be of further assistance.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours Sincerely Section 40

UK SKYWATCH



Our Ref: LFC/03/01

Date: 23rd March 2001

To: Unidentified Arial Phenomena Branch

Low Flying Cell Main Building Whitehall London SW1

Dear Sir's

I have been instructed by one of your colleagues to send any reports of strange Ariel phenomena or UFO's to your department. UK Skywatch has been collecting statements from witnesses and photographs, videotapes taken of UFO's around Cornwall since 1990.

I would appreciate any reports or photographic material you may have at your disposal for our interests, and in return UK Skywatch would be pleased to send you any such information.

Sincerely





| | From: Section Directorate of Air 4a1(Secretariat) MINISTRY OF D Room 8245, Main | Staff DEFENCE | nali, Londo | n, SW1A 2H | 20 B |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | Telephone | (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) | 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40 |
| Section 4 | 0 | | | | |
| Dudley | 4 | | Your P | eference | |
| West Midlands Section 40 | | | Our Re D/DAS Date 27 Apr | ference (Sec)64/3 il 2001 | |



Thank you for your e-mail message of 15 May in which you asked us to forward any documents held by the Ministry of Defence with regard to 'Unidentified Flying Objects'. Your letter has been passed to this office as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence of this nature.

Firstly, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' we receive solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to MOD files on these matters, they are subject to the provisions of the Public Records Act of 1958 and 1967. This Act of Parliament states that official files generally remain closed from public viewing for 30 years after the last action has been taken. It was generally the case that before 1967 all "UFO" files were destroyed after five years, as there was insufficient public interest in the subject to merit their permanent retention. However since 1967, following an increase in public interest, "UFO" report files are now routinely preserved. Any files from the 1950s and early 1960s which did survive are already available for examination by members of the public at the Public Record Office, Ruskin Avenue, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 4DU. Files from 1967 onwards will be routinely released to the Public Record Office at the 30 year point.

The Ministry of Defence is bound by the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information. This means that we are committed to providing you with the information you require, as long as it is not exempted under the Code. If therefore, you could be more specific about what information you are seeking we may be able to see what material may be available. Please bare in mind that while a limited search of archived files may be undertaken for free (say for a specific date or sighting) we have a charging regime for more complicated requests. This is to ensure that this work does not create an extra burden on the taxpayer. Finally, you should be aware that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Yours sincerely,



Law Ply 12 Utos

Ref No 301/2001

Date 1014 101



MINISTERIAL CORRESPONDENCE UNIT

DAS4 (F

The Secretary of State, / _____ has received the attached letter from a member of the public. It has not been acknowledged by this office.

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister concerned. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly, your reply should therefore be sent within 20 working days of receipt in this branch. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 223/99, further information is available from DOMD on extension Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of **requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice** including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Section 40

| 2 | Demon | Internet | W | ebmail |
|---|-------|----------|---|--------|
| | | | | |

| | | | | - | - |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Dêmon | Marib | ox Listing . | | Secure ministers | e Conn s.demo |
| Message: Meiser | e View | headers | | | |
| Originally from: | Section | | 12 0100 | Originally to: | publ |
| Date: | Mon, 15 P | May 2000 19:29: | 13 +0100 | | |
| Subject: UNEXPLA | INFD | | | | |
| This is a multi- | | e in MIME for | mat. | | |
| = NextPart | 000 0005 0 | BEBEAS DODAR | 900 | | |
| Content-Type: te | xt/plain; | | | | |
| charset=' Content-Transfer | "iso-8859-1 -Encoding: | | ble | | |
| | - | | | | |
| PLEASE FORWARD AN RELATING TO OFFIC | | | | | |
| INTO THE UNEXPLAN | INED UNIDEN | TIFIED FLYING | OBJECTS V | HICH ARE SIGNIE | |
| TO THE UNITED KI | NGDOMS DEFE | NCE OR AEROSP. | ACE THREAT | | |
| Section 40 | | | | | |
| DUDLEY WEST MIDLANDS | | | | | |
| Section 40 | | | | | |
| = NextPart | 000 0005 0 | 1BFBEA3.D9DAB | 900 | | |
| Content-Type: ter | xt/html; | | | | |
| Content-Transfer- | "iso-8859-1 -Encoding: | | ble | | |
| DOCTYPE HTML PI</td <td>UBLIC "-//W</td> <td>3C//DTD HTMI</td> <td>4.0 Transi</td> <th>tional//EN"></th> <td></td> | UBLIC "-//W | 3C//DTD HTMI | 4.0 Transi | tional//EN"> | |
| <html><head></head></html> | | | | | |
| <meta content="3D<br"/> http-equiv=3DCont | | charset=3D15 | 0-8859-1" | = | |
| <meta content="3D</td"/> <td>"MSHTML 5.0</td> <td>0.2314.1000"</td> <td>name=3DGEN</td> <th>IERATOR></th> <td></td> | "MSHTML 5.0 | 0.2314.1000" | name=3DGEN | IERATOR> | |
| <style></style> | | | | | |
| <body bgcolor="3D<br"><div><font color="</td"><td></td><td>facorapuscal</td><td>Antima"</td><th>izo-3D2NDI ENCE</th><td>FORMER</td></div></body> | | facorapuscal | Antima" | izo-3D2NDI ENCE | FORMER |
| ANY RELEVENT=20 | | Lace-JD DOOK . | miciqua s | 776-207%LTEW2F | 2 ORWAR |
| INFORMATION, DOCU GOVERMENT OR=20 | UMENTS OR A | NY CORRESPOND | ENCE RELAT | ING TO OFFICIAL | . = |
| MILITARY INVOLVED | MENT OR INV | ESTIGATION IN | TO THE UNE | XPLAINED UNIDEN | TIFIED |
| FLYING=20 OBJECTS WHICH AR | E SIGNIFICA | NT TO THE UNT | TED KINGD | MS DEFENCE OF = | = |
| AEROSPACE=20 | | | | | |
| THREAT. <td></td> <td>face=3D"Book</td> <td>Antigua" s</td> <th>size=3D2>MR C =</th> <td></td> | | face=3D"Book | Antigua" s | size=3D2>MR C = | |
| | | | | | |
| LOWE <td></td> <td>6 35 MR</td> <td>T</td> <th></th> <td></td> | | 6 35 MR | T | | |
| LOWE <div><font color="</td"><td></td><td>face=3D"Book .</td><td>Antiqua" s</td><th>size=3D2>114 =</th><td></td></div> | | face=3D"Book . | Antiqua" s | size=3D2>114 = | |
| LOWE <div><font color="<br">RICHBOROUGH=20 DRIVE<td>=3D#000080 ∷ V></td><td></td><td></td><th></th><td></td></div> | =3D#000080 ∷ V> | | | | |
| LOWE <div><font color="<br">RICHBOROUGH=20</div> | =3D#000080 V> =3D#000080 | face=3D"Book | | | |
| LOWE <div><font color="<br">RICHBOROUGH=20 DRIVE<div><font color="<br">size=3D2>DUDLEY<, <div><font color="</td"><td>=3D#000080 V> =3D#000080 /FONT>=3D#000080</td><td>face=3D"Book . ></td><td>Antiqua" =</td><th></th><td></td></div></div></div> | =3D#000080 V> =3D#000080 /FONT>=3D#000080 | face=3D"Book . > | Antiqua" = | | |
| LOWE <div><font color="<br">RICHBOROUGH=20 DRIVE<div><font color="<br">size=3D2>DUDLEY<,</div></div> | =3D#000080 V> =3D#000080 /FONT>=3D#000080 /DIV> | face=3D"Book . > face=3D"Book . | Antiqua" = Antiqua" s | = size=3D2>WEST = | |


From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1(Secretariat) MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

> Telephone (Direct dial) 020 72 (Switchboard) 020 73 (Fax) (GTN) Sec





Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS(Sec)64/3 Date 24 April 2001



Thank you for your letter of 5 April in which you ask for details of a Flight Lieutenant you believe was a Chaplain based at RAF Cosford in 1963.



This department does not trace Service personnel, but you may wish to write to the RAF Personnel Management Agency (Secretariat) who might be able to help you. If they are able to trace Flight Lieutenant Section will out disclose his whereabouts, but they may be able to forward a letter to him on your behalf. The address is as follows;

RAF Personnel Management Agency (Secretariat)1 Building 248a Room 9 RAF Innsworth Gloucester Gloucestershire GL3 1EZ

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,



Section 40 Section 40 Section 40 5/4/01 Jis/mos/ms AM me instermation about es at RAT Castors, por Lampl peped aant Section 40 No Lid he c ang intermation Aun 10 4 M 2 pasta 10 about lave, Jerr help Me Section 40





rage 1 of 2

LCU. DAS (Sec).



The Cosford Cover-up

by Christopher Holton

Introduction

Most people today have heard of the Ministry of Defence covering up UFO sightings. especially if one occurs near or in the grounds of an Air Base. How many people though would think that it could happen on your own doorstep?

During December 1963 at RAF Cosford, near Wolverhampton in the West Midlands, an alleged witnessed near UFO landing occurred. Did the MOD decide to cover up this sighting with a smoke screen of misinformation? I have been investigating this incident for the past two years, examining official reports from past and present sources to reach my conclusions.

The reason why I have chosen to investigate this case is to inform the public whether there is truth behind this sighting, the truth that the MOD have denied the public for the past 30 years. The main objective to my investigation was not to find out what or wasn't seen on the night of the of the sighting but to try and prove that there was perhaps a cover up of



Sighting

Involvement

Conflict & Confusion

Explanations

Theories

RAF Chaplain

Further Sightings

Conclusion

A Matter of Opinion

some kind by the MOD of a UFO sighting on a UK military base.



The Cosford Cover-up

by Christopher Holton

Sighting

In the first week of December 1963 between 23:30 hours and midnight two young RAF Boy Entrants, stationed at RAF Cosford reported to have seen a bright object descend behind an aircraft hangar on the airfield side of the camp. near to a British Rail signal box. They claim that they could see the top of the object and could just make out a dome shape from where, they said, a door opened and a beam of light shone out. According to the two boy entrants the beam swept around the airfield in a search light fashion. They watched the object for a couple of minutes before running off, scared, into a nearby building to alert the Duty Officer who immediately reported the occurrence to the main camp. Their Commanding Officer and fellow officers interviewed the two Boy Entrants, who witnessed the incident, at length. A routine check was made of the area the next day for any scorch marks or indentations in the ground near to where the object had been seen but none was apparently found. Nothing could be found to substantiate the RAF Boy Entrants claim of a UFO sighting.



Introduction Involvement Conflict and Confusion Explanations Theories RAF Chaplain Further Sightings Conclusion

A Matter of Opinion



The Cosford Cover-up



by Christopher Holton

Involvement

AS well a the two young RAF Boy Entrant witnesses; an RAF Chaplain; the station Adjutant and the Commanding Officer were all involved someway or another with this incident. The exact part that these RAF Personnel took is not exactly known. The military are very good at "keeping mum" over

certain events that occur on their base's. Who's orders, to restrict information over this incident were being followed? Was it the Commanding Officer or the Ministry of Defence?

Along with the two RAF Boy Entrants a British Signalman, on duty in a nearby signal box, is also alleged to have witnessed the object. Subsequent enquiries by RAF Cosford failed to confirm a UFO sighting by the signalman His reply when questioned was



Introduction Sightings Conflict and Cordesion Explanation Theories RAF Chaplator that the object that he saw was a reflection of the camp light in the

windows of the main camp clothing store. See appendix 1

Express & Star.

Further Sightings

Conclusion

A Matter of Opinion

I tried to contact the British Rail signalman, who was supposed to

have seen the object. In reply 1 received a letter from his daughter, who wrote that her father had unfortunately passed away some years d_{20} , but he had mentioned the incident to her some years after the event. The had said that he had seen "something strange" that night. She goes on to say that she thought he had played it down in order to avoid having d_{20} leg pulled by his colleagues.

In my opinion, the British Rail signalman was a level headed and $\frac{1}{2}$ white or to earth person. I base this on the report in the UFO journal Orbit by a UFO investigator from Stafford. The investigator states that at the time the BR signalman was not at all sceptical about flying saucers and life on other planets and goes on to say that all the comments from the BR signalman were entirely unprompted by the UFO investigator. Sometimes you can have a tendency to see what you want to believe, so it would have been easy for the signalman to say to his daughter that he had seen a UEO, when he hadn't.

According to his daughter he tried to explain that what what he subsequence could have been reflections in the clothing store windows. The reflections in the clothing store window is a plausible explanation, especially for the slow Entrants, they could have seen a bright light reflecting in the window seen a bright light reflection is a closer of behind the aircraft hangar. The BR signalman's explanation is a closer of matter because according to his daughter's letter, the signal box seen as far away from the clothing stores that it would have been very unlikely that any reflections would have been seen in the windows.



The Cosford Cover - up by Christophe: Holton



RAF Chaplain

One more piece of avidence that can help dismiss this hoax theory can be found in a statement made by the same UFO investigator mentioned in a letter to the editor of FSR (see Appendix 7 and Appendix 8).

The letter outlines an alleged conversation that the UFO investigator had with the Chaplain at RAF Cosford, outside the base. A chance meeting on 9th January 1964 in Albrighton, near to Cosford, between Wilfred Daniels, a UFO investigator, and the station Chaplain, Flt. Lt. Henry, revealed some interesting facts about the incident. Mr Daniel's version of the conversation states that the Chaplain said "that he really ought not to be talking about it at all and hat security had dropped right down on the whole thing." The Chaplain's last remarks to Mr Daniels were "I believe that the two lads believed that what had been reported to be the truth, but I do not whink that you would get <u>Introduction</u>

Sighting

Involvement

Conflict & Confusion

Explanations

Theories

Further Sightings

Conclusion

A Matter of Opinion

He states that the EAF Chaplain did not give the impression that he had any idea the UFO incident was being categorised as a distortion of something mundane or just a sly misunderstanding.

It is possible that the RAF Chaplain was one of the designated officers involved in the interviewing of the two RAF witnesses. The only reason the services of an RAF Chaplain would be called upon in this case would be a spiritual matter and to try and calm the apparently shocked and frightened Boy Entrants. The \exists AF Chaplain told the investigator that the boys got scared after seeing the trap door in the UFO.

So did the two Boy Entrants and the BR Signalman really see something strange and unaccountable that night? In my opinion there is no doubt that the boys and the signalman definitely saw an object that night, but what is in doubt is what the object actually was...



The Cosford Cover-up

by Christopher Holton

Sighting

In the first week of December 1963 between 23:30 hours and midnight two young RAF Boy Entrants, stationed at RAF Cosford reported to have seen a bright object descend behind an aircraft hangar on the airfield side of the camp, near to a British Rail signal box. They claim that they could see the top of the object and could just make out a dome shape from where, they said, a door opened and a beam of light shone out. According to the two boy entrants the beam swept around the airfield in a search light fashion. They watched the object for a couple of minutes before running off, scared, into a nearby building to alert the Duty Officer who immediately reported the occurrence to the main camp. Their Commanding Officer and fellow officers interviewed the two Boy Entrants, who witnessed the incident, at length. A routine check was made of the area the next day for any scorch marks or indentations in the ground near to where the object had been seen but none was apparently found. Nothing could be found to substantiate the RAF Boy Entrants claim of a UFO sighting.



Introduces a Involvement

Conflict and Confusion

Explanations

<u>Theoric</u>

RAF Chaplein

Further Signalings

Conclusion

A Matter of Colnion

| | From: Section 4 MINISTRY OF DI Main Building, Whi | EFENCE | | | 45 18 0207 218 2140 Section 40 |
|----------------------------------|---|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Section 40 | | | | eference | |
| Chiswick London Section 40 | | | Our Re D/DAS Date 20 Apr | ference (Sec)/64/ 3 | |
| Dear Section | on 40 | | | | |

Thank you for your letters dated 17 & 20 March 2001. I do apologise for the delay in responding.

Firstly, you asked where we receive sighting reports of 'unidentified flying objects' from. Reports from members of the public of sightings are usually made to Police stations, RAF stations and air traffic control centres and are then forwarded to this office. There is also an answerphone in this office where sighting reports can be left. The reports, which are usually very brief and vague, are considered, as necessary, in consultation with air defence advisers within the MOD, and a decision is taken as to whether what was seen represents a threat to the security of the UK. Sighting reports are kept on file within this office for future reference.

You also asked for information concerning a former Ministry of Defence facility at Livingston, West Lothian. After making further enquiries, I can confirm that this site was aquired in the early 1950's and originally used as an Army munitions storage depot. Its final use was as an Army vehicle repair and storage depot known as 324 Engineer Park. The whole of the site was sold in January 1995 to Fife & Kinross Investments Limited.

I hope this is helpful.



From: DE HQ Sent: 19 April 2001 14:56 To: DAS4A1A(SEC) Subject: MOD Facility at Livingston, West Lothian

Section 40

•

MOD did own a facility at Livingstone it was originally acquired in the early 1950's, it extended to 14.273 hectares and was originally used as an Army munitions storage depot. Its final use was as an Army vehicle repair and storage depot known as 324 Engineer Park.

The whole of the site was sold in January 1995 to Fife & Kinross Investments Limited, Registered Office at Bridgend, Kinross, Perth & Kinross. The property is now known as Section 40 peans Industrial Estate, Livingston.

Thanks





STRANGE PHENOMENA INVESTIGATIONS, (ENGLAND) FOUNDED IN 1979.

Saturday, March 17, 2001.





May I thank you for sending me your list of all the UFO sightings reported to the Ministry of Defense for the year 2000. This was very helpful and much appreciated.

I accept fully that the vast majority of UFO reports have mundane explanations, quite Definitely. Could I further ask you, of those reports which came into you during the year 2000, how were they made up? ie, did you get them through the press, through the witnesses, or somewhere else ! Do let me know.

I look forward to your reply.

Yours Sincerely,



The University of Life Spring Conference on

"The Unexplained"

Including

UFO's, Crop Circles &

Government Conspiracies.

on

Sunday 8th April 2001 09.45am to 7.00pm

at The Corn Exchange, Dorchester, Dorset. (Registration 08.30 to 09.30am) Lectures by:

Sir Laurence Gardner Author of Bloodline of the Holy Grail & Genesis of the Grail Kings

Gary BiltcliffeCo-author, lecturer, and investigator of Sacred Sites & Energy grids.Matthew WilliamsResearcher, Writer & Investigator into truth behind Masonic Orders.David KingstonResearcher & Investigator into UFO's, Crop Circles, & the Unexplained.Steven GerrardUFO Researcher, Lecturer and Founder of the Southampton UFO groupSurprise Guest LecturerDemonstrating his own Anti-Gravity device.

ENTRANCE FEE is £15 per ticket, (non-refundable) Programmes 70p each

(Tickets, if available, **purchased on the day** will be **£20 each**) There are a limited number of tickets & programmes. To reserve yours write to: (cheques made **payable** to **D** Kingston) enclosing an A5 SAE, **David Kingston**, "Conference" 1, Goldcombe Farm Cottages, Martinstown, Dorchester, **Dorset. DT2 9HY** Telephone Enquiries: 01305 889108 Email: davidkingston@cropcircles.screaming.net Web Site: www.theuniversityoflife.users2.50megs.com/page4.htm

The organisers reserve the right to alter speakers in the event of illness of an individual lecturer. Lunches, (vegetarian available), need to be reserved in advance. Please telephone Bob Stephenson on 01305 268909 Should you require accommodation, please telephone the Dorchester Tourist Board on 01305 267992 for a brochure.



BITCETURITY OF SEL HO ROOM 8248 MINISTAY OF DEFENCE MINISTAY OF DEFENCE MINISTAN OF DEFENCE MINISTANI WINISTANI LONDON SULA 243



STRANGE PHENOMENA INVESTIGATIONS, ENGLAND.

(Founded 1979)

Tuesday, March 20, 2001.



Sector Production (شانیہ) در آبادی 1. J. MAN 2001

I write this time with another question for you.

NT 0668

I am looking for information regarding the MoD facility at Livingston West Lothian in Scotland. There was a facility there back in 1979, is that still active, and if so, what type of function does it serve. If you can provide me with any details at all about this or point me in the right direction, I'd be more than grateful.

Look forward to your reply.

Yours Sincerely,



SPI England/BUFORA.



2- 15PH 1007

1.1

Di LECTOUNTE De la STAFE (Sec) 4A NOD 8245 M(Jistap ald Disterce Maila duitoirte SHIA 2HG JH:TEHAI کہ ۵۵ درما

| | From: Section @@ctorate of MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, Long | | | 45 17- |
|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| CO | | Telephone | (Direct dial) (Fax) | 0207 218 2140 Section |
| Section 4 | 0 | | Reference | <u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u> |
| Detlas | a ^{en} | Our Re D/DAS | eference S(Sec)/64/3 | / |
| Butley Suffolk Section 4 | l o | Date 20 ^{Apr} | il 2001 | |
| Deur Sec | | | | |

I am writing with reference to your e-mail dated 30 March 2001. Your e-mail has been passed to this office as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence of his nature.

You asked about the alleged incident at Rendlesham Forest. When the Ministry of Defence was informed of the events which are alleged to have occurred at Rendlesham Forest/RAF Woodbridge in December 1980, all available substantiated evidence was looked at in the usual manner by those within the MOD/RAF with responsibility for air defence matters. The judgement was that there was no indication that a breach of the United Kingdom's air defences had occurred on the nights in question. As there was no evidence to substantiate an event of defence concern no further investigation into the matter was necessary. Although a number of allegations have subsequently been made about these reported events, nothing has emerged over the last 20 years which has given us reason to believe that the original assessment made by this Department was incorrect.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely Section 40

Asstpcik.2

| From: | Section 40 30 March 2001 07:40 |
|----------|-----------------------------------|
| Sent: | 30 March 2001 07:40 |
| To: | Asstpclk.2 |
| Subject: | Re: Extraterrastrials! |

Thank you for your message, my details are as follows;



I hope you are not going to send the men in black round?

> The information contained in this e-mail is private and is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s).

>

> If you are not the intended recipient, you have no legal right to use the contents of this e-mail.

>

> The views expressed in this e-mail do not necessarily reflect Government (Defence) policy.

> For reply, please re-send message together with your full postal address.

> Thanks.

>

> >

| MINISTRY OF DEFENCE DAS 3 (SEC) | 111 |
|------------------------------------|-----|
| 02 APR 2001 | |
| FILE | |

AS (Ser)

Demon Internet Webmail

 $z \sim -\infty$

| Démor | Mailbox Listing | Secure Connec ministers.demon.o |
|--|---|--|
| Message: Delet | e View beaders | ***** |
| Originally from: | Section 40 | Originally to: public |
| Date: | Mon, 26 Mar 2001 21:46:56 | +0100 |
| Subject: Extraterras | | |
| <u> </u> | part message in MIME forma | |
| Content-Type: te charset= | _000_0007_01C0B63E.475D536 xt/plain; "iso-8859-1" -Encoding: quoted-printabl | |
| Hi, | | - |
| | (am the Oracle | for lifefelogenet gen |
| My name is Sec | | 40 for Ufofologynet.com. |
| | what the MOD's conclusions ridge air base around Chri | on the incident that happened stmas in 1980. |
| clears this matt | er up one way or the other | write a new article that = , I cannot complete this task = would great if you could help = |
| Thank you. | | |
| Section 40 | | |
| 00001011 10 | _000_0007_01C0B63E.475D536 | 0 |
| Content-Type: te charset= | xt/html; "iso-8859-1" | |
| | -Encoding: quoted-printabl | e |
| | UBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4. |) Transitional//EN"> |
| | =3DContent-Type content=3D | "text/html; = |
| charset=3Diso-88 <meta content="3D</td"/> <th>59-1"> "MSHTML 5.50.4611.1300" na:</th> <th>ne=3DGENERATOR></th> | 59-1"> "MSHTML 5.50.4611.1300" na: | ne=3DGENERATOR> |
| <style></style> | | |
| <body bgcolor="3D</td"><th></th><th></th></body> | | |
| | 3DArial size=3D2>Hi,3DArial size=3D2>&n | |
| <pre><div><font face="</pre"></div></pre> | 3DArial size=3D2>&n 3DArial size=3D2>My name i 20 | $=$ Soction 10^{1} am the = |
| Section 40 | 20 | |
| | .com. 3DArial size=3D2>&n | osp; |
| <pre>DIV><font face="</pre"></pre> | 3DArial size=3D2>Can you t | |
| conclusions on t incident that ha | | ge air base around Christmas = |
| in=20 | - 52 Gener or 6 in in | |
| | 3DArial size=3D2>&n | <pre>csp; searched the incident and wish *</pre> |
| | | |
| to write=20 | le that alcows this matter | up one way on the other T - |
| to write=20 a new artic cannot=20 | | up one way or the other, I = t from the MOD, it would great : |

https://web.mail.demon.net/cgi-bin/webmail.cgi

۰. ·

```
could help me.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>$nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Thank you.</FONT></DIV>
</DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>$nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>David Allen.</FONT></BODY></HTML>
-----=_NextPart_000_0007_01C0B63E.475D5360--
```

Demon Internet Home Page



Thank you for your letter of 18 April about lights in the sky near Liverpool on the evening of 16 January.

I should first explain that this office has responsibility for dealing with complaints about military low flying. Military fixed-wing aircraft are deemed to be low flying when operating below 2,000 feet minimum separation distance (msd) and helicopters, when operating below 500 feet above ground level (agl).

I have made enquiries with the booking authority for the UK Low flying System and established that no military aircraft were authorised to operate in the general area at the time you gave. In any case, because of its built up nature and position beneath controlled airspace, Liverpool is within what is termed an avoidance area, where fixed-wing military aircraft do not operate below 2,000 feet msd without prior permission and through which military helicopters may only transit above 1,000 feet agl.

If you believe the lights were aircraft lights, I can only suggest that you pursue your enquiries with Air Traffic Control at Liverpool Airport, who are in the best position to answer your questions.

I am sorry that I cannot be more helpful.

Yours sincere

Low Flying Complaints(Military) Ministry of Defence Secretariat Air Staff 2B Room 8249 553791 Main Building Whitehall London SWIA 2HB

| | 8/12 6 |
|-----------------|--------|
| Section 40 | 01/4/ |
| Section 40 | |
| Winsford | |
| Cheshire | |
| Section 40 | |
| 18th April 2001 | |

REPORT OF LOW FLYING INCIDENT, LIVERPOOL. 16th JANUARY 2001

K

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing with regard to an incident which occurred on the evening of <u>Tuesday I6th January 2001</u> between approximately <u>22.50 & 23.15 hrs</u>, involving the reporting of unidentified air traffic movements in the skies above Liverpool, Merseyside. My brief has been to investigate this event on behalf of the many witnesses who have contacted me, following reports in the press and television. Members of the public were very concerned to have witnessed this unidentified air traffic manoeuvring at very low level over a heavily populated area and in close proximity to a busy commercial air corridor. As a result of interviewing these witnesses and hearing their concerns, I have decided to treat the incident as a low flying complaint.

The incident in question was captured on video for a total of four minutes by a resident from West Derby, a suburb situated a few miles NE of Liverpool city centre. The footage was shown on the local BEC 'North West Today' television programme broadcast on the evenings of Wednesday and Thursday, I7th & I8th January 2001. Following the broadcast I spoke to the producer of the programme who confirmed that their newsroom had received over 300 phone calls from members of the public who were concerned at what they were seeing over a heavily populated area. I subsequently interviewed the chief witness in depth and as a result of an appeal on BBC Radio Merseyside on the I6th February, was able to obtain detailed testimonies from at least four other witnesses to the incident. The content of these testimonies form the basis of this report and I refer you to copies of 'CASE HISTORIES I-4' included with this letter. With respect to witness confidentiality I have omitted personal details which remain on file.

As part of my initial investigations I contacted Air Traffic Control operations at both Liverpool and Manchester International Airports to request details of air traffic movements during the dates & times in question. Although I corresponded with Liverpool Airport on two occasions(8th February and 2nd March), at the time of writing I am still awaiting a response. I have to say that I find this delay and failure to acknowledge my letters both unreasonable and discourteous.I did, however, receive a reply from Manchester Airport dated 5th March 2001.Peter Denny(ATC Operations)confirmed that no unusual occurrences were reported to the airport during the date and times in question. Unfortunately he completely ignored my request for specific details regarding air traffic movements over the Liverpool area which may have explained the incident in question. Given that for a period of up to fifteen minutes and possibly beyond , this as yet unidentified air traffic was present in very close proximity to a busy air corridor, I would have thought it a priority for staff who after all represent the interests and concerns of the public with matters of air safety, to at least have acknowledged my request for further information. Since they were unable to satisfactorily identify the air traffic responsible for the incident I am bound therefore to repeat the following questions and would stress the importance of the situation.

Would your Air Traffic Control investigators please make enquiries with the relevant authorities to check the flight logs and list details of all air traffic movements, whether commercial, private or otherwise, in the Merseyside area on the evening of Tuesday I6th January 200I, between approximately 22.50 and 23.15 hrs.It would be helpful to ascertain whether such traffic, if any, was of a passing nature, or in/outbound with regard to Liverpool, Manchester, Leeds & Bradford or other airports.

To assist you with this enquiry it may be helpful at this point to include additional information which I have obtained from the following authorities regarding the incident in question.

REPLY FROM THE MET OFFICE, BRACKNELL, BERKSHIRE(13th FEBRUARY)

The Met Office provided me with records of weather observations made at met. stations in the Liverpool area confirming that no unusual meteorological conditions were present eg. temperature inversion leading to anomalous propagation on the evening in question. They also ruled out weather balloons as an explanation, although suggested aircraft <u>landing lights</u> may be responsible, hence my request for details of air traffic movements.

REPLY FROM MERSEYSIDE POLICE - INCIDENT MANAGEMENT UNIT(5th MARCH)

Merseyside Police confirmed that they were not aware of the incident in question and had not received any telephone calls. They did, however, confirm that the Police Helicôpter was not in the air at the relevant time and date. REPLY FROM THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, ROOM 8245, MAIN BUILDING, WHITEHALL.

DIRECTORATE OF AIR STAFF 4AI - SECRETARIAT(28th MARCH)

The Ministry of Defence confirmed that on the evening in question, there were no air defence aircraft scrambled to intercept or investigate any unidentified air traffic movements(UATM) in the Merseyside area. No UATMs were reported by any RAF stations to the Ministry of Defence. They also confirmed that there were no <u>major</u> military exercises taking place in the Merseyside area on the evening of the I6th January 2001.

ENQUIRIES MADE WITH NASA, ARTIFICIAL SATELLITE TRACKING STATIONS & JODRELL BANK RADIO TELESCOPE

ruled out celestial objects, meteor showers, artificial satellites and the international space station as possible explanations.

I have decided to submit this report as a <u>low flying incident</u> for the following reasons:

I)The replies from the Ministry of Defence and other authorities were unsatisfactory because they failed to adequatly explain or identify the air traffic movements reported to them.

2)Until at such times as a satisfactory explanation is received which accounts in detail for the air traffic movements observed and reported, it is to be assumed, unless proven otherwise, that a section of the British Air Defence region has been compromised.

3)Given that most of the witnesses expressed concern that this unexplained air traffic was manoeuvring in very close proximity to a busy commercial air corridor, airport and over a heavily populated area, the matter should be viewed with some priority and seriousness by the relevant authorities.

4)Having conducted in-depth interviews with several witnesses and closely scrutinised the videofootage of the incident, the following points should be noted;

a)the unidentified air traffic(UAT)movements were described as being <u>extremely</u> <u>low</u> in the sky,thus arguably constituting a <u>low flying incident.</u>

b)the individual light sources observed carried no anti-collision/navigation strobes, a feature unanimously confirmed by <u>all</u> witnesses, which would seem to contravene aircraft flying regulations.

c)certain other anomalies were noted by the witnesses which require explanation - the UAT displayed pinkish-red non-flashing light sources which differed in appearance to normal aircraft landing lights.

- no discernable engine or rotor sounds were heard despite the relatively close proximity to witnesses and low ambient noise levels. In addition the witnesses were of the opinion that they were observing up to eight <u>separate</u> light sources(as opposed to eight lights on one object), and thought it highly unusual that this number of aircraft would be flying in close proximity to each other, given the normally low frequency of air traffic movements at that time of the night.

Having reviewed the above details I hope that you can appreciate that this case deserves to be categorised as a low flying incident requiring further investigation to at least rule out more mundane hypotheses.

I hope that the information I have provided will be of assistance to you. A prompt reply regarding these matters would be greatly appreciated.

Yours faithfully



CASE HISTORY(I). THE OBSERVATION AND VIDEO-RECORDING OF UNIDENTIFIED AIR TRAFFIC(UAT)IN THE MERSEYSIDE AREA. TUESDAY 16th JANUARY 2001

NAME & ADDRESS OF WITNESS(I)

The witness is a resident of West Derby whose personal details are on file.

1. 6 1

DATE OF INCIDENT Tuesday 16th January 2001 -TIME OF INCIDENT 22.45 - 23.10 hrs DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT

On the evening of Tuesday 16th January 2001, the primary witness was alerted by his daughter who was driving along Queens Drive in the direction of West Derby.Over the mobile phone she described the appearance of a formation of lights in the sky to her right(in a westerly direction). Having been alerted, her father rushed outside of his house, having grabbed his video camcorder. To his west, in the direction of Liverpool city centre, he immediately noticed a formation of up to eight lights which seemed to cluster in two closely knit groups. They were moving very slowly from left to right(south to north), flying roughly parallel to Queens Drive, a main arterial road which runs in a northwesterly direction. The lights seemed to be quite low down near the horizon (approximately 30 - 40 degrees elevation), each of the lights occasionally altering position within the overall group. They were pinkish-red in colour, moderately bright(not dazzling),and gave the appearance of large 'fuzzy stars'. The witness was adamant that the lights were untypical of normal air traffic, as they definitely carried no flashing anti-collision or navigation lights, and were completely silent. He was curious that, despite their close proximity to Liverpool Airport(a few miles to the south), there would be so many aircraft in the sky simultaneously. Their proximity to a commercial air corridor also gave him some cause for concern.

He was fortunate to obtain about four minutes of video-footage which clearly shows the presence of as yet unidentified air traffic.On full zoom, several of the lights are quite distinct and show no evidence of conventional aircraft navigation and strobe lights.

After approximately five minutes, the lights moved away to the north-west, gradually fading from view.Following the incident, several other members of the public have come forward, providing valuable corroborative evidence in support of the original witness testimony.Details of three other witness are included in this report.

LOCATION OF WITNESS(I)

West Derby,Liverpool(please refer to map)

LOCATION OF UNIDENTIFIED AIR TRAFFIC

Liverpool City Centre/Liverpool Bay area(please refer to map)

DESCRIPTION OF UNIDENTIFIED AIR TRAFFIC

| SHAPE | Fuzzy - 'star shaped' | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SIZE | Difficult to estimate due to distance of air traffic to | | | | |
| | the observer | | | | |
| COLOUR | Pinkish-red(no colour variation throughout observation) | | | | |
| BRIGHTNESS | Moderately bright(not dazzling)similar to -3rd magnitude | | | | |
| | stars | | | | |
| SOUND | None | | | | |
| DISTANCE | Between I-3 miles approximately | | | | |
| SPEED | Very slow | | | | |
| ELEVATION | Approximately 30-40 degrees | | | | |
| WEATHER CONDITIONS | | | | | |
| | (stats recorded at Liverpool Airport at 23.00 hrs GMT on | | | | |
| the 16th January 2001) | | | | | |
| WIND DD WIN | D SP VISELTY AIRTEMP HUMIDITY PRESSURE | | | | |
| IOO(easterly) 3(1 | ight) 7 -4.0 92 1022.5 | | | | |

(stats courtesy of the Met Office, Bracknell, Berkshire)

CASE HISTORY(2). THE OBSERVATION OF UNIDENTIFIED AIR TRAFFIC(UAT)IN THE MERSEYSIDE AREA. TUESDAY 16th JANUARY 2001

NAME & ADDRESS OF WITNESS(2)

The witness is a resident of Childwall whose personal details are on file. <u>DATE OF INCIDENT</u> Tuesday 16th January 2001 <u>TIME OF INCIDENT</u> 22.50 - 23.10 hrs

DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT

On the evening in question the witness was visiting a neighbour who lived across the street, when she noticed a formation of unusual lights in the sky in the direction of the city centre. Initially, they appeared to be stationary and, having alerted her neighbour, they both observed the lights as they very slowly began to move in a north-westerly direction.

There were seven lights in total flying in a 'V' or delta-shaped formation with one light which seemed to trail behind. They were all red-orange in colour, quite bright and slightly larger than normal aircraft headlights. The witness was adamant that she could see no flashing anti-collision/navigation strobe lights. The formation of lights were completely silent and quite low in the sky at an elevation of approximately 30-40 degrees from the horizon. They gradually moved northwards in the Bootle direction, eventually fading in the distance. Immediately following the sighting, the witness telephoned Liverpool Airport, situated only a few miles away from her home. An official confirmed that there were no aircraft in the area at the time which would account for the formation of lights. The witness is familiar with in/outbound air traffic as her home is very close to the air corridor of Liverpool Airport and is positive that the lights she observed boar no resemblance to conventional aircraft.

LOCATION OF WITNESS(2)

Childwall, Liverpool(please refer to map)

DESCRIPTION OF UNIDENTIFIED AIR TRAFFIC/WEATHER CONDITIONS

As Case History(I).

CASE HISTORY(3). THE OBSERVATION OF UNIDENTIFIED AIR TRAFFIC(UAT)IN THE MERSEYSIDE AREA. TUESDAY 16th JANUARY 2001

NAME & ADDRESS OF WITNESS(3)

The witness is a resident of Childwall whose personal details are on file. <u>DATE OF INCIDENT</u> Wednesday 17th January 2001 <u>TIME OF INCIDENT</u> 0.15 - 0.30 hrs

DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT

Shortly after midnight, the witness was woken by the sound of a helicopter flying over her house.Looking through her bedroom window which faces west. she noticed what she is certain was the police helicopter manoeuvring in the sky over the Queens Drive area of Liverpool.After several minutes the helicopter flew off and shortly afterwards she noticed three very bright lights in the general direction of the city centre. They were pinkish-red in colour and similar in appearance to 'red fuzzy stars'. She woke her husband as she was not not convinced that the lights were typical of conventional aircraft. Having opened the window to obtain better visibility and rule out any reflection they watched the lights as they very slowly moved at a very low elevation(15-20 degrees) across the sky to the north-west. She estimated that they were about $I_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ - 2 miles distant, possibly over the Liverpool Bay area near to Birkenhead. There was absolutely no sound of aircraft engines, without any flashing anti-collision/navigation strobe lights.Whilst the lights were still in view, a commercial jet aircraft flew overhead and both witnesses used it as a comparison with the three lights. They agreed that the visual characteristics of the lights were totally different in terms of colour, shape, size and movement.

The following day, an enquiry was made with a local newspaper who refused to comment regarding the above sighting. It should be noted that a major sighting involving the observation of up to eight similar lights in approximately the same area occurred about $I\frac{1}{2}$ hours earlier (please refer to 'Case History No.I'.

LOCATION OF WITNESS(3)

Childwall, Liverpool (please refer to map)

LOCATION OF UNIDENTIFIED AIR TRAFFIC

Liverpool City Centre/Liverpool Bay area(please refer to map)

DESCRIPTION OF UNIDENTIFIED AIR TRAFFIC/WEATHER CONDITIONS As Case History(1).

CASE HISTORY(4). THE OBSERVATION OF UNIDENTIFIED AIR TRAFFIC(UAT) IN THE MERSEYSIDE AREA. TUESDAY 16th JANUARY 2001

NAME & ADDRESS OF WITNESS(4)

The witness is a resident of Stoneycroft, near West Derby, whose personal details are on file. <u>DATE OF INCIDENT</u> Tuesday I6th January 2001 <u>TIME OF INCIDENT</u> 22.50 - 23.05 hrs DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT

At approximately 22.50 hrs the witness happened by chance to look through her bedroom window which faces towards the city centre, and to her surprise noticed a formation of lights which appeared to be hovering at low altitude in the sky. Convinced that they were unlike any aircraft she had seen before, she immediately ran downstairs out into the garden to obtain a clearer view. It soon became apparent that the lights were moving extremely slowly in a north/north-westerly direction and were grouped in two distinct clusters, although the shape or pattern of the formation continually changed over the next 6-8 minutes. The lights were pinkish-red in colour, like 'large stars'.totally silent and without any flashing anti-collision/navigation.strobe lights. After about eight minutes they eventually faded from view in the northern sky. The witness estimated that the lights were about I_2^1-2 miles distant, over the Liverpool city centre/docks area.

LOCATION OF WITNESS(4)

Stoneycroft near West Derby, Liverpool (please refer to map)

LOCATION OF UNIDENTIFIED AIR TRAFFIC

Liverpool City Centre/Liverpool Bay area(please refer to map)

DESCRIPTION OF UNIDENTIFIED AIR TRAFFIC/WEATHER CONDITIONS

As Case History(I)



| | From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1(Secretariat) MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitel | nall, Londo | n, SW1A 2H | IS | . × . |
|--|---|-------------|--|---|------------------|
| | | Telephone | (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) | 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section | <mark>4</mark> 0 |
| Section 40 Sheffield South Yorkshire Section 40 | | | leference (Sec)64/3 il 2001 | ~ | |



Thank you for your letter of 29 March concerning video footage of 'unidentified flying objects' seen on 13 March 1999. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

Firstly, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance, namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, we are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity on 13 March 1999. Military aircraft do sometimes fly at weekends to prepare for operations or to complete training, so it is possible that this may have been what is seen on your video recording. However, in order to confirm whether any military aircraft were flying over Mexborough on this day, a check would need to be made with every Squadron in the RAF, RN and Army Air Corp. Records of individual sorties are not generally kept for long periods and it is unlikely that any details would be found even if it were practical to conduct such investigations. I am, therefore, unable to assist you with your enquiries on this occasion.

Yours sincerely,







Dear Sir,

I wonder if you can help me. My name is Section and Owas recently given a piece of carncorder footage which shows two as-yet unexplained objects flying in the sky over Mexborough

- SE4700

The video was taken between 4:00pm and 4:30 pm on Saturday the 13th March 1999.

I have had the footage investigated by a video analyst named Social of 21. Video productions in Cornwall, but unfortunately the resolution of the footage is too poor, and the objects too small and distant in the field of view to enable workable enhancement. However, Social is a specific and the footage of the objects are almost certainly military aircraft. (See enclosed report)

I understand that normally the RAF does not fly at weekends, and the footage was indeed taken on a Saturday. However, I have been told that on 26th March the intensive bombing campaign against Yugoslavia started, and that his could have been part of the "working up" phase to get the RAF into a warfighting capability.

Based on the general direction of the objects' flight path, and the information given by stought it was possible that these aircraft may have been en-route to RAF Leeming.

Section 40

However, after contacting Leeming, I was informed that there were no aircraft from the base anywhere near Mexborough on that day. It was suggested by Flight Lieutenant instead, and that you may be able to help me further.

I would really like to be able to explain the objects in this footage to my satisfaction, and the only way that this seems possible is to track down these military aircraft, if that is indeed what they are.

Could you tell me if there were any scheduled military operations at that time, in that area?

If it would help, I can send you a copy of the camcorder footage for analysis.

I hope that you can help me close this mystery once and for all!

Yours truly,


Report on sighting by Section 40 on 13th. March 1999 at Mexborough

Initial Observations

- 1. The first object is moving on a straight path.
- 2. The direction, taking Section 40 notes as correct, is on a course of 045 degrees
- 3. This course can be described on the video as travelling from 4 o'clock to 10 o'clock, but as the camera pans with the movement of the object the finishing direction, as viewed from the camera, moves toward 12 o'clock.
- 4. As a result of the movement of the object and the camera, we get the impression that this object is slowing down. Whereas what is actually happening is the angle of movement of the object relative to the centre line of the camera slowly changes from 45 degrees to 0 degrees.
- This is confirmed by the sun shining on the object creating a glow on the rear right hand side.
- 6. The also accounts for the object looking black.
- 7. The cloud is Stratocumulus with a cloud base of around 6 to 7,000ft.
- 8. The puts to object at a flying height of 8 to 10,000ft.
- The distance of the object from the camera I would estimate at a minimum of five miles when first seen and increasing as the object goes away.
- 10. This figure is based on one brief long shot in the film and seventeen years experience in aviation.
- 11. The speed of the object I would estimate at 150 to 250 knots, again based on my experience.
- 12. The second object actually comes in from the bottom of the picture. To see this one has to go back frame by frame from where it is clearly visible. This can only be seen digitally on a computer. A video recorder is not good enough to see it.
- 13. The objects "Disappear" from view gradually.
- 14. The second object appears to be behind, to the right and below the first object

Conclusions

- 1. I suspect the second object was there all the time and a constant distance from the first object but not visible on the video because it was off the screen.
- This is confirmed by the second object appearing from below the picture as it gets further away from the camera and the field of view becomes greater.
- The "Disappearance" of the objects is explained by the increase in distance from the camera and cloud density.
- 4. The distances are confirmed by the audio when Section 40 says he cannot see the object with the naked eye fairly early in the video.

What are these objects

The position and action of these two objects is exactly how two small military aircraft would behave when flying in what is called "Loose Formation".

I am not familiar with the area but, are any military bases known of within 150 miles or so, in the direction the objects were moving.

If these were military aircraft then I would expect them to be landing within half and hour maximum from the time of this sighting, 4 to 4.30 p.m.

The size of these objects on the video and there distance and speed equates to that of a small light aircraft.

The fact that there are two of them and the constant distance they are apart would suggest that they are unlikely to be civilian aircraft.

The balance of probability is that this analysis is correct, but here will always remain that nagging doubt, that 5% chance that I am wrong.



P.S.

4

I must congratulate **Section** of the excellent quality of his recording and the use of a tripod, without which any accurate investigation would have been impossible.



の日本の時代

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE DAS 46 (Sec)

Kcon 8249

MAIN KULDING

WHITCHALL

Loubori



29.3.2001 With Compliments Please see attached a copy of a letter we have received from a man writing to Sell the MOD his ideas for new propulsion technology. This appears to be more your area, than o you could acted Air Staff (Secretariat)4a1 Room 8245 Main Building, Ministry of Defence, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB Telephone:



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1(Secretariat) MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

| (Direct dial) | |
|---------------|------------------------|
| (Switchboard) | |
| (Fax) | 0 |
| (GTN) | 2 |
| | (Switchboard) (Fax) |



Lafayette IN 47904 USA

Your Reference D/DAS(Sec)64/3 Date 29 March 2001



Thank you for your letter addressed to Secretariat (Air Staff) 2a1a concerning your ideas for new propulsion technology. For your information, the two departments of the Director of Air Staff and Secretariat (Air Staff) merged last year and our new title is shown above.

This department has no expertise in this field, so a copy of your letter has been passed to the Chief Scientist at the Defence Evaluation and Research Agency who may be able to assist you. Should you need to contact him in the future, the address is as follows;

Chief Scientist Defence Evaluation and Research Agency Boscombe Down Salisbury Wiltshire SP4 0JF

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,



Section 40 STRY OF DEFENCE 1: Section 40 Dear Madame(s) / Sin(s), 2 U MAR 2001 I would like to kinfor Esk for your befor in trying to materialize a new technology that provides levitation against gravity, and/or propulsion too, within the earth's (or any other planet's) air and in outer space. I have already worked-out all the mathematics and physics involved and it will be cusy for your acrospace experts to review those w/ mes it is less than 10 pages of simple scientific concepts and calculations. The principle has nothing to do w/any of the known propulsion/flight concepts today: no jet thrust, no propellers, no magnetic or electric fields, no electric charges, ne beams of light (e.g. no lasers) or any electromagnetic emissions, ne particle-emissions-thrust, no solar sailing (e.g. in space), etc. My background education includes a Section 40 from Section 40 University, w/ an honor certificate of excellence from the American Nucleur Society; also Section 40 in <u>Section 40</u> from the prestigious Section 40 breece, from where I graduated new the very top of the class, I am asking of only two reasonable and fair assurances from you: 1) Any patentis) that you may pursue based on these ideas, name me as the sole inventor. In return, I'm willing to sign documents ensuring that you will never be charged

any royalties from using this patent(s) and, furthermore, you are entitled to 50% of all royalty-revenues risulting from anybody clse's usage of this technology except for the armed forces of Greece. 2) You will not make a military secret of this technology; i.e., you will present it, as soon us a pretotype is developed, to all other NATO mem bers and make it readily available for commercial development. However, feel free to disalled any non-European-Union nations from using the patent(s) or knowing the contents thereof, us you please. And, you can charge any amount of royalties you choose for each nation that you

allow to use the technology (Grocie being fully ciept from all this).

Although I cannot quarantee the success of my ideas, I can assure you they are simple to understand (for scientists) and very easy to test, so I think it is definitely worth your looking into it, provided, of course, that legal papers are first signed to ensure the above two requests I have I'm also willing to help you out in any way I can in the materializative of this technology, if you want to provide larrange for my food, legaling and transportation during the time, plus a symbolic compensation of £10 per day cash, a 10-minute phone-call daily back to the States and a 20-minute call to my parents in Greece once a week. Please contact me freely if you have any further questions regarding arrangements. Give it a chance?

> Sincerely, Section 40





WHITEHALL, LONDON. SWIA 2HB SECRETARIAT (Air Staff) 2a la MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building Room 8245

0014463524/2000





The Civil Aviation Authority have forwarded your letter dated 15 January enclosing the photograph of an aircraft with a dark line across the sky in front of it. They have asked if we can explain what this may be.

Firstly, it may be helpful if I explain that this department is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence concerning 'unidentified flying objects' and we examine any reports we receive solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting.

With regard to your photograph, you are not suggesting that this is a 'UFO' but clearly state that you saw an aircraft. We do not have any expertise in this field and cannot be sure why the line appeared in front of the aircraft. It has, however, been suggested that depending on the meteorological conditions and the position of the sun, it may have been a shadow cast on to the clouds from the vapour trail from the aircraft. This however, is only a best guess and should not be taken as confirmation of what was seen.

I am sorry we cannot be more helpful.





SAFETY REGULATION GROUP

| Galt House | Telephone | 01294 204840 |
|-------------|-----------|--------------|
| Bank Street | | |
| Irvine | Fax | 01294 312053 |
| Ayrshire | | |
| KA12 OLL | | |



Our ref General13-2-01

13 February 2001

Section 40

Head of Sec (AS2) Room 8245 Ministry of Defence London SW1A 2HB

UNUSUAL PHOTOGRAPH



1 J FEB 2001

Enclosed is a letter and photograph received from the Quality and Production Manager of one of the Approved Companies that this office overseas in the Scottish Region.

As you will see this is a strange phenomenon and I have spoken to several people within the authority

and nobody can come up with an answer.

After several conversations **Section 40** more communications department came up with your department and that you might be interested.

I have spoken with Section 40 informed him that I have forwarded his letter and photograph to you.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40 Section 40 SRG Irvine Regional Office Scotland encl. Letter/Photograph





Dear Section 40

I am not writing to discuss our approval. This time I am looking for some advice from yourself or from one of your scientific colleagues on the accompanying photograph. **The history**. I was walking on <u>Kinshaldy beach</u> (near Leuchars) and spotted the aircraft as in the photograph. It was a bright day and I found myself confused by the dark line in front of the aircraft. The line appeared to have the exact trajectory of the white jet trail and continued to the horizon or at least the tree line.

I thought my eyes were playing tricks so I took a photograph and, lo and behold, the line appeared on the photograph.

What is it?

۰.

I sent a copy to our local University Physics Dept. but they cannot come up with an explanation. Can you help?





| | From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1(Secretariat) MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whiteha | all, Londo | n, SW1A 2H | . 12 в |
|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
| | | Telephone . | (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) | 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40 |
| Section 40 Winsford Cheshire Section 40 | | Our Re D/DAS | eference ference (Sec)64/3 rch 2001 | |

Dear Section 40

I am writing in response to your letters of 12 March addressed to RAF Cosford, RAF Fylingdales and RAF Shawbury concerning the sighting of 'unidentified flying objects' over Merseyside on 16 January. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs' and your letters have been forwarded to us for reply.

Firstly, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular sighting, I note that you have asked similar questions to each RAF Station and I will answer them in the same order as in your letters.

Q1) None of these Stations received any reports of unexplained aerial activity on this date and no 'UFO' sightings were reported to this office.

Q2) RAF Cosford, is predominately a ground engineering training base and the only aircraft operating from there are Bulldog Trainers of the University of Birmingham Air Squadron and Vigilant Trainers of 633 Volunteer Gliding School. RAF Shawbury is a helicopter base. Neither of these Stations, therefore, have any air defence aircraft. On this date there were no air defence aircraft scrambled to intercept or investigate any activity in this area.

Q 3) There were no major military exercises taking place in the Merseyside/Liverpool area on the evening of 16 January.

Q4) You also asked RAF Fylingdales whether their radar operators recorded or received any unexplained radar traces. RAF Fylingdales radar is used for tracking ballistic missiles and satellites and is not optimised to track aircraft. This is a matter for the air defence network.

Finally, you may wish to be aware the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Yours sincerely,





Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter regarding an incident that occurred on the evening of Tuesday 16th January 2001. There were no aircraft flying from RAF Coningsby after 1700 hours on that evening.

I can confirm that your details have been forwarded to the office of Secretary Air Staff at the Ministry of Defence, Whitehall who monitor all complains about military aircraft and records all information received: MOD Sec (AS)2b Room 8249, Main Building Whitehall

LONDON SW1A 2HB

Yours sincerely



Community Relations Officer for Officer Commanding



Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing with regard to an incident which occurred on the evening of Tuesday I6th January 200I involving the sighting of unexplained lights/objects observed by many witnesses in the skies throughout the Merseyside area(please refer to accompanying map).

Part of the event was captured on video for a period of four minutes by a resident from West Derby, a suburb a few miles SE of Liverpool city centre. The footage was broadcast on the local BBC 'North West Today' television programme(Wednesday/Thursday I7th & I8th January). Having interviewed the above witness in depth ,I was able to compile a detailed description of what was observed and filmed, a copy of which I have included with this letter. He has requested anonymity, which I will respect, although personal details are on file.

Briefly,according to his testimony,up to eight unidentified lights were observed for a period of approximately IO-I5 minutes. They were pinkish-red in colour, very bright, and performed manoeuvres at a fairly low elevation in the sky, uncharacteristic of typical aircraft, flying in two distinct clusters to the west of Liverpool city centre on a north-westerly heading. The witness, being familiar with inbound and outbound flights of commercial aircraft to Liverpool and Manchester Airports and also the activities of the police helicopter, was adamant that these lights/objects were definitely not conventional aircraft, since they carried no obvious flashing anti-collision/navigation lights.

Following the incident I spoke to a BBC reporter who investigated the incident and was duly informed that the 'North West Today' newsdesk had received over 300 telephone calls from concerned members of the public, many of whom had apparently observed the above event. On the I6th February I broadcast an appeal for further witnesses on BBC Radio Mersey-

side and was contacted by several people from the Liverpool area who were able to corroborate the testimony of the chief witness, having observed what appears to be the same 'phenomenon' within a similar timeframe.All expressed a degree of concern at the presence of these unidentified lights and particularly their close proximity to the city centre and a busy commercial air corridor.

I have checked with various authorities including local airports, police and the Met Office, who ruled out unusual weather conditions. However, at the time of writing, I have been unable to confirm the presence of any inbound/outbound flights from either Liverpool or Manchester International Airports so am unable to explain these as yet unexplained lights/objects/ aircraft.

It would therefore be helpful to my investigations if you could provide me with the following information.

I)Were personnel at RAF Coningsby notified of any unexplained aerial activity in the Merseyside/Liverpool area on the evening of Tuesday, 16th January 200I, between approximately 22.45 and 23.15 hrs.

2)If so,were any aircraft from RAF Coningsby scrambled to intercept/investigate such activity during the date/times mentioned above.

3) Would personnel at RAF Coningsby confirm whether any military manoeuvres or training exercises were undertaken by aircraft/helicopters either from Coningsby or other RAF/military airfields during the date/times mentioned above.

Your co-operation regarding these matters would be greatly appreciated and should you require further information please do not hesitate to contact me at the above address.

I await your reply with interest.

Yours faithfully

ection 40

ASE HISTORY: THE OBSERVATION AND VIDEO-RECORDING OF UNEXPLAINED AERIAL PHENOMENA IN THE MERSEYSIDE AREA. TUESDAY 16th JANUARY 2001

NAME & ADDRESS OF WITNESS

The witness is a resident of West Derby whose personal details are on file. DATE OF SIGHTING

Tuesday 16th January 2001

TIME OF SIGHTING

22.50 - 23.10 hrs

DESCRIPTION OF SIGHTING

On the evening of Tuesday, 16th January, the primary witness was alerted by his daughter who was driving along Queens Drive in the direction of West Derby. Over the mobile phone she described the appearance of a formation of unusual lights in the sky to her right. Having been alerted her father rushed downstairs, grabbing his camcorder, onto the street. To his west, in the direction of Liverpool city centre he saw up to eight unusual lights which clustered in two distinct groups. They were moving very slowly from left to right(south to north), flying roughly parallel to Queens Drive, which runs in a north-westerly direction. The lights seemed to be quite low down on the horizon(approximately 30-40 degrees elevation), each of the lights occasionally altering position within the overall group. They were all pinkish-red in colour, very bright, and gave the appearance of 'fuzzy stars'. The witness was adamant that the lights were definitely not conventional aircraft or helicopters as they carried no flashing anti-collision/navigation lights and were completely silent.He was sure that, despite their relatively close proximity to Liverpool Airport.it would be unlikely that so many aircraft could be in the sky simultaneously. Also, their flight trajectory did not seem to take the objects either to or from the airport vicinity.

Fortunately, the witness was able to obtain about four minutes of videofootage which shows clearly the presence of the lights.On full zoom several of the lights are quite distinct and show no evidence of conventional aircraft navigation lights etc...

After approximately eight minutes, all the objects moved away to the north, eventually fading from sight.Following the incident, several other members of the public from the West Derby area came forward to testify that they also observed the same phenomenon, providing valuable corroborative evidence to support the original witness testimony.

LOCATION OF WITNESS West Derby,Liverpool(please refer to map) LOCATION OF OBJECT(S) Liverpool City Centre/Liverpool Bay area(please refer to map)

| DESCRIP | TION | OF | OBJECT | (s) |
|---------|------|----|--------|-----|
| | | | | |

| SHAPE | Fuzzy - 'star shaped' | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SIZE | Difficult to estimate due to the distance of the objects | | | | |
| | from the observer | | | | |
| COLOUR | Pinkish-red | | | | |
| BRIGHTNESS | Very bright(similar to a -3 magnitude star) | | | | |
| SOUND | None | | | | |
| DISTANCE | Several miles(please refer to map) | | | | |
| SPEED | Slow | | | | |
| ELEVATION | Approx. 30-40 degrees | | | | |
| WEATHER COND. | ITIONS (stats recorded at Liverpool Airport at 23.00 hrs GMT | | | | |
| on the 16th January 2001) | | | | | |
| WIND DD | WIND SP VISELTY AIRTEMP HUMIDITY PRESSURE | | | | |

IOO(easterly) 3(light) 7 -4.0 92 IO22.5

(stats courtesy of the Met Office, Bracknell, Berkshire)





UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT REPORT

1. The enclosed letter was received from **Section**, r40 esting assistance in the investigation of several unidentified flying objects sighted near Liverpool on 16 Jan 01.

2. I have also enclosed a copy of the reply to this request, informing him that his letter has been forwarded on to your office.

Section 40

Section 40 Flight Lieutenant For Officer Commanding

Enclosures:

- 1. Letter from Section dated 12 Mar 01
- 2. FYD/1816/1/Ops dated 16 Mar 01



Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing with regard to an incident which occurred on the evening of Tuesday I6th January 200I involving the sighting of unexplained lights/objects observed by many witnesses in the skies throughout the Merseyside area(please refer to accompanying map).

Part of the event was captured on video for a period of four minutes by a resident from West Derby, a suburb a few miles SE of Liverpool city centre. The footage was broadcast on the local BBC 'North West Today' television programme(Wednesday/Thursday I7th & I8th January). Having interviewed the above witness in depth ,I was able to compile a detailed description of what was observed and filmed, a copy of which I have included with this letter. He has requested anonymity, which I will respect, although personal details are on file.

Briefly, according to his testimony, up to eight unidentified lights were observed for a period of approximately IO-I5 minutes. They were pinkish-red in colour, very bright, and performed manoeuvres at a fairly low elevation in the sky, uncharacteristic of typical aircraft, flying in two distinct clusters to the west of Liverpool city centre on a north-westerly heading. The witness, being familiar with inbound and outbound flights of commercial aircraft to Liverpool and Manchester Airports and also the activities of the police helicopter, was adamant that these lights/objects were definitely not conventional aircraft, since they carried no obvious flashing anti-collision/navigation lights.

Following the incident I spoke to a BBC reporter who investigated the incident and was duly informed that the 'North West Today' newsdesk had received over 300 telephone calls from concerned members of the public, many of whom had apparently observed the above event. On the I6th February I broadcast an appeal for further witnesses on BBC Radio Mersey-

and was contacted by several people from the Liverpool area who were able to corroborate the testimony of the chief witness, having observed what appears to be the same 'phenomenon' within a similar timeframe. All expressed a degree of concern at the presence of these unidentified lights and particularly their close proximity to the city centre and a busy commercial air corridor.

I have checked with various authorities including local airports, police and the Met Office, who ruled out unusual weather conditions. However, at the time of writing I have been unable to confirm the presence of any inbound/ outbound flights from either Liverpool or Manchester International Airports so am unable to explain these as yet unidentified lights/objects/aircraft.

It would therefore be helpful to my investigation if you could provide me with the following information.

I)Were personnel at RAF Fylingdales notified of any unexplained aerial activity in the Merseyside/Liverpool area on the evening of Tuesday, 16th January 2001, between approximately 22.45 and 23.15 hrs.

2)I have enquired with regional RAF stations/airfields regarding interceptions by military aircraft of these unexplained targets or the possibility that military manoeuvres/training exercises in the Merseyside area may provide an explanation.

Would staff at RAF Fylingdales confirm whether;

a) any military aircraft were scrambled within the British Air Defence region in response to reports of unidentified air traffic in the Merseyside area during the date/times mentioned above.

b)any military manoeuvres/training exercises involving aircraft/helicopters from British RAF bases/airfields occurred during the date/times mentioned above.

3) In addition to the above enquiries I am particularly interested in confirming whether radar operators at RAF Fylingdales recorded and/or received any unexplained radar traces from the Merseyside/Liverpool area directly or via other sources eg RAF stations/airfields;local international airports eg Manchester,Liverpool & Leeds/Bradford;Civil Aviation Authority,during the date/times in question.

Your co-operation regarding these matters would be greatly appreciated and should you require further information please do not hesitate to contact me at the above address.

I await your reply with interest.

Yours faithfully

Section 40

CASE HISTORY: THE OBSERVATION AND VIDEO-RECORDING OF UNEXPLAINED AERIAL. PHOMENA IN THE MERSEYSIDE AREA. TUESDAY 16th JANUARY 2001

NAME & ADDRESS OF WITNESS

The witness is a resident of West Derby whose personal details are on file. DATE OF SIGHTING

Tuesday 16th January 2001

TIME OF SIGHTING

22.50 - 23.10 hrs

DESCRIPTION OF SIGHTING

On the evening of Tuesday, 16th January, the primary witness was alerted by his daughter who was driving along Queens Drive in the direction of West Derby. Over the mobile phone she described the appearance of a formation of unusual lights in the sky to her right. Having been alerted her father rushed downstairs, grabbing his camcorder, onto the street. To his west, in the direction of Liverpool city centre he saw up to eight unusual lights which clustered in two distinct groups. They were moving very slowly from left to right(south to north) flying roughly parallel to Queens Drive, which runs in a north-westerly direction. The lights seemed to be quite low down on the horizon(approximately 30-40 degrees elevation), each of the lights occasionally altering position within the overall group. They were all pinkish-red in colour, very bright, and gave the appearance of 'fuzzy stars'. The witness was adamant that the lights were definitely not conventional aircraft or helicopters as they carried no flashing anti-collision/navigation lights and were completely silent. He was sure that, despite their relatively close proximity to Liverpool Airport, it would be unlikely that so many aircraft could be in the sky simultaneously. Also, their flight trajectory did not seem to take the objects either to or from the airport vicinity.

Fortunately, the witness was able to obtain about four minutes of video footage which shows clearly the presence of the lights. On full zoom several of the lights are quite distinct and show no evidence of conventional aircraft navigation lights etc...

After approximately eight minutes, all the objects moved away to the north, eventually fading from sight.Following the incident, several other members of the public from the West Derby area came forward to testify that they also observed the same phenomenon, providing valuable corroborative evidence to support the original witness testimony.

LOCATION OF WITNESS

West Derby,Liverpool(please refer to map)

LOCATION OF OBJECT(S)

Liverpool City Centre/Liverpool Bay area(please refer to map)

DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT(S)

IOO(easterly) 3(light) 7

| SHAPE | Fuzzy - 'star shaped' | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SIZE | Difficult to estimate due to the distance of the objects | | | | |
| | from the observer | | | | |
| COLOUR | Pinkish-red | | | | |
| BRIGHTNESS | Very bright(similar to a -3 magnitude star) | | | | |
| SOUND | None | | | | |
| DISTANCE | Several miles(please refer to map) | | | | |
| SPEED | Slow | | | | |
| ELEVATION | Approx. 30-40 degrees | | | | |
| WEATHER COND. | ITIONS (stats recorded at Liverpool Airport at 23.00 hrs GMT | | | | |
| on the 16th January 2001) | | | | | |
| WIND DD | WIND SP VISELTY AIRTEMP HUMIDITY PRESSURE | | | | |

-4+0

92

1022.5

(stats courtesy of the Met Office, Bracknell, Berkshire)





ROYAL AIR FORCE Fylingdales Pickering North Yorkshire YO18 7NT Telephone: Pickering (01751) 477000 Ext Military Network Facsimile Section 40 E-Mail: uksiteiii@enterprise.net



| Reference: | FYD/1816/1/Ops |
|------------|----------------|
| | |
| | |
| Date: | 16 March 2001 |
| | |

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT REPORT - 16 JANUARY 2001

Thank you very much for your recent letter reporting the sighting of possible unidentified flying objects. I am afraid we have no information in our records that could help you with the sightings that you detailed. However, a copy of your letter and the map you prepared has been sent to an office within the Ministry of Defence that deals with such sightings and reports. The task of this office is to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised foreign military aircraft.

I can assure you that your report has not been ignored and will be the subject of further investigations by the Ministry. Once again, thank you for your report and your interest.



ROYAL AIR FORCE

Shawbury Shrewsbury Shropshire SY4 4DZ

Please reply to the Community Relations Officer Telephone: 01939 250351 (RAFTN 95531) Ext 7572 (+ answerphone)

| e-mail: cro@rafshawbury.demo | on.co.uk | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Section 40 | Your reference: | |
| | Our reference: | |
| Winsford | SHAW/2033/7/CRO | |
| Cheshire | Date: | |
| Section 40 | 16 March 2001 | |
| Dear Section 40 | DAS 4 (SEC) | |
| | 2 ; MAR 2001 | |
| MYSTERIOUS SIGHTING | FILE | |

Thank you for your letter of 12 March describing a mysterious sighting on Tuesday evening on 16 January.

I can confirm that no aircraft from RAF Shawbury were airborne that evening and no unusual aerial activity in the reported area was notified to this station.

I am therefore forwarding your letter and observations to the department in the Ministry of Defence, London, which deals with such matters, the Directorate of Air Staff (4) Secretariat, for their attention and records.

Yours sincerely



Squadron Leader for Officer Commanding

Copy:

MOD Das4b(Sec)



Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing with regard to an incident which occurred on the evening of Tuesday I6th January 200I involving the sighting of unexplained lights/objects observed by many witnesses in the skies throughout the Merseyside area(please refer to accompanying map).

Part of the event was captured on video for a period of four minutes by a resident from West Derby, a suburb a few miles SE of Liverpool city centre. The footage was broadcast on the local BBC 'North West Today' television programme(Wednesday/Thursday I7th & I8th January). Having interviewed the above witness in depth ,I was able to compile a detailed description of what was observed and filmed, a copy of which I have included with this letter. He has requested anonymity, which I will respect, although personal details are on file.

Briefly,according to his testimony,up to eight unidentified lights were observed for a period of approximately IO-I5 minutes. They were pinkish-red in colour, very bright, and performed manoeuvres at a fairly low elevation in the sky, uncharacteristic of typical aircraft, flying in two distinct clusters to the west of Liverpool city centre on a north-westerly heading. The witness, being familiar with inbound and outbound flights of commercial aircraft to Liverpool and Manchester Airports and also the activities of the police helicopter, was adamant that these lights/objects were definitely not conventional aircraft, since they carried no obvious flashing anti-collision/navigation lights.

Following the incident I spoke to a BBC reporter who investigated the incident and was duly informed that the 'North West Today' newsdesk had received over 300 telephone calls from concerned members of the public, many of whom had apparently observed the above event. On the I6th February I broadcast an appeal for further witnesses on BBC Radio Mersey-

side and was contacted by several people from the Liverpool area who were able to corroborate the testimony of the chief witness, having observed what appears to be the same 'phenomenon' within a similar timeframe.All expressed a degree of concern at the presence of these unidentified lights and particularly their close proximity to the city centre and a busy commercial air corridor.

I have checked with various authorities including local airports, police and the Met Office, who ruled out unusual weather conditions. However, at the time of writing I have been unable to confirm the presence of any inbound/outbound flights from either Liverpool or Manchester International Airports so am unable to explain these as yet unidentified lights/objects/ aircraft.

It would therefore be helpful to my investigations if you could provide me with the following information.

I)Were personnel at RAF Shawbury notified of any unexplained aerial activity in the Merseyside/Liverpool area on the evening of Tuesday, 16th January 2001, between approximately 22.45 and 23.15 hrs.

2) If so, were any aircraft from RAF Shawbury scrambled to intercept/investigate such activity during the date/times mentioned above.

3) Would personnel at RAF Shawbury confirm whether any military manceuvres or training exercises were undertaken by aircraft/helicopters either from Shawbury or other RAF/military airfields during the date/times mentioned above.

Your co-operation regarding these matters would be greatly appreciated and should you require further information please do not hesitate to contact me at the above address.

I await your reply with interest.

Section 40

Yours faithfully

CAME HISTORY: THE OBSERVATION AND VIDEO-RECORDING OF UNEXPLAINED AERIAL

PHENOMENA IN THE MERSEYSIDE AREA. TUESDAY 16th JANUARY 2001

NAME & ADDRESS OF WITNESS

The witness is a resident of West Derby whose personal details are on file. DATE OF SIGHTING

Tuesday 16th January 2001

TIME OF SIGHTING

22.50 - 23.10 hrs

DESCRIPTION OF SIGHTING

On the evening of Tuesday, 16th January, the primary witness was alerted by his daughter who was driving along Queens Drive in the direction of West Derby. Over the mobile phone she described the appearance of a formation of unusual lights in the sky to her right. Having been alerted her father rushed downstairs, grabbing his camcorder, onto the street. To his west, in the direction of Liverpool city centre he saw up to eight unusual lights which clustered in two distinct groups. They were moving very slowly from left to right(south to north) flying roughly parallel to Queens Drive, which runs in a north-westerly direction. The lights seemed to be quite low down on the horizon (approximately 30-40 degrees elevation), each of the lights occasionally altering position within the overall group. They were all pinkish-red in colour, very bright, and gave the appearance of 'fuzzy stars'. The witness was adamant that the lights were definitely not conventional aircraft or helicopters as they carried no flashing anti-collision/navigation lights and were completely silent. He was sure that, despite their relatively close proximity to Liverpool Airport, it would be unlikely that so many aircraft could be in the sky simultaneously. Also, their flight trajectory did not seem to take the objects either to or from the airport vicinity.

Fortunately, the witness was able to obtain about four minutes of videofootage which shows clearly the presence of the lights.On full zoom several of the lights are quite distinct and show no evidence of conventional aircraft navigation lights etc...

After approximately eight minutes, all the objects moved away to the north, eventually fading from sight.Following the incident, several other members of the public from the West Derby area came forward to testify that they also observed the same phenomenon, providing valuable corroborative evidence to support the original witness testimony.

LOCATION OF WITNESS

West Derby, Liverpool (please refer to map)

LOCATION OF OBJECT(S)

Liverpool City Centre/Liverpool Bay area(please refer to map)

| RIPTION OF OBJECT(S) | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|------------|-----------|------------|----------------|
| SHAPE | Fuzzy - 'st | ar shaped' | | | |
| SIZE | Difficult t | o estimate | due to th | e distance | of the objects |
| | from the ob | server | | | |
| COLOUR | Pinkish-red | | | | |
| BRIGHTNESS | BRIGHTNESS Very bright(similar to a -3 magnitude star) | | | | ar) |
| SOUND | None | | | | |
| DISTANCE | Several miles(please refer to map) | | | | |
| SPEED | Slow | | | | |
| ELEVATION | ELEVATION Approx. 30-40 degrees | | | | |
| WEATHER CONDI | WEATHER CONDITIONS (stats recorded at Liverpool Airport at 23.00 hrs GMT | | | | |
| on the 16th January 2001) | | | | | |
| WIND DD | WIND SP | VISBLTY | AIRTEMP | HUMIDITY | PRESSURE |
| 100(easterly) | 3(light) | 7 | -4.0 | 92 | 1022.5 |

(stats courtesy of the Met Office, Bracknell, Berkshire)

• •



COS/523/6/Org

15 Mar 01

DAS4 (Sec)

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON POSSIBLE UFO SIGHTING - 16 JAN 01

1. The attached letter, from a Section 40 is forwarded to you for action. Although, we are in a position to answer Section 40 two questions we are in not able to answer the third.

2. For your information, RAF Cosford Duty Staff received no telephone calls or other messages relating to this incident on the evening of 16 Jan 01. To date, Section 40 Section left? is the only communication received. As you are aware, RAF Costord is predominately a ground engineering training base and the only aircraft operated are the Bulldogs Trainers of University of Birmingham Air Squadron (UBAS) and the Vigilant Trainers of 633 Volunteer Gliding School. Neither of these units flying at night and would certainly never be tasked on an interception mission.

| | 3. | We have not acknowledged receipt of Section After. | |
|---------|------------|--|-------------|
| Section | 40 | | |
| | | | |
| | | ~~ * | |
| | RO2 CCO | | |
| | 100000000 | ction 40 | |
| | | | |
| | | en e | |
| 2 | 16- | Jan 22.45-23.15L NS 34 | - |
| | NIL | | 3.21 |
| | | - MACT | 181 |
| | | | NAT STATINT |
| | | | |
| | Live | respool Airport CTR | |

| Section 40 | |
|------------|--|
| Section 40 | |
| Winsford | |
| Cheshire | |
| Section 40 | |
| | |

12th March 2001

Dear Sir/Madam

OFFICE OF THE STATION COMMANDER 15 MAR 2001

AF COSPORD

I am writing with regard to an incident which occurred on the evening of Tuesday 16th January 2001 involving the sighting of unexplained lights/objects observed by many witnesses in the skies throughout the Merseyside area(please refer to accompanying map).

Part of the event was captured on video for a period of four minutes by a resident from West Derby, a suburb a few miles SE of Liverpool city centre. The footage was broadcast on the local BBC 'North West Today' television programme(Wednesday/Thursday I7th & I8th January). Having interviewed the above witness in depth ,I was able to compile a detailed description of what was observed and filmed, a copy of which I have included with this letter. He has requested anonymity, which I will respect, although personal details are on file.

Briefly,according to his testimony,up to eight unidentified lights were observed for a period of approximately IO-I5 minutes. They were pinkish-red in colour, very bright, and performed manoeuvres at a fairly low elevation in the sky, uncharacteristic of typical aircraft, flying in two distinct clusters to the west of Liverpool city centre on a north-westerly heading. The witness, being familiar with inbound and outbound flights of commercial aircraft to Liverpool and Manchester Airports and also the activities of the police helicopter, was adamant that these lights/objects were definitely not conventional aircraft, since they carried no obvious flashing anti-collision/navigation lights.

Following the incident I spoke to a BBC reporter who investigated the incident and was duly informed that the 'North West Today' newsdesk had received over 300 telephone calls from concerned members of the public, many of whom had apparently observed the above event. On the I6th February I broadcast an appeal for further witnesses on BBC Radio Mersey-
side and was contacted by several people from the Liverpool area who were able to corroborate the testimony of the chief witness, having observed what appears to be the same 'phenomenon' within a similar timeframe.All expressed a degree of concern at the presence of these unidentified lights and particularly their close proximity to the city centre and a busy commercial air corridor.

I have checked with various authorities including local airports, police and the Met Office, who ruled out unusual weather conditions. However, at the time of writing, I have been unable to confirm the presence of any inbound/outbound flights from either Liverpool or Manchester International Airports so am unable to explain these as yet unidentified lights/objects/ aircraft.

It would therefore be helpful to my investigations if you could provide me with the following information.

I)Were personnel at RAF Cosford notified of any unexplained aerial activity in the Merseyside/Liverpool area on the evening of Tuesday,I6th January 200I between approximately 22.45 and 23.15 hrs.

2) If so, were any aircraft from RAF Cosford scrambled to intercept/investigate such activity during the date/times mentioned above.

3) Would personnel at Cosford confirm whether any military manoeuvres or training exercises were undertaken by aircraft/helicopters either from Cosford or other RAF/military airfields during the date/times mentioned above.

Your co-operation regarding these matters would be greatly appreciated and should you require further information please do not hesitate to contact me at the above address.

I await your reply with interest.



CASESISTORY: THE OBSERVATION AND VIDEO-RECORDING OF UNEXPLAINED AERIAL PHENOMENA IN THE MERSEYSIDE AREA. TUESDAY 16th JANUARY 2001

NAME & ADDRESS OF WITNESS

The witness is a resident of West Derby whose personal details are on file. DATE OF SIGHTING

Tuesday 16th January 2001

TIME OF SIGHTING

22.50 - 23.10 hrs

DESCRIPTION OF SIGHTING

On the evening of Tuesday, 16th January, the primary witness was alerted by his daughter who was driving along Queens Drive in the direction of West Derby. Over the mobile phone she described the appearance of a formation of unusual lights in the sky to her right. Having been alerted her father rushed downstairs, grabbing his camcorder, onto the street. To his west, in the direction of Liverpool city centre he saw up to eight unusual lights which clustered in two distinct groups. They were moving very slowly from left to right(south to north), flying roughly parallel to Queens Drive, which runs in a north-westerly direction. The lights seemed to be quite low down on the horizon (approximately 30-40 degrees elevation), each of the lights occasionally altering position within the overall group. They were all pinkish-red in colour, very bright, and gave the appearance of 'fuzzy stars'. The witness was adamant that the lights were definitely not conventional aircraft or helicopters as they carried no flashing anti-collision/navigation lights and were completely silent.He was sure that, despite their relatively close proximity to Liverpool Airport, it would be unlikely that so many aircraft could be in the sky simultaneously. Also, their flight trajectory did not seem to take the objects either to or from the airport vicinity.

Fortunately, the witness was able to obtain about four minutes of videofootage which shows clearly the presence of the lights. On full zoom several of the lights are quite distinct and show no evidence of conventional aircraft navigation lights etc...

After approximately eight minutes, all the objects moved away to the north, eventually fading from sight.Following the incident, several other members of the public from the West Derby area came forward to testify that they also observed the same phenomenon, providing valuable corroborative evidence to support the original witness testimony.

LOCATION OF WITNESS

West Derby,Liverpool(please refer to map)

LOCATION OF OBJECT(S)

Liverpool City Centre/Liverpool Bay area(please refer to map)

| DES [PTION OF OBJECT(S) | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|----------------|-------------|----------------|--|--|
| | SHAPE | Fuzzy - 'star sh | aped' | | | | |
| | SIZE | Difficult to est | imate due to t | he distance | of the objects | | |
| | | from the observe | r | | | | |
| | COLOUR Pinkish-red | | | | | | |
| | BRIGHTNESS Very bright(similar to a -3 magnitude star) | | | | | | |
| | SOUND None | | | | | | |
| | DISTANCE | TANCE Several miles(please refer to map) | | | | | |
| | SPEED Slow | | | | | | |
| | ELEVATION Approx. 30-40 degrees | | | | | | |
| | WEATHER CONDITIONS (stats recorded at Liverpool Airport at 23.00 hrs GMT | | | | | | |
| | on the 16th January 2001) | | | | | | |
| | WIND DD | WIND SP VISE | LTY AIRTEMP | HUMIDITY | PRESSURE | | |
| | 100(easterly |) 3(light) 7 | -4.0 | 92 | 1022.5 | | |

(stats courtesy of the Met Office, Bracknell, Berkshire)



| | From Section Of Arrow And | | | | |
|------------|---|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | Telephone | (Direct dial) (Fax) | 0207 218 2140 Section 4 | |
| Section 40 | D | Your F | Reference | | |
| | | Our Re | eference S(Sec)/64/3 | (| |

Thank you for your e-mail of 8 March 2001, which has been passed to us for reply. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'unidentified flying objects'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

Reports from members of the public of sightings are usually made to Police stations, RAF stations and air traffic control centres and are then forwarded to this office. We also have an answerphone in this office where sighting reports can be left. The reports, which are usually very brief and vague, are considered, if necessary, in consultation with air defence advisers. Sighting reports are kept on file within this office for future reference.

Finally, the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

I hope this is helpful in explaining our position.

Yours sincerely





MINISTERIAL CORBESPONDENCE UNIT MAR 2001 Ref No 2262 /2001 The Secretary of Sta has received the

attached letter from a member of the public. It has not been acknowledged by this office.

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister concerned. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly, your reply should therefore be sent within 20 working days of receipt in this branch. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 223/99, further information is available from DOMD on extension Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of **requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice** including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on MMS HY UP DEPENDE the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence avel (Dec) performed throughout the year.

ection 40

| Asstpclk. | 2 | |
|-----------|---------------------|--|
| From: | Section 40 | |
| Sent: | 08 March 2001 18:14 | |
| To: | Asstpclk.2 | |
| Subject: | Re: hello :) | |

hello

٠.

my name is section and am currently writing a book on the UFO and alien phenenom. I would apprechate it if you could send me any details on the policies you have regarding alien contact. I relaise that much of your information is confidential and classified, but i would be very grateful for any information you could provide me with. thank you for your help

god bless you

۷



·Demon Internet Webmail Page 1 of 2 . Secure Connection -Demon Mailbox Listing ministers.demon.co.uk Message: / Delete. View headers **Originally from:** webmaster@dgics.mod.uk **Originally to:** public Mon, 5 Mar 2001 18:22:23 -0000 Date: Subject: FW: hello :) ----- = NextPart 000 01C0A5A1.398E7910 Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit ----- = NextPart 000 01C0A5A1.398E7910 Content-Type: message/rfc822 Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit From: Contin 2 10 To: "webmasteredgics.mod.uk" <webmaster@dgics.mod.uk> Subject: hello :) Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 21:19:11 -0000 MIME-Version: 1.0 Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="---- = NextPart 001 01C0A5A1.398FFFB0" ----- = NextPart 001 01C0A5A1.398FFFB0 Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" Content-Transfer-Encoding: guoted-printable hello friend im not sure if i am emailing the right address but i would be very = grateful if you could provide me with some assistance, i am a student at = totton college and i am writing about aliens for my project. i would be = grateful if you could tell me what the current policies are regarding = extraterrestrials. i realise that you are not allowed to disclose = information regarding contacts for security reasons. however all i am = asking is what would the goverment do if aliens did contact them. would = they chose to go public or run a form of indoctrination program. i am = grateful for any assistance you can provide me with. on a personal note, if you do wish to let me in on the secret you can = trust me :) also, i dont know if this is classified, but if you dont answer this = particular question i guess it is, what is your involvment, and how = extensive is it, with goverments around the world involving this matter. i realise that you must recieve thousands of emails and letters on this = subject and i would be honoured if i ven had a reply. the main = information i require is the offical policies that you hold regarding = extraterrestrials. i assum that these must be public knowledge because = you guys have laws and policies on everything. i realise that the = policies will be of an "If aliens contacted...approach.but i realise = that you must have good reasons to keep this stuff secret. if by any chance this email is read by someone who wishes to let the = public in on the truth, i am also writing a book and i would love to = include any new information on the subject..im sure you know how to = contact me unofficaly :) thanks

https://web.mail.demon.net/cgi-bin/webmail.cgi





Directorate of Air Staff 4a1(Secretariat) MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

From: Section 40

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN)





Your Reference D/DAS(Sec)64/3 Date 22 March 2001



Thank you for your letter dated 31 January, concerning 'unidentified flying objects' (UFOs). This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs' and I have been asked to reply.

Firstly, it may helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'UFOs' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your questions concerning Denis Healey and his involvement with the "Bilderberg Group" in 1954, we have no direct knowledge of this group or what may have been discussed at their meetings.

You also asked about a report allegedly written between 1961-1964 at Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe (SHAPE) concerning UFO sightings. This department does not receive copies of SHAPE/NATO documents on this subject. If this report had existed, I assume it would have been held at SHAPE for a period and than either archived or destroyed. Any files concerning 'UFO' sightings held by this department, which cover this period are available for examination by members of the public at the Public Record Office, Ruskin Avenue, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 4DU.

Finally, I can assure you that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.





Here are the relevant pages from Denis Healey's Autobiography, 'The Time of My Life'. If you need any more information regarding the Bilderberg Conferences, please let us know. If I am not available myself, please speak to Section 40. We do have a copy of the book for loan if you wish to read further.

| hope this helps ...



i"

17



P.02/04

Uniting the West

195

replied, 'the people of California like the Democratic policies better.' 'Then why don't you pinch some of the Democrats' policies? That's what the Tories would have done in Britain.'

'Because if we'd done that we would not have been Republicans,' was the reply. How often have I heard a similar response from Labour Party activists in similar circumstances.

Before long a benign providence developed another mechanism for assisting impecunious European socialists to learn something of the outside world - the international conference. Königswinter performed this function for Germany. The Council of Europe covered Western Europe as a whole. The NATO Parliamentarians Conference brought politicians from Europe, the United States, and Canada together once a year. Before long there was also an annual meeting in Bermuda of British MPs and members of Congress. Then the great American foundations of Ford and Rockefeller took a hand. There was a proliferation of cultural conferences in all parts of the world, including the Congress for Cultural Freedom, where I could meet people less directly involved in politics such as the poet Stephen Spender, the philosopher Raymond Aron, and the novelist Mary McCarthy. I later discovered that the Congress for Cultural Freedom, like Encounter magazine, was financed by the CIA; both nevertheless made a useful contribution to the quality of Western life at that time.

Of all these meetings, the most valuable to me while I was in opposition were the Bilderberg Conferences - so called after the Bilderberg Hotel near Arnhem, where the first was held in 1954. They were the brain-child of Joseph Retinger, a Pole who had settled in England after the Great War, married the daughter of the socialist intellectual, E.D. Morel, and worked for a time as secretary to Joseph Conrad, another Polish expatriate.

Retinger was a small wizened man, with a pince-nez on a wrinkled brown face. He was crippled by polio. During the war he had been an aide to General Sikorski, and despite his extreme physical disability was parachuted into Poland to make contact with the Home Army. After the war he organised the Congress of the Hague, which launched the European Movement. Convinced of the need for a similar forum to strengthen unity between Europe and North America, he approached Hugh Gaitskell, General Colin Gubbins, who had commanded SOE during the war, and several leading politicians and businessmen who were concerned to strengthen Atlantic cooperation. They asked Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands to act as Chairman, because they rightly

The Time of My Life

thought it would be difficult to find a politician whose objectivity would be above suspicion, and who could call Cabinet ministers from any country to order without causing offence.

I was invited to the first meeting and later acted as convenor of the British who attended; Reggie Maudling and I were the British members of the Steering Committee. Retinger and his successor, the Dutch Socialist Ernst van Der Beughel, who later became chairman of KLM, were extraordinarily successful in persuading busy men to give up a weekend for private discussions, though they found it more difficult to attract ministers than politicians out of office.

The Bilderberg conferences inevitably aroused jealousy, because they were exclusive, and suspicion, because they were private. In America they were attacked as a left-wing plot to subvert the United States, in Europe as a capitalist plot to undermine socialism. They were neither. Immense care was taken to invite a fair balance from all political parties. and to include trade unionists as well as businessmen. Though the discussions were more carefully prepared than at many such meetings - I myself wrote a paper for most conferences - their real value, as always, was in the personal contacts outside the conference hall. Industrialists like Gianni Agnelli and Otto Wolf von Amerongen had to listen to socialists and trade unionists - and vice versa. Experience has taught me that lack of understanding is the main cause of all evil in public affairs as in private life. Nothing is more likely to produce understanding than the sort of personal contact which involves people not just as officials or representatives, but also as human beings. That is why the Commonwealth Scholarships, which take British students to the United States, and the Rhodes Scholarships, which bring students from America and the Commonwealth to Britain, have made a contribution to good relations between the Anglo-Saxon democracies out of all proportion to their cost.

Lecturing in the United States, and attending conferences on both sides of the Atlantic, in addition to my work in Parliament and my constituency, left me all too little spare time; I preferred to spend what leisure I had with Edna and the children rather than with my political colleagues, thus reinforcing my reputation as a loner. A busy politician has to choose between his family life and his social life; I never hesitated to put the former first. And I was not prepared to cut down my work abroad. It offered me opportunities of learning to understand the people and problems I hoped to deal with as a minister, which are simply not available once you are in office.

The men who made the American Revolution represented all that was

P.03/04

Churchill when he was Chancellor of the Exchequer: 'I would wish to see finance less proud and industry more content'.

During the years of crisis, my main Treasury adviser on international finance was Derek Mitchell, who had been Harold Wilson's Principal Private Secretary before 1970. He was a small man with a face of mobile white marble, a Greek in the Whitehall Empire. His cynicism about all politicians was spiced with a nice sense of humour: he insisted on pronouncing the name of my Japanese colleague, Fukuda, in a way which would justify describing him as 'the Welsh voluptuary'. At the Bank of England Kit McMahon was the international specialist; he was the sort of insubordinate intellectual I find most agreeable. Douglas Wass and Gordon Richardson were, of course, always at hand on the more important occasions.

The Treasury in general was surprisingly ignorant of the broader diplomatic context in which all these issues had to be resolved. On one occasion they asked me to see the German Ambassador at short notice. I agreed, since Karl-Günther von Hase was a close friend, who had introduced me into the Order Against Political Pomposity in Aachen several years earlier. When the door to my sanctum opened, it was the East German Ambassador who walked in; my staff still did not recognise the difference. Fortunately I knew him as well, and tried to disguise my astonishment.

Von Hase was immensely helpful to me as Chancellor in my relations with the Federal Republic. My problems with Washington were eased by the United States Ambassador, Elliot Richardson, with whom I had also worked before, particularly on the MIRV issue; his Minister, Phil Kaiser, had been a close friend since I succeeded him and Ted Heath as Chairman of the Balliol JCR in 1930. My years at the Bilderberg meetings also brought me many contacts in the financial world which now proved of great value. David Rockefeller, whom I had known since the very first Bilderberg meeting at Arnhem, was now head of the Chase Manhattan Bank, and particularly active in the international field; his brother Nelson was for many years Governor of New York State, and had run against Nixon for the Republican nomination in 1968.

On one visit to New York I stayed with Edna at David Rockefeller's house in New York. We were stupefied, on entering the front door, to see on the stairs facing us Picasso's Young Girl with Flowers, which had been one of my favourite paintings since I first saw it at Oxford in Gertrude Stein's book on Picasso. Above our bed was a good Vuillard, with a Lautree facing it. David told me that when Gertrude Stein died, he and Jock Whitney, another millionaire banker, decided to club together and buy her collection. Then they drew lots to decide who should have which picture, and David got the Picasso. Ah well!

I still managed to get to several Bilderberg meetings as Chancellor. At Mégève in April 1974 I met Helmut Schmidt, who was now once again my colleague, as Germany's Finance Minister. On the drive through the Alos from Geneva he asked me anxiously whether I thought any country could survive the current German inflation rate of 7.5 per cent; since ours was already twice as high. I was able to reassure him. Memories of the Weimar inflation, when people had to shop with prams full of banknotes, were still very much alive in Germany, as they are even today. Bonn will always give priority to reducing inflation rather than increasing growth. Helmut was at that time in one of his depressed moods; he had offered his resignation three weeks earlier to Willy Brandt, seeing him as weak and lazy, always giving in to the militant Young Socialists. His relations with Brandt did not improve; in their case prose and poetry could not live together. Though Helmut later became Chancellor himself when Brandt had to resign over a spy scandal. Brandt staved on as Party Chairman. In spite of their difficulties with one another. I was later to feel there was something to be said for splitting the role of Prime Minister from that of Party Leader, but we never seriously contemplated it in the Labour Party.

Currencies were then in turmoil and Germany, like Britain and all the other oil-consuming countries, had an enormous balance of payments deficit to be financed. The immediate preoccupation of all Western finance ministers was the role of gold in official reserves. The question was whether the world's central banks should fix a new official price for gold or leave the price to the markets, or whether we should demonstise gold altogether by refusing to count it in the reserves, and try to establish the IMF. Special Drawing Right, or SDR, as the main reserve currency. We British were all for demonstisation since the Bank of England had imprudently sold off most of our gold stock several years earlier; as I told my French colleagues, my motto was 'merde à For'. The United States thought their dollar should be the only reserve currency in the world, and saw their gold in Fort Knox as invaluable support for its reserve role. In the Common Market the Germans and Dutch supported us against the French and Italians, who had vulnerable currencies but large gold stocks. In the end the most we could agree on was that central banks should be free to buy and sell gold among themselves, providing they did not

P. 04/04

0

Section 4

2

415

10:42

08-MAR-2001



FOS

Ref No 1365 /2001

Date (4120)

MINISTERIAL CORRESPONDENCE UNIT

To <u>DASH (Sec</u>)

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

DAS 3 (SEC) 14 FEB 2001

FILE

The Secretary of State, / _____ has received the attached letter from a member of the public. It has not been acknowledged by this office.

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister concerned. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly, your reply should therefore be sent within 20 working days of receipt in this branch. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 223/99, further information is available from DOMD on extension Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of **requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice** including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.



HOUSE OF COMMONS LONDON SWIA SAA

REF: PK/BK/10C

6 February 2001



Dear Section 40

Thank you for your correspondence of the last, January 2001, which was forwarded to my constituency office.

However, as I am no longer a not sugger that and it directly to the Ministry of it Defence, which is at:

ear Sin



I hope that this information is of some help to you.

Yours sincerely



P PETER KILFOLLE MP for Liverper Walter

Example And the second secon

Liverpool L4 3QD

This Letter was Sent to leter Killolyle in Error and Has Reca le houted

Hank you Section 40



Minister Of Defence Peter Kilfoyle

Wednesday, January 31, 2001

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE MAIN BUILDING C/o House Of Commons WHITEHALL LONDON SWIA. ZHB

Phone:

email

Dear Sir/

Westminster

London SW

I Would Like To Make Two Enquiries In History Please Concerning The Government Defence Minister Denis Healey In 1954, Please Could You Confirm That He Along With Other Western Powers, One Namely France, Knew Of The Precence Of Of Alien Landings At The Time Of The Document Enclosed.

Tavistock

West Devon

I Requested Proof Of The Statement In The enclosed Document And Furnish The Authors Statement By Email, I Would Be Most Grateful If You Could Enlighten Me as To Whether This Report Is Correct Keeping In Mind As To Where It Originated.

My Second Enquiry Concerns The Period Of 1961 And Involves An Report Which Was Commisioned Over 2/Half Years By Nato Then(Shape) By The Interest Of Sir Thomas Pike Wanting To Know What The Flying Machines Known As Ufors Were The Report Was Put Together By Every Military Representative In The Nato Countries At The Time And Signed By Hienz Berger Head Of Security(Shoc)

The Person Who Has Mentioned This Report Is Highly Decorated And His Rank Was Sergeant Major With The Highest Security Clearance That Was Available Working Under General Nyman Lemnitzer At Nato's Headquaters Just Outside Paris "Supreme Allied Powers Europe" And Attached To Headquaters Operations Centre.

The Report Goes On And On About The Ufo's The Types Of Aliens Etc. The Reports Cover Had Cosmic Top Secret And The Pages Were Stamped Highly Classified, And There Were Fifteen Copies Made One Being In English.

The Title Of This Report Was Assessment: An Evaluation Of A Possible Military Threat To Allied Forces In Europe It Was Numbered Hash3 Stamped Cosmic Top Secret Eight Inches Of Appendices And Dozens Of Photos And Signed By The Head Of Security heinz berger.

The Report Goes On And On About The Types Of Aliens, and The KGB Etc. But The Part That Interests Me In The Report Me ntions The British Army And. There Presence At An Ufo Crash In Timmensdorfer Germany Near The Baltic Coast, Where They Were First On The Scene To Find The Craft And Twelve Small Bodies All Regretably Dead, The Report Goes On To State That The Bodies Were Taken Away On Jeeps and Photo's Were Taken Along With Autopsy Photos The Craft Was Said To Have Been Cut Up"Like A Pie Into Six Pieces", And Shipped Of To Wright Patterson Airforce Base In The U.S.A.

Please Could You Verify This Reports Existence And Whether These Photo's "Not The Autopsy", Are Available For Perusal.

Thank You For Your Kind Attention





http://pv1fd.pav1.hotmail.msn.com/cgi-bin/getmsg?curmbox=F0000 28/01/01



reports-and-ET/UFO/Experiencers



From the Library of NewAge On-Line Australia: http://www.newage.com.au

ET Base On Earth Sanctioned By Officials Since 1954 Confirmed

by Richard Boylan, Ph.D.

In July of 1952 the world was electrified by large newspaper headlines and photos of squadrons of UFOs flying repeatedly over the nation's Capital in Washington, DC. Four months later WW II General Dwight Eisenhower was elected President.

The same month President Eisenhower took office (January, 1953), the CIA's Office of Scientific Intelligence (OSI) was ordered to determine if UFOs were interstellar vehicles. OSI convened the Robertson Panel of scientists, which recommended that the Air Force study of UFOs, Project Blue Book, be expanded.

A year later, in April, 1954, as documented by Gerald Light, President Eisenhower made a secret trip to Muroc Field (now Edwards Air Force Base), in the California desert, accompanied by generals, reporter Franklin Allen of the Hearst Newspapers Group, Los Angeles Catholic Bishop James McIntyre, and others. The President had previously arranged to be in nearby Palm Springs, CA, purportedly for a golfing vacation. He "was spirited over to Muroc one night," while reporters were fed the cover story that the President had a toothache and needed to see a dentist.

While at Muroc Air Field, Eisenhower was present while an extraterrestrial disc landed. Several Star Visitors emerged to converse with the President and the generals. The extraterrestrials requested that Eisenhower make the public aware of extraterrestrial contact with Earth forthwith. The President protested that humans were not ready, and needed time to be prepared for adjusting to this stupendous reality.

By the end of the following month, May, 1954, President Eisenhower's CIA Director, Walter Bedell Smith, Prince Bernhard of Netherlands, David Rockefeller and other top world financiers, later-Secretary of State Dean Rusk, later-British Minister of Defense Denis Healey, and other Western power leaders convened the inaugural meeting of the Bilderberg Group, "a means of Western



http://www.newage.com.au/ufo/boylan1.html

03/12/00

collective management of the world order". (Sklar, H., ed., 1981. Trilateralism. Boston: South End Press.) One of the early items on the agenda of this world management policy board was extraterrestrial contact.

The following information was disclosed to me by a confidential reliable informant, who previously worked on contract for the National Security Agency, and maintains connections within the Intelligence community. This information has been confirmed by a second source, Dr. Michael Wolf of the National Security Council's unacknowledged subcommittee, the MJ-12 Special Studies Group. The report which follows is not "leaks". The release of this information is part of the Acclimation Program, an official though unacknowledged U.S. Government policy of "processed release of information", as Dr. Wolf describes it. (Any information presented in this report which I have developed independent of these two governmental informants will appear in parentheses.)

Shortly after establishing itself, the Bilderberg Group collaborated with the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), another international policy body devoted to world management, concerning the problem of adjusting humankind to extraterrestrial presence. Bilderberg and CFR decided jointly in the mid-1950s
to enter into an arrangement with the extraterrestrials: The ETs were given an island in French Polynesia as a base on Earth. This arrangement afforded them an opportunity to monitor closely Earth cultures and behavior; and it permitted Earth governments a way to monitor extraterrestrial culture and behavior. "It became an on-going experiment," as my former-NSA informant put it. He adds that when official public announcement of the extraterrestrial presence occurs, "they will be the ones introduced to Earthlings; 'Oh, by the way, we want to welcome our neighbors from the Pleiades, who by the way have been here since since [the beginning of Earth] time, but [are] actually living in our place, date, space and time." The informant adds, "They are the diplomatic corps."

My ex-NSA informant reported that the U.S. particularly held out for many concessions before agreeing to the arrangement. (He did not specify what those concessions were, but history subsequent to 1954 suggests that what the U.S. obtained was the lion's share of extraterrestrial scientist consultants, to assist American scientists in understanding and adapting exotic ET technologies into such devices we now know as the computer chip, fibre optics, lasers, genesplicing therapy, cloning, night-vision equipment, super-tenacity fibers (such as Kevlar lightweight armor), aerospace ceramics, Stealth technology, particlebeam devices, and gravity-control flight.) NSC's Dr. Michael Wolf has previously revealed in his book The Catchers of Heaven that he worked with ETs as part of his governmental duties. "I met with extraterrestrial individuals every day in my work, and shared living quarters with them," while doing research at extremelyclassified underground government research laboratories. He added, "Zetas work in underground facilities, as requested by the U.S. Government. The ETs are not breaking the U.S. Government- Zeta treaties, but the Government has broken treaties by mistreating ETs, and trying to fire on UFOs." Dr. Wolf also described working with very human-appearing races dubbed the Nordics and Semitics. He said, "The Semitics and Nordics come from Altair 4 and 5 and from the Pleiades [star systems]."

03/12/00

Wolf also disclosed that in 1954 the U.S. had four extraterrestrial corpses in the "Blue Room", Hanger 18 at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio. These bodies came from a series of retrievals of downed UFOs. "The first UFO came down in 1941 into the ocean west of San Diego, and was retrieved by the Navy." (The Navy has held a leadership position in UFO matters ever since.) Between that and the first publicly-announced UFO crash in 1947, northwest of Roswell, New Mexico, Wolf says there was another crash in 1946, as well as two other crashes in 1947 besides Roswell.

Richard Boylan, Ph.D., LLC 2826 O Street, Suite 2, Sacramento, CA 95816, USA. (916) 455-0120 E-mail: rich.boylan@24stex.com ; Website: www.ufonetwork.com/boylan/

Author of: "Close Extraterrestrial Encounters", "Labored Journey To The Stars" and "Project Epiphany".



Robert Dean has served with the Aerial Phenomena Research Organization (APRO) and Mutual UFO (MUFON). He retired in 1976 as a combat veteran, infantry, in Korea and Vietnam. While he was stationed in Europe at Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe (SHAPE), he viewed a secret document about a military study of UFO activity. His new book is entitled, The Time Has Come, The following story was taken from a presentation given before a large audience by Mr. Dean on Friday, June 23rd, 1995, at the 16th Rocky Mountain Conference on UFO investigation at the University of Wyoming, School of Extended Studies, Laramie, Wyoming, Mr. Dean has appealed to Congress to hold open hearings on the subject of UFO's and extraterrestrials. He has offered to produce for these hearings over 200 people who can share information they know about the subject (of UFO's and extraterrestrials) if Congress will give them immunity from prosecution for violating the National Security Act which presently keeps their knowledge secret. When Mr. Dean left SHAPE headquarters in 1976 it was known (by the government) that there were at least twelve civilizations coming to Earth from somewhere else. Mr. Dean has been told by "insiders" that we could be literally dealing with hundreds of alien intelligence civilizations. One of the things, according to Mr. Dean, that drives the generals crazy is that some of these aliens are humanoid, looking exactly like us! And, the generals therefore realize that these aliens can be walking the corridors of the Pentagon or the White House and no one would know the difference!

There was a time not too long ago when I was a fairly normal human being. (I haven't been "normal" years, however). And I owe it all to the UFO phenomena. I was a career military officer. I spent 27 years on active duty in the U.S. Army. Most of my service was in the Infantry Special Forces, on Special operations on special assignment all over Southeast Asia and all over Europe. I have been places I should not have been, and I have done things I probably should not have done. One of the things I speak out very openly about, is that I am upset and angry that our Constitution is literally being trashed and flushed down the toilet! There is national policy being made in Washington by people who are never elected, and are not responsible. They are not accountable either to the Executive or the Legislative branches of our government. You didn't vote for them. You didn't elect them. And they are making national policy on what I consider to be the single most important issue in our time, and very likely the most important issue in the history of the human race. When if finally comes out, it is going to change the world forever. Your lives will never, ever be the same!

I am angry at these bureaucrats, who sit on top of the greatest secret, in my opinion, of all time, and haven't shared it with you. There are a lot of reasons they give for that. Would you believe that the reason that they give most often is that they don't want to upset you? They don't want to frighten you. Policy decisions have been made by men who say that you can't handle the truth. I don't buy that. I think that you people (in the audience) are the best example of people who can deal with this reality.

Part of the problem went back to 1938, and many of you older people will remember that Orson Wells, who was a genius, did a radio show in 1938 called "The Old Mercury Theater," on radio. And he dramatized H.G. Wells The War of the Worlds. Many listeners thought this was really happening! Anywhere this radio show was picked up there were men out with shotguns, running up and down the streets, ready to defend their wives and children from the Martians who were invading.

That famous Mercury Theater Show in 1938 had an impact on a man by the name of Hoyt Vandenberg, who was the Chief of Staff of the U.S. Air Force in 1947 and 1949. Hoyt Vandenberg made a decision. A number of other top scientists and military people decided once they began to understand what was happening, that it was too important, it was too Earth-shaking for the American people to deal with. They decided to keep it from us for awhile. Well, it has been fifty years and they still haven't told you yet. The story gets bigger and has grown into unbelievable proportions. I am going to share some of this story with you.

When the subject of UFO's eventually comes out, it is going to have a major impact on the entire planet. It is going to be absolutely devastating! It is going to cause a world wide chaos when the story and the full implications of what it means is brought out. Now, I am a believer that the people can deal with almost anything, if they are prepared for It, if they are guided, if they are informed. The mass of the American people, in my opinion, is capable of dealing with this reality.

The Roper Poll determined that roughly four million Americans have been abducted. I believe you have to add another "0" to that. I am convinced that it is at least 40 million Americans, and possibly many, many more. The abduction phenomenon is a world-wide phenomena and it is not new. As a student of history I have learned that it has been going on for the last several thousand years.

In 1963 I was very fortunate to receive a "plum" military assignment. I applied for and received this assignment to Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe. SHAPE, as it is known, is the military arm of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), was and still is. When I arrived in 1963 it was located just outside of Paris, France. When I left in 1967 I helped move the headquarters to its' new location outside of Brussels, Belgium. But, what happened to me in 1963, that changed my life so completely, is that I was exposed to a military study that, when it was published in 1964, literally changed my life forever!

So now, a little bit of review here. In 1963 I was a Master Sergeant assigned to Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers in Europe. At that time I had top secret clearance. It was a choice assignment. When I arrived in 1963 my top secret clearance was upgraded to a NATO level of Cosmic Top Secret which was and still is the highest level of security classification in NATO. I had to have a Cosmic Top Secret level clearance to be assigned to the SHAPE War Room, a place we called "SHOC," the Supreme Headquarters Operation Center. For the many of you who have been in the military you know what a War Room is like. It is manner 24 hours a day, seven days a week. A large staff is usually there all the time. The whole idea of having such a thing is that, if war should break out at any moment, you needed men there to push the buttons to get things rolling. That was the assignment I had in 1963. I was assigned to SHOC in the SHAPE War Room with a Cosmic Top Secret Clearance!

When I arrived I had heard gossip about a study that had been initiated in 1961, two years before I got there. And this thing was talked and gossiped about the SHOC War room in whispers. We would sit around in the wee hours of the morning and we would discuss the fact that this was under way. We knew it was happening. We even knew people who were involved in the research. The subject in question dealt with UFO's!

In the early morning hours of the 2nd of February, 1961, the NATO Military Alliance almost went to war with the Soviet Warsaw Pact Alliance, and World War III almost began! During those cold war years we and the Soviets were looking at each other across a divided Europe. Let me tell you, it was a difficult time! Our fingers were on the triggers. Our thumbs were poised above the Red Button. And a thermal-nuclear holocaust was only seconds away. One of the last things we needed at that time was a completely unexpected ingredient to be thrown into the pot, and that had been happening during the final years of the '50's and the early years of the '60's. Now, what was this unknown ingredient that was appearing?

Well, what had been happening for a number of years was that large

numbers of circular metallic discs, objects, UFO's, were flying all over Europe, flying out of the Soviet zone, over Germany, over France, over the Channel, and over the southern Coast of England. These things would appear at any time of the day or night. They were being flown in formation at a very high altitude, and at a very high rate of speed. They were, very obviously, under intelligent control. For a time, the Soviets thought they belonged to us. For awhile, we even thought they might belong to the Soviets. These objects would show up and the Red Alerts would go off. The Russians would close Berlin. It was frightening because we were so close to coming to a terrible end.

Finally, on February 2nd, 1961, in the wee hours of the morning, one of these events occurred. It only took about fifteen minutes before it was all over. A large number of large metallic objects were picked up on radar in formation, flying out of the Soviet Union, Germany and France, in formation, very obviously under intelligent control. All (havoc) broke loose. General Lemnitzer and Air Marshall Sir Thomas Pike, who was the British Deputy Supreme Allied Commander at that time, were up to their necks with it. Air Marshall Pike said to Lemnitzer, "We have got to get some answers on this thing. What the(havoc) is happening? What's going on?"

Washington and London would provide SHAPE no information during those years, because everything they sent to Paris would go immediately to Moscow. And sometimes the information from London or Washington got to Moscow and the KGB before it got to us in Paris. So, Pike and Lenmitzer and the rest of the staff headquarters at SHAPE demanded information on what was happening, thinking there might have been a very sensitive top secret U.S. or British operation going on. They weren't given any information. So, they finally decided to establish an in-house study of their own.

In February, 1961, it began. They called it "An Assessment." It had a subtitle, "An Evaluation of Possible Military Threat to Allied Forces in Europe." It took them three years to study this and publish it. They published fifteen copies. Copy number one went to the Secretary General of NATO. Copy number two went to General Lemnitzer, my boss. Copy number three went into the SHOC War Room and was placed in the vault. The document itself was an inch and a half thick and was supported by roughly eight inches of annexes and appendices. When I first saw this thing in 1964 it changed my life. I was the old professional, infantry, no-nonsense type of person. For the first time in my life I realized that UFO's were not fantasy. They were real. And it was even more real than science fiction.

The study concluded in 1964 that the human race had apparently been under observation that had been going on probably for hundreds if not thousands of years. I've concluded that it has been going on for a lot longer than that. They concluded that there did not appear to be a military threat involved. The technology that had been demonstrated repeatedly by these intelligences was far beyond anything we had, that if they were hostile or malevolent, the game would have been over a long time ago. So, the military analysts determined that there did not appear to be a military threat involved.

Interestingly enough, the Russians had come to the same conclusions. This problem led to the initial establishment of a telephone line between the Warsaw Pack Commanding Marshals, General Headquarters Marshals, Russian Marshals, and our own Headquarters in SHAPE, Paris, France. Later, the President and the Premier opened a telephone line between each other, and began to talk. The UFO issue led to the first establishment of a telephone line between Warsaw and Paris. Then that developed into another line between Moscow and London, and so on. So, the UFO's and their appearance over Europe in the '50's and '60's literally led to the establishment of that open line. And, the Headquarters at SHAPE and the Headquarters in Warsaw (where the Soviet Marshall had his headquarters) would chat with each other from time to time because they didn't want a war any more than we did. There were half a dozen times we almost lost it. These objects would show up and both sides would get on the phone and say, "It's not ours." It became very apparent that we were dealing with a technology far beyond anything that we have on this planet.

In 1964, when I had access to the Assessment Study, I read it every opportunity that I had. I would pull it out of the vault and two or three in the morning and sit there and go through it. In the annexes there were photographs of autopsies. There were analyses of metal objects and "equipment" they (the scientists) could not figure out.

There was a major ship that crashed in Northern Germany. A large 30meter circular UFO crashed near the little town of Timmenshook in 1961. It didn't explode or come apart. It kind of buried itself halfway into the dirf. When the British Army Engineer Battalion arrived on the scene and got inside of the thing, they found twelve small bodies. In the autopsy report in the annexes, were pictures, evaluations and commentaries from the medical examiners as they conducted autopsies on those twelve small bodies.

The impact upon me was overwhelming! It launched me on a thirtyyear search, which I am still conducting. I am still coming to terms with what it really means. I learned very early on that there is no simple solution to this. For the remaining years that I was in the military, as long as I had a classification, and access to classified materials, I kept up the research, the digging, the studies. As I said to Leo Sprinkle, I have practically been obsessed! Once you have been involved with this thing I challenge any of you to just turn on it and say, "Oh, well, I am not interested." I began by attempting to figure out who they (the ET's) were, where they came from and why they were here. I spent many years collecting photographs, landing samples. I've interviewed abductees, contactees. I would go out and take photographs of places where they apparently had landed, where there were tripod indentations dep into the soil, which indicated that the object that had made the indentation must have weighed twenty tons. I went through a whole lot of years of this kind of research. I was a member of NICAP. I was a member of MUFON. I was a member of APRO for many years in Tucson, Arizona. And, all those years I have collected and collected. My photo file was enormous. My videos, my tapes, my interviews with people was incredible. I practically had to move out of the house.

I finally stopped doing that when it became very clear to me that what I am really looking at here, is so vast, so incredibly important, that it had very little to do with these guys (ET's). It became very apparent to me there was a much larger matter that needed to be addressed, and that was simply this: Who are WE? Where have we come from? Why are we here? And, where are we going? That's what this whole matter has finally boiled down to in my research.

I've given up on the hardware. I'm still interested in it. I still belong to these organizations. I still read the journals. But, it is not that important anymore. I am wanting to determine for my own satisfaction, what's really happening here. And, the conclusion that I have reached in my view is Earth-shaking in its' implications.

The human race has had an intimate interrelationship with advanced intelligence and advanced technologies from somewhere out there from the beginning of our recorded history. And, the issue here is not the technology. It's not the hardware. That's not important. What is really im important is that the human race is reaching the end of an era. The human race, the whole planet, is reaching the end of a cycle. And, we are going to have to make the transition, roughly toward the end of this century, into a new species, a new world, a new way of thinking.

The title of my book is The Time Has Come. The time, indeed, has come.

For all of you who are interested in astrology, the old Piscean age is reaching its' end. We're at the beginning of a new cycle and the new Aquarian Age. What is a lot more important than that...a new world is going to happen! And, we are going to have to make a transition and adjust to it or we may not make it at all. When this subject does come out (about ET's) the entire planet is going to need counselors, and you are going to be the ones that can help most of those other people make that transition...because the impact of this reality is, in my opinion, going to shake them so far down, it's going to bring every organized social, economic, historical, governmental, and religious structure we have crumbling into ruins.



http://www.leadingedgenews.com/dean1.htm



Thank you for your letter dated 24 January 2001 requesting all documents concerning "unidentified flying objects over the United Kingdom" in the years 1979-1989. Your letter has been passed to this office as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence of this nature.

It may be helpful if I first explain that Ministry of Defence files are subject to the provisions of the Public Records Act of 1958 and 1967 and remain closed from public viewing for 30 years after the last action has been taken. We have, however, looked carefully to see whether earlier release of the files containing papers relating to 'UFO' issues might be possible. As well as keeping general policy files, the Department receives, on average, about 400 sighting reports from members of the public each year and a similar number of letters, some of which may also contain sighting reports. The information is filed manually in the form it is received on Branch files and therefore contains the personal details of all those corresponding with the Department. MOD has a duty to protect this third party confidentiality and the 30 year period is deemed appropriate for this purpose. Before access could be given to material, staff would need to be diverted from their essential defence related tasks to retrieve the material from archives and scrutinise and remove all of the personal information from thousands of documents. The latter action would be necessary because the alternative, to contact everyone providing the information to secure their agreement to the release of their personal details would be be unworkable. I regret, therefore, that your request is refused under Exemption 9 of the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information (voluminous or vexatious requests) and Exemption 12 (Privacy of an individual). However, we would, of course, be happy to look to see what information might be available for a particular, but shorter period, or for reports of particular sightings that you are interested in.

If you are unhappy with the decision to refuse your request for access to all MOD files containing documents related to "UFOs" between the years 1979-1989 and wish to appeal, you should write in the first instance to the Ministry of Defence, DOMD, Room 619, Northumberland House, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP requesting that the decision be reviewed. If, following the internal review you remain dissatisfied, you can ask your MP to take up the case with the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administration (the Ombudsman) who can investigate on your

behalf. The Ombudsman will not, however, consider an investigation until the internal review process has been completed.

I am sorry that I am not able to be of further assistance at this point.

ì.

0 14

•

Yours sincerely ection 40

opened in waren.



With the Compliments of

the Director of Naval Security and Integrated Contingency Planning to 2SL/CINCNAVHOME Victory Building HM Naval Base Portsmouth

Tel: Section 40 MOD Exchange Ext Section 40

95/578



24 January, 2001

To the FOIA officer,

.

Please could you send me All documents concerning Unidentified Flying Objects over the u.k., in the years 1979-1989.

Thank you in advance for your co-operation, hope to hear from you soon.

Yours Sincerely,





Rowal Mail 1 IOTA STATISTICS Cod Post FOIA Please Usa MINISTA NGENICIE Low FLYINg MAIN BUILDING ZK WHITTEHALL LONDON SW1A ZHB

|) | | From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1(Secretariat) MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB | | | | |
|---|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | | à | Telephone | (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) | 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40 | |
| | Ms G Bruni Section 40 Chelsea London Section 40 | | Our Re D/DAS | Reference Seference S(Sec)64/3 & | - | |

Dear Ms Bruni

Thank you for your letter of 25 February, in which you requested copies of correspondence between the Ministry of Defence and the United States Air Force at RAF Mildenhall regarding publication of your book, You Can't Tell The People.

When we were made aware of the publication of your book, we did not write to Headquarters 3rd Air Force at RAF Mildenhall, but as a courtesy, informed them of its publication by telephone. There has been no further contact between ourselves and HQ 3AF on this subject since then.

Yours sincerely,







25 February 2001

14

Section 40

DAS

Room 8245 Ministry of Defence Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

Dear

ection 40

I am writing under the terms of the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information, to request that you send me a copy of any letters sent from the MOD to Headquarters 3" Air Force, USAFE at RAF Mildenhall, briefing them about my book *You Can't Tell The People*. The fact that HQ 3AF were briefed on this was confirmed in the House of Lords *Hansard* dated 30 January 2001 (column WA 50). I am similarly requesting copies of any response from the US authorities.

If the code of practice does not cover US documents, I can of course submit a Freedom of Information request to the appropriate US authorities, but sending me copies of such documents now would clearly save everybody's time.


Royal Mail Please Londo use the South 35.000 Section 40 TITE pm 42 44 417 31 Room 8245 Ministry of Defence Main Building Whitehall London SWIA 2HB

| | From: Section Piect MINISTRY OF DEFE Main Building, Whiteha | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------|--|
| | | Telephone | (Direct dial) 0207 218 2140 (Fax) Section |
| ~~~~ | | | an Ngi |
| Section 4 | 40 | Your F | Reference |
| | | Our Re D/DAS | eference S(Sec)/64/3 |
| Chiswick London Section 4 | 40 | | ch 2001 |

Thank you for your letter dated 22 February 2001. As requested, please find attached a list of all reports of 'unidentified flying objects' reported to the Ministry of Defence during the year 2000. I should however emphasise that these figures relate to reported sightings of unidentifiable aerial activity, the vast majority of which will have mundane explanations such as aircraft lights, weather balloons etc.... Our lists of such sightings reported to the Ministry of Defence go back as far as 1995. I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincle



Thursday, February 22, 2001.





My research society (see title above) and also the British UFO Research Association of which I am it's press officer, are doing a survey of UFO reports here in the United Kingdom, and to this end I wonder if you would be so kind as to furnish me with a list of all UFO reports that came into your office during the year 2000. I remember that a number of years ago I wrote to this office where someone kindly gave me a list of UFO reports and we would be most greatful if you could do the same in this instance.

We agree that the vast majority of UFO reports have natural mundane explanations and it's only a few percent to which we as a society are interested in.

I would appreciate it very much Section if the could forward these details onto me, perhaps your statistics go back further than the year 2000 (if so, do please let me know)

I look forward to your reply and thank you for your cooperation.

Yours Sincerely,



SPI England/BUFORA.

01/01/00 Tenbury Wells Shropshire 03/01/00 Evanton RossShire 04/01/00 Oxford Oxfordshire 05/01/00 Swindon Wiltshire 09/01/00 Crewe Cheshire 09/01/00 Barnstable Devon 12/01/00 Bootle Merseyside 13/01/00 Motherwell Strathclyde 14/01/00 Guildford Surrey 17/01/00 Cottesmore Leicestershire 17/01/00 Dumfries Dumfries & Galloway 19/01/00 Birmingham 20/01/00 Balgonie Fife 21/01/00 Southampton Hampshire 21/01/00 Balgonie Fife 23/01/00 Spalding Lincolnshire 23/01/00 Birmingham 29/01/00 Dibden, Nr Southampton 01/02/00 Colchester 01/02/00 Dundee 02/02/00 Melksham 02/02/00 Hull 06/02/00 Bideford North Devon 10/02/00 Leeds 11/02/00 Banff 11/02/00 Aberdeen Scotland 11/02/00 Bowness-on-Windermere Cumbria 13/02/00 Pontefract West Yorkshire 13/02/00 Bradford 13/02/00 Leeds 14/02/00 Balgonie 19/02/00 Little Town West Yorkshire 20/02/00 Mitcham Surrey 23/02/00 Edinburgh 25/02/00 Newport, Wales 26/02/00 Holloway, London 01/03/00 Bradford West Yorkshire 03/03/00 Southampton Hampshire 03/03/00 Wirral 07/03/00 Wellingborough Manchester 14/03/00 Wythenshawe 16/03/00 Darlington 17/03/00 Blairgowrie Tavside 18/03/00 Pickering North Yorkshire 18/03/00 Falmouth Cornwall 20/03/00 Leeds Yorkshire 20/03/00 Wainford Suffolk 25/03/00 Leeds 25/03/00 Oxford

<u>2000</u>

| 29/03/00 | Weshpool, Powys | | |
|----------|-----------------|---------------------|--|
| 31/03/00 | Birmingham | | |
| 04/04/00 | Bury | | |
| 05/04/00 | Barnettsby | Lincolnshire | |
| 07/04/00 | Bellerby | Leyburn | |
| 13/04/00 | Cross Keys | Newport | |
| 16/04/00 | Manchester | Lancashire | |
| 16/04/00 | Leeds | Yorkshire | |
| 17/04/00 | Meppershall | Bedfordshire | |
| 26/04/00 | Whitby | Yorkshire | |
| 01/05/00 | Marlow Bottom | Bucks | |
| 08/05/00 | Glasgow | Ducks | |
| 21/05/00 | Havant | Hants | |
| 28/05/00 | lavant | Oxon | |
| 28/05/00 | Sittingbourne | Kent | |
| 29/05/00 | Gravesend | Kent | |
| 25/05/00 | Oxon | Rein | |
| 05/06/00 | Great Yarmouth | | |
| | | | |
| 06/06/00 | Dursley | Gloucester | |
| 10/06/00 | Lowestoft | | |
| 11/06/00 | Leeds | | |
| 13/06/00 | Bolton | | |
| 17/06/00 | Leven | Fife | |
| 17/06/00 | North Crawley | | |
| 18/06/00 | Brighton | | |
| 21/06/00 | Bradford | | |
| 22/06/00 | Fife | | |
| 23/06/00 | Felixstowe | | |
| 23/06/00 | likley | West Yorkshire | |
| 25/06/00 | London | | |
| 26/06/00 | Derby | | |
| 01/07/00 | Putney | | |
| 02/07/00 | Wirral | | |
| 03/07/00 | Leeds | | |
| 08/07/00 | High Harrogate | North Yorkshire | |
| 09/07/00 | London | | |
| 09/07/00 | Northwich | Cheshire | |
| 09/07/00 | Northwich | Cheshire | |
| 17/07/00 | Leeds | | |
| 17/07/00 | Stranraer | Dumfries & Galloway | |
| 18/07/00 | Leegomery | | |
| 21/07/00 | | North Devon | |
| 22/07/00 | Crewe | | |
| 22/07/00 | Birkenhead | | |
| 27/07/00 | Hounslow | Middlesex | |
| 27/07/00 | Salisbury | Wiltshire | |
| 31/07/00 | London | | |
| 01/08/00 | Bradford | West Yorkshire | |
| 01/08/00 | Cardiff | Vale of Glamorgan | |
| 01/08/00 | Leeds | vale of Glamoigan | |
| | | Uarta | |
| 06/08/00 | Nr Ware | Herts | |

| 06/08/00 | Rainham | Kent | |
|----------|--------------|--------------------------|--|
| 06/08/00 | Southfield | Hampshire | |
| 08/08/00 | Carlisle | | |
| 11/08/00 | Hastings | Sussex | |
| 16/08/00 | Forest Hill | London | |
| 18/08/00 | 1 | Bristol | |
| 18/08/00 | Forest Hill | London | |
| 20/08/00 | Callington | Cornwall | |
| 20/08/00 | High Wycombe | Bucks | |
| 21/08/00 | Burgess Hill | West Sussex | |
| 24/08/00 | Ravenstone | Northants | |
| 26/08/00 | Gwynedd | Normania | |
| 27/08/00 | Runcorn | Cheshire | |
| 29/08/00 | | Criesnine | |
| | Glasgow | h | |
| 31/08/00 | Norwich | Norfolk | |
| 02/09/00 | Blackwood | Gwent | |
| 03/09/00 | Nr Aldershot | Hampshire | |
| 07/09/00 | Seddlescome | Nr Hastings | |
| 09/09/00 | E Hunsbury | Northampton | |
| 10/09/00 | Eggham | Surrey | |
| 10/09/00 | Basildon | | |
| 12/09/00 | llford | Essex | |
| 14/09/00 | Leeds | | |
| 16/09/00 | Leeds | | |
| 16/09/00 | Ellesmere | Shropshire | |
| 24/09/00 | | Cheltenham | |
| 24/09/00 | Twickenham | Middlesex | |
| 24/09/00 | Wembley | Middlesex | |
| 25/09/00 | Highbridge | Somerset | |
| 27/09/00 | Ramsgate | Kent | |
| 30/09/00 | Wirral | Cheshire | |
| 04/10/00 | Finchley | | |
| 16/10/00 | Ramsgate | | |
| 16/10/00 | Washington | Tyne & Wear | |
| 17/10/00 | Keswick | Cumbria | |
| 17/10/00 | Leeds | | |
| 18/10/00 | Southall | London | |
| 18/10/00 | Iverheath | Buckinghamshire | |
| 18/10/00 | Langley | Slough | |
| 19/10/00 | Upminster | Essex | |
| 20/10/00 | | West Sussex | |
| 20/10/00 | Partick | Glasgow | |
| 20/10/00 | Huddersfield | | |
| 21/10/00 | Barry | 1 | |
| 21/10/00 | Liverpool | | |
| 23/10/00 | Perth | | |
| 23/10/00 | liford | Essex | |
| 23/10/00 | Crawley | Sussex | |
| 26/10/00 | Lowestoft | | |
| 30/10/00 | Ballynure | County Antrim, N Ireland | |
| | | | |
| 30/10/00 | London | | |

<u>2000</u>

| 01/11/00 | Dartford | Kent |
|----------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 02/11/00 | Rowsley | Matlock |
| 03/11/00 | Scunthorpe | |
| 03/11/00 | Nr Banbury | |
| 05/11/00 | Penmacho | |
| 07/11/00 | Stourport-on-Severn | West Midlands |
| 10/11/00 | Twickenham | Middlesex |
| 11/11/00 | Hook | RG27 9NE |
| 14/11/00 | Howden | Yorks |
| 14/11/00 | Berrynarbor | North Devon |
| 15/11/00 | Crowmand | Lincolnshire |
| 15/11/00 | London | |
| 17/11/00 | Taff's Well | Wales |
| 23/11/00 | Trehafod | South Wales |
| 23/11/00 | Huntsmead | |
| 24/11/00 | Perth | |
| 24/11/00 | Rochester | Kent |
| 28/11/00 | Skipton | North Yorkshire |
| 28/11/00 | Shipston-on-Stour | Warwickshire |
| 29/11/00 | Dereham | Norfolk |
| 02/12/00 | Wheatley | Oxfordshire |
| 02/12/00 | Harralott, Devon | |
| 03/12/00 | London | |
| 07/12/00 | St.Mawgan | |
| 08/12/00 | Fradden | |
| 10/12/00 | Thorpe Bay | Essex |
| 14/12/00 | Leeds | |
| 15/12/00 | Bereton | |
| 15/12/00 | Dursley | Gloucestershire |
| 22/12/00 | Blackpool | |
| 29/12/00 | Nr Mold, Flintshire | North Wales |

. .

٠



| | | From Section Dectorate of A MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, Londo | | | 245 0207 218 2140 Section 40 |
|---|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| L | Section 40 Hove Section 40 | | Our Re D/DAS Date | Reference s(Sec)/64/3 ch 2001 | F |

Thank you for your recent letter in which you describe an 'unidentified flying object' you saw in August 1992. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'unidentified flying objects.'

Vear

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. I hope this is helpful in explaining our position.

Yours sincerel

I cm inthe to the to tell you chat happend to the main that in telling this Fills you now, hypeng that At information is install. It im the afternoon about 2-2.30, It siar a lovely day sorry and not adard in the sty ! I ...) I has colling home alon "Bfor-/ hove. Sea fant. chen op in the distance 1 noticed a very dark odd shop dod. I stoped and stared A it for to-30 stops as I which it moved very Daille and stoped about the plats on lite sugest from a distance to later like a hire silver rempt ball. ath black and port halls, and like top raised section. It mark me feel very stronge, but alm. I could not belive aher I was storing A it was a dix shope spect silver cabr. I maded taxats it and as , did & It mare from door the flots to sor the SCA' first were the waves hit the shr. I an about 200 mts along from it. It made no mas silent and hourd in mid air don't 56 mts don't the sea! and to my amount a from of light came 24 lete bottom of H. H can block pole- it looked anazing. It mole my skin as al fingly so , while doer.

(え) I could just mike at a few people of the end of the proment. by the fields taking photos I remember two flashes. coming from that direction. and as i maried closer, for some renone 1 got the feeling that I shart not get abser and to tern Gack and & shal just that but then disided to tim and Jaquin and mot doly. In not suite sue about happend, not and felling every fore Jahot hard just hopper I now this happend arty 940 and and N presed this mo on to aff magi abith photos not h day gas by chen , think about it. So hopefully telling you also to the might hip he to put it but of my minely the scre you thinking chy cm, telling you this. cell. an object that an have with at no much be considered process' a telenty compared to this is cay belong. In not she allet I thing it and - la affer a military comment. I dont no. bit 1 woh 12th Ste H admin. It must of her 7-8 mts for 4.5 mt tall silver part hals around the top mised senter. Section 40 Hate. Section 40 Section 40 Pto

7 3 \mathcal{A} 0..... NHY-1. ,

12 MAIN Beuldin 2 Z Z X 14 $\langle \mathcal{N} \rangle$ WITC HALI 1.AV バイチ Ť. INI.



Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter of 6th February 2001. You said and I quote, 'As my predecessors and I have explained in previous correspondence, the Ministry of Defence has a limited interest in matters concerning 'unidentified flying objects' and I am afraid that I have nothing to add to our earlier statements'. End of quote. There is a 'limit' and there is a 'limit'. Just how limited is the Ministry of Defence in these matters?

Anyway, while the Ministry of Defence continue to play the hypocrite regarding these matters, I was instructed to conclude this God/Jesus Christ/Satan/Anunnaki/extraterrestrial matter. This conclusion is set out in a letter that I was writing to the Daily Mail Newspapers, regarding the serialised matter from a book called 'Time Storms', by Jenny Randles.

Since Mr Tony Blair (Prime Minister) has personally failed to deal with this matter and he has put you in charge, I am sending you a copy of the letter for your attention.

You will not be hearing from me again.

Yours sincerely,





Dear Daily Mail,

I would like to comment on the article 'Time Storm' in the Daily Mail, Saturday January 20, 2001, by Jenny Randles, I have a number of books by Jenny Randles, two of which are 'ALIEN CONTACT; The First Fifty Years' and 'THE UNEXPLAINED GREAT MYSTERIES OF THE 20th CENTURY'. Judging from the content of these books and the serialised information in your Newspaper, it appears that while Jenny Randles is prepared to express the connection between science and the UFO occupants, she is not prepared to express the connection between religion and UFO occupants. The question I would like to ask here is 'Why does Jenny Randles continue to disregard the connection/relationship between those UFO occupants and religion here on Earth, by failing to contribute to this science/religion/extraterrestrial being related subject, in her writings? Basically, Einstein's equation, $E = mc^2$, and theories of Relativity, state that 'all things' are related, indicating that science and religion are related, and that Beings that reveal their connected to science, must have some connection to religion as well, even if they have kept it secret. So, if Jenny Randles is willing and able to make the alien/science connection, she should be willing and able to make the alien/science/religion connection, as well. What is her problem? She doesn't want to 'rock the boat?' Her failure to make the connection between the aliens and religion, in her writings, means that she is being hypocritical towards the readers of her books, including me. She is not giving her readers a full explanation and true insight into the reasons why the aliens are here. On the inside cover (the back) of her book, THE UNEXPLAINED, it says, and I quote: 'Jenny Randles is an acknowledged expert on all aspects of the paranormal, widely consulted by media sources seeking accurate information. A popular broadcaster and a best selling author world wide, she has also written for science journals, briefed politicians at the House of Parliament and lectured at the Jodrell Bank Planetarium', end of quote. Could this be the reason why Jenny Randles is refusing to comment on the alien/religion connection? Since Jenny Randles is 'in bed' with the government, and others related to this 'Aliens' topic, she is not going to 'touch' this alien/religion related subject with a 'barge pole', and the people for whom she is writing will continue to remain in darkness and ignorance. Another thing that I would like to say is that, since Jenny Randles is able to make some science connection with the aliens, she should be able to focus in that science/energy direction and get to know more about her 'time storms' phenomena.

In the INTRODUCTION section of her book, ALIEN CONTACT, Jenny said, and I quote; 'Sorting out the truth about this whole phenomenon is a bit like wading through a minefield surrounded by treacle ... This book provides a fascinating detective story just waiting to be solved. So sit back and join a magical mystery tour through 50 years of truly extraordinary alien contact and see if you can figure out what is really going on', end of quote. 'A detective story just waiting to be solved? A magical mystery tour?'. Is this an 'Alice through the looking glass', story/journey? Does Jenny Randles already know what is 'going on', and she is not telling her readers?

These aliens are behaving towards the human race, by tinkering with its reproductive system, as if they (the aliens) are creators of living beings, like us, we now know, by the scientific process of genetic engineering. Since they are taking human ova and sperm, they are trying to tell us something. Aren't they trying to tell us that they are creators of living beings, like us?. Not only are they trying to tell us something, but aren't they trying to get us to make some connections? Basically, our knowledge and understanding about who created the human race, and how, are biblical. It is about an invisible, unknown group of terrestrial Beings called God, being the creators of the human race ('And God said, 'Let us make man in our image, after our likeness.... So God created main in his own image, in the image of God, created the human race, apart from saying that God made the human race from clay into which he breathed his breath, and the woman, he made from Adam's ribs. As far as the bible is concerned, we'll never know how this God

created us, but it appears that these UFO occupants have returned to Earth to reveal the 'HOW' to us. But humans are so 'blind-folded' by the content/teaching of the bible, that they cannot see what these aliens are trying to reveal to us. Isn't the aliens scientific connection with the collecting of various Earth specimens, including ova and sperm for humans, giving us clues to how the human race was created by this bible God, and hence to a religious connection? Aren't they giving us some vital clues here, to get us to make these vital science/religion connections, to get to know who they are, and what they are doing on Earth? But we keep missing the point, the 'big picture', because while members of the human race are willing to make the alien/science connection, they are unwilling to make the alien/science/religion connection in order to get some insight into the alien earthbound situation, and we have people like Jenny Randles putting the readers of her book on some 'treasure hunt' to find out what is going on, while failing to give a biblical lie about their creator, namely God, rather than for them to know the truth that their 'God' is alien/Anunnaki/extraterrestrial/ science/religion related. In this case Jenny Randles is assisting the world leaders and the leaders of the world churches to keep the people in darkness and ignorance.

In the INTRODUCTION section of her book, ALIEN CONTACT, page 7, first paragraph, Jenny Randles said, and I quote; 'Everyone has an opinion – but no one yet knows the truth', end of quote. No one yet knows the truth, not even the world leaders? Since no one yet knows the truth why the aliens are here, the Earth with its inhabitants could be in imminent danger, couldn't it? Isn't it time that we start getting to know the truth? Since Jenny Randles sent her readers on a 'treasure hunt' to try and figure out what is really going on, it is plain to see that she knows much more than she is revealing in her books.

In Jenny Randles book, ALIEN CONTACT; The Last Fifty Years, page 138, 3rd paragraph, she wrote, and I quote; 'Whatever they are doing, however we might struggle to understand their motives, it seems that the open warfare of Independence Day and Mars Attack will remain fiction', end of quote. Jenny Randles seemed very sure about her statement. How does she know this? Is this what they are doing to us?, 'Causing us to struggle to understand their motives?' ('rat bags'). If people like Jenny Randles would 'wrap the science/religious data around their necks', they'll be struggling to understand our motives. If this is a game for them (the aliens), it is a 'rotten' one. What is a 'game' for them (the aliens), is death to us. How does Jenny Randles know that some of these aliens would not try to attack Earth? God and Jesus Christ planned to set the Earth on fire and destroy those people who failed to follow him, like they did to Sodom and Gomorrah ('As God overthrew Sodom and Gomorrah, saith the Lord, so shall no man abide there neither shall any son of man dwell therein', Jeremiah 50:40). Research and study revealed that God, Jesus Christ and their angels are Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings related. This God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings group destroyed our ancestors by a flood. This same God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group nuked Sodom and Gomorrah, set these two cities on fire and destroyed their inhabitants. God's juvenile delinquent son Jesus Christ planned to destroy the present day human race by fire, on their return to Earth at the end of time and this 'End Time' falls within our time setting, in our generation ('As it was in the days of Noah, so shall it be also in the days of man... Also as it was in the days of Lot .. the same day that Lot went out of Sodom, it rained fire and brimstone from heaven and destroyed them all. Even thus shall it be in the day when the Son of man is revealed', Luke 17:26-30). So who said that this same God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group wouldn't do the same thing to us, that they did to Sodom and Gomorrah, if we stand by and allowed it (this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group) to do it to us, under the cover of religion? If we stand by and do nothing and allow this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki/extraterrestrial group to deceive us under the cover of 'Religion', and return to Earth and kill and cheat us out of our lives, then we would have convinced them just how easily led, how stupid, how weak (the weakest link), etc. we are, and that we haven't learnt anything from past history - bible and world History -- that our ancestor would have been murdered, and died in vain, and that we would have deserved to be treated this way. Our ancestors whom this murderous group killed by a flood, couldn't stop them. The people of Sodom and Gomorrah couldn't stop them, but we can. We can stop them by starting to break the religious link that they created for the human race, via which they are controlling a mass group of the humans. This God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group is mind-controlling (at subconscious level) the human race via religion. While this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group is using religion as a strong link to control us, this same strong religious link can be broken and deny them the religious right to dominate and control us. Change the present day religion and its power to control is broken. Change present day religion - Judaism, Christianity, Islam, etc - and replace it with the researched and studied, ancient Egyptian/Sumerian/Anunnaki data that revealed who and what God, Jesus Christ, and their angels, and Satan and his angles, really are. When we start doing this, we would, not only be doing the right thing, but we would have set in

motion the process of breaking the religious link that was made by the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group to dominate and control the human race for another 3,600 years cycle. We mustn't allow this deceptive, cunning, cheating, murdering, God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group outsmart us, kill off a mass number of the human race, and take control of Earth again, under the cover of its Religion Order. If we do, we would have been a failure to ourselves and to our offspring that we would be leaving behind, knowing full well that this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group's new world system would be worse than the present one. We would be as guilty of murdering the human race as that murdering God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group, and we would have handed over power and control of Earth and its inhabitants to that murdering lot, for another cyclic period, for another 3,600 years, during which time, the human race (our offspring) would be subjected all over again, to the 'cycle of life and death', by this murdering God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group. Also, we would have failed in our generation, to gain access to the 'keys' of life and death, that Jesus Christ claimed to have, and have no intention of passing on to the human race, except for the human race to serve and worship him and his Anunnaki father in order to remain alive. We would have 'handed' the 'heads' of our offspring to them, like the head of John the Baptist that was handed on a charger, to Herodias's daughter, see Matthew 14:1-11. Now that the present cycle of the human race has come to an end and the new cycle is about to begin, we must not allow it to begin by allowing the power and control of Earth with its inhabitants to remain in the hands of this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group, under the cover of its present day world religion. Bible and World History have shown what terrible times this present cycle has been for the present human race. To allow this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group to maintain power and control of Earth with its inhabitants, would be permitting bible and world history to be repeated for our offspring. We would have subjected our offspring to another terrible 3,600 years cycle, under the power and control of that God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group -- that 'King of Terror'--according to Nostradamus. This God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group is a bully and rules with fear and intimidation. It mustn't be allowed to get away with it, this time around.

The Christians most famous bible verse is, 'For God so loved the world that he gave his only begotten son that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life', John 3:16. This verse is a real 'tongue-in-cheek'. This same 'begotten' son who was sent by his so loving father, to stop mankind from perishing, and give the people eternal life, is the same loving father and begotten son who would be responsible for the people perishing in the first place. He is the same loving father and begotten son who would be responsible for the people perishing them by fire. There is no verse in the bible that teaches that Satan, who is regarded by God and Jesus Christ as evil, planned to destroy the human race by killing them off with fire, water, or any other thing, but the bible teaches that God and his begotten Jesus Christ planned to do this to the human race (Luke 17:26-30). So what is John 3:16, all about? It is not that evil Satan planned the destruction for the people and they would be doing something special for the people by trying to save them from it. Not so at all. They planned the destruction and would be saving the people from the very destruction that they planed in the first place. They are real Jekyll and Hyde. We mustn't let then do this to us.

The existence of these aliens/extraterrestrial beings (they are not aliens really) is a reality and not fiction. Their presence on Earth is a reality and not fiction, although the British Government and its Ministry of Defence keep denving this fact, to date. I know that their existence and presence on Earth are fact and not fiction, despite what the Ministry of Defence said in their own defence. I know these to be true regarding the existence and presence of extraterrestrial beings on Earth, because I have had encounters with some of them that referred to themselves as 'light beings', in the months of February, March, June and October, 1975, after which I was guided onto a science/religion data path, to date. This science/religion data path took me on a cyclic journey, right back to the beginning, back to the ancient data period when the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings existed on earth and created the human race to be their servants and slaves. After my encounters with the lights, I was told that the lights that I saw were similar to the 'fire' that Moses saw at the 'burning bush'. For a long time I didn't fully understand how the lights that I saw, were similar to the 'fire' that Moses saw at the 'burning bush', although I tried to get some meaning from the Moses story, in connection to God. In time, I was able to connect the 'lights' that I encountered, to those Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings that existed back there in time and who have returned to Earth in our time, and became aware of the connection between the lights that I encountered and the 'fire' that Moses saw at the 'burning bush'. I was made aware that, while I was told that the lights I encountered were similar to the 'fire' that Moses saw at the 'burning bush', they were not revealed for the same reasons as the 'fire' to Moses. Their (the lights that I encountered) purpose on behalf of the present human race, is opposite to the task done by Moses, with those people, for God, back there in time. That is, their purpose on behalf of the present human race is about REVERSING THE BONDING PROCESS, (undo the damage) that Moses brought about between

those people and that invisible, unknown God. What Moses caused to happen to the human race, was a terrible thing. It was a terrible thing because, research and study revealed that Moses bonded those people to a group of destructive, murdering, hateful group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, disguised as God, who regrets the human race being in existence and is bent on getting rid of it completely, if allowed to gain complete domination of Earth. For these reasons, this BONDING PROCESS that Moses brought about between this invisible, unknown God and the human race, has to be reversed, on behalf of the present human race before this same hateful, murdering, God/Jesus Christ/ Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings group returns and destroy the people of Earth by fire, like they planned to do, according to the scriptures. It would be a terrible time for the human race if the Book of Revelation and Luke 17:26-30, are allowed to be fulfilled upon the Earth.

The Moses/fire/burning/bush phenomena is explained in the bible as God/Jesus Christ and their angels, related, while further research and study revealed that the Moses phenomena is Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings related. What Moses dealt with back there in time, was a group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings (gods) and not one single being (God).

Bible research and study revealed that the God to whom Moses bonded the Hebrews and paved the way for his son Jesus Christ and his disciples to bind the rest of the human race via religion, is in fact, a terrible, destructive group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings who maintains a love-hate relationship for the humans. This God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings group is the group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings who killed the ancients humans by a flood, the people of Sodom and Gomorrah by fire, scattered the inhabitants of Shinar, and planned to kill of the humans by fire when they return to our Solar System and to Earth from their 3,600 years cyclic journey from deep space. But there is another group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings that has the humans safety at heart. To stop this process that Moses started with the human race, back there in time, for God (this group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings), a Moses-type group of people must be chosen from among the human race, by a similar, 'fire/light' method to the one used by God to appear to Moses. This Moses-type group is chosen by this other group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings who has the humans safety at heart. These Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings work with energy/light, and can TRANSFORM. Both groups can TRANSFORM. The lights that I encountered represented this Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings group that returned to Earth to assist the humans in reversing the process done by Moses. This was why I was told that the 'lights' that I encountered were similar to the 'fire' Moses saw at the 'burning bush', and was guided onto a science/religion, data path, which took me on a long 'journey', for twenty six years of my life, in preparation for the REVERSING of the BONDING PRCESS that Moses brought about between the human race and the invisible, unknown God (a group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings). I was made aware that this Moses-type group of people has to be non-Jews, because present day Jews are the offspring of the original people used by Moses to start the bonding process with God (that group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings) and they are too rooted in this God's religious teaching given to them by Moses, and later on, by his prophets, to be in the correct 'position' to be chosen to save themselves, furthermore anyone else. They have to be rescued, themselves, along with the rest of the people, globally, who got trapped along life's way, and became bonded to their Moses God (that destructive, hateful of humans, group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings) by their religious teaching - the content/teaching of the bible. Hoping that selfishness and greed would not become barriers to them (the present day Jews), stopping their release form the spiritual prison in which Moses caused them to be placed by God and his angels (that murdering, hateful, group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings).

The human race mustn't go on disregarding this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, connection, because some humans are believing in and worshipping God and Jesus Christ. The Jews and Muslims are worshipping God and the Christians are worshipping God through Jesus Christ. I will repeat, Since the flood, the fire of Sodom and Gomorrah were realities, the destruction of the people of Earth, by fire, promised by God/Jesus Christ, is also a reality that is pending. So, take note.

After I became aware/realised that God, his son Jesus Christ and their angels are Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings related, I rebelled against the content/teaching of the bible that said, 'The wages of sin is death', Romans 6:23. I made it quite clear to my 'light' guides that I have no intentions of going through the death process according to the content/ teaching of the bible. I have no intentions of standing by and allowing Jesus Christ and his angels (this destructive, human-hater group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings) to return to Earth to kill me and my family for no reason. Whose 'sin' is it any way? Ours or theirs? There is some explaining to do. Jesus Christ claimed that he has the 'keys of death and of hell', Revelation 1:18. He claimed that he conquered death. 'Death where is thy sting, O grave

where is thy victory?', 1 Corinthians 15:55. That Jesus Christ claimed to have the 'keys of death and hell', means that he has the 'keys' to my death process and I intend on getting them back from him. I am not going to take my death process 'lying down', now that I know that God and Jesus Christ are Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings related. I want those 'keys' (knowledge) that relates to my death process, from him. I ask the question, "Since God, Jesus Christ and their angels, are Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings related. I want those 'keys' (knowledge) that relates to my death process, from him. I ask the question, "Since God, Jesus Christ and their angels, are Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, disguised as God, Jesus Christ and their angels, have to do with the death process of the human race, since it is Jesus Christ who planned to return to Earth to destroy the people by fire?" There is something very 'fishy' going on here in connection with God, Jesus Christ and the DEATH PROCESS of the human race, and I am involved here, I intend to maintain focus on this subject of the 'cycle of life and death' of the human race, and get some answers. The biblical explanation for the reason why the people die, is sin. Now that I have become aware/realised of the question, "What was this sin that the humans committed before God that was so awful, that so deserved to be punished by death, and for which God, Jesus Christ and their angels are returning to Earth to kill off a mass number of humans?" The human race is being called to wake up and become aware/realised that it is being conned here, by a group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, it as the question, "What was this sin that the humans committed before God that was so awful, that so deserved to be punished by death, and for which God, Jesus Christ and their angels are returning to Earth to kill off a mass number of humans?" The human race is being called to wake up and become aware/realised that it is being conned here, by a group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial bein

Regarding God's connection to the 'cycle of life and death' of the human race, the Psalmist David said, 'Thou hidest thy face, they are troubled: thou takest away their breath, they die, and return to their dust', Psalms 104:29. Job said of God, 'If he set his heart upon man, if he gather unto himself his spirit and his breath. All flesh shall perish together, and man shall turn again unto dust', Job 34:14-15, again the Psalmist David said of God, 'His breath goeth forth, he returneth to his earth; in that every day his thoughts perish', Psalms 146:4. '... Man goeth to his long home... Then shall the dust return to the earth as it was: and the spirit shall return unto God who gave it', Ecclesiastes 12:5,7. These verses are referring to the 'Cycle of Life and Death', of the human race, in relation to God, his son Jesus Christ and their angels, we know now, are Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings related, the group that want to destroy the human race by fire, like they did to the inhabitants of Sodom and Gomorrah, 'The spirit shall return unto God who gave it?' Our spirits go back to that Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings related God? What for? 'Man goeth to his long home?' (Ecclesiastes 12.5), In Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings language, Where is this long home? Lets see if I could solve this little equation. Step (1) God belongs to the rogue Anunnaki/extraterrestrial being related group that planned to destroy the human race by fire, (2) This Anunnaki/extraterrestrial group longer lives on the Earth. Where is it living? Research and study revealed that it inhabits a planet called Nibiru that orbits our Sun, from deep space, every 3,600 years. Is Nibiru, the home of the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, the 'long home' to which the 'spirit' of the human returns to God, spoken of in Ecclesiastes 12:5? This is very long way form home, Earth, isn't it?, (3) How does the human spirit when it is released from the human body, reach this rogue, God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group that inhabits the planet Nibiru, that orbits our Sun every 3,600 years (man goeth to his long home?), and what does this God/Jesus Christ Anunnaki/extraterrestrial group has to do with the release of the spirit from the human body, and taking it to itself? Fundamentally, the human race is Conscious Energy, existing in its present physical and material form (Conscious Energy is not lost, it is not destroyed, it changes its form). Since according to the Psalmist David, the physical body of the human returns to dust, and the 'spirit' goes back to God, it means that the 'spirit' of the human is Conscious Energy in the form of the Life Force, and that there is a Conscious Energy Force within the human that goes back to God. But God is Anunnaki/ extraterrestrial beings related. What does this God/Anunnaki related group has to do with the 'spirit' (the Conscious Energy or Life Force) of the humans going back to it? What do these Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings do with the 'spirit' (the Conscious Energy/Life Force) of the humans that goes back to them? (Conscious Energy is not lost, it is not destroyed, it changes its form). How does it return to God/Anunnaki group? Ecclesiastes 12:5,7, Psalms 104:29, Psalms 146:4, and Job 34:14-15, are actually revealing to the bible readers that God harnesses the Conscious Energy/ Life Force of the human race for his purpose. Better still, this rogue God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki/extraterrestrial group harnesses the Conscious Energy/Life Force of the humans for its benefit. The human race harnesses it energy supply from the sun, water, and the lower life forms - the plants and the animals, the weaker link. The docile animals like the sheep, and cows harness their energy from the plant life (standard procedure). The wild/robust animals like the lions, tigers, etc, get their energy supply by preying on the docile ones (the weaker link). This rogue group of Anunnaki/ extraterrestrial beings existing under the disguise of God and Jesus Christ and their angels, are doing to the humans (the weaker link) what the humans are doing to the animals (the weaker link), etc, in order to maintain their energy supply, that is, they are harnessing the Conscious Energy of the humans (the 'spirit' goes back to God), resulting in the death process of the humans (body returns to dust). God and his son Jesus Christ claimed to have the 'keys' of death and hell,

can give life, take it away and restore it again, thus claiming/revealing that they are Conscious Energy manipulators, having the ability to harness/transfer the Conscious Energy from the bodies of the humans to other Conscious Energy states (energy is not lost, it is not destroyed, it changes it form).

The human race is being denied its cosmic right to live longer lives like their pre-flood ancestors by the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group, because this group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings doesn't want the people to live such long lives. The longer living humans would be a threat to their existence. Jesus Christ said that he has the 'keys to death and hell', Revelation 1:18. He gets the human race to gravitate towards him by his offer of eternal life. What God and his son Jesus Christ are saying to the human race, by telling them that he has the 'keys' to 'death and hell' is this, 'I have the 'keys' (knowledge, understanding) to 'death'. Follow, obey and worship me, and I can make life a living 'heaven' for you. Oppose me and I will make life a living 'hell' for you' –death by water, fire, etc.

Here are a few scriptures that gives some insight into the way in which the unknown, invisible God of Israel, can be identified, to take into consideration. (1) 'And mount Sinai was altogether on a smoke, because the Lord descended upon it in fire: and the smoke thereof ascended as the smoke of a furnace, and the whole mountain quaked greatly', Exodus 19:18. 'The Lord descended upon it in fire?' What type of scientific material would have produced that type of furnace/smoking situation upon a mountain? It sounds more like that of a NASA type space craft when it takes off into orbit, to me. What kind of Being would travel in a vehicle that produced that kind of furnace/fire/smoking atmosphere on the top of a mountain? Surely not a spirit, which the bible teaches that God is. And why the top of a mountain? The top of a mountain represents hidden, secrecy, danger zone. Now, mount Sinai is not an invisible place. It is quite material and visible. Now, since the Lord came down on this material, visible piece of earth, among all the visible fire, smoking and furnace, then what kind of Being was this Lord that appeared on that visible piece of mountain earth, and what type of vehicle was it that produced all the visible fire, smoking, furnace, and quaking on that material, visible top of the mountain? It could not have been an invisible Lord, descending in an invisible vehicle, on the top of mount Sinai that is a visible and material piece of the Earth.

(2) 'And Moses went into the midst of the cloud, and got him up into the mount: and Moses was in the mount forty days and forty nights', Exodus 24:25. Moses was a physical man. Am I to believe that physical/material Moses was in a physical/material mount for forty days and forty nights with an invisible Lord that made it impossible to see his face? Was this Lord on his own apart from being with physical/material Moses? Surely he didn't in the presence of an invisible Being for those forty days and forty nights.

(3) Moses asked God to show him his glory, see Exodus 33:18. The Lord told him, 'Thou canst not see my face: for there shall no man see me and live. And it shall come to pass, while my glory passeth by, that I will put thee in a clift of the rock, and will cover thee with my hand while I pass by: And I will take away mine hand and thou shalt see my back parts: but my face shall not be seen', Exodus 33:20-23. These verses said that humans were not allowed to see his face, not even his servant Moses, but he was willing to show Moses his back-parts. Now, Moses who was a physical man, was allowed to see the 'back parts' of God. Since this Lord showed physical/material Moses his back-parts, it means that this Lord was not an invisible person (spirit). This Lord, by showing physical/material Moses his back-parts, revealed that he had human-like form. Since he had back-parts, he had front-parts. We know that he had a face, hands and feet, from the above verses. Now, this Lord had back-parts, fort-parts, a face, hands, feet, and since he had a face, he had to have a head. When I put all of these body parts together, the final result is that of a human to me, not 'spirit' at all. If this Lord can become 'spirit', as well physical and material, it means that this Lord has the scientific ability to manipulate energy and TRANSMUTE.

These verses in Exodus 33:20-23, reveal that the Lord who appeared to Moses was physical and human-like, and not 'spirit', who travelled about in a type of space vehicle that allowed him to descend upon the top of mount Sinai, in fire, smoking, quaking and a furnace. This Lord refused to show Moses his face. The questions is this: What was it about this Lord's face that he didn't want human Moses ('no man see me and live') to see? This Lord's face bore such a grave secret that it had to be kept secret from humans, even if it meant killing the humans to keep his secret from them. That was some secret, that even the humans were not safe from the Lords vengeance, because of it. So, what might this secret have been? To try and find the answer, I'll apply the scientific principle, 'Conscious Energy is not lost, it is not destroyed, it changes its form' to his secret face. With the application of the above principle, I would say that, since this Lord (an Anunnaki) works with energy/light, like other Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, he was a Transformer, that is, having the conscious ability to CHANGE – a Lord of all faces, both human and animal. What we call in today's science fiction circles, a 'shape shifter' or a 'changeling'. This is the kind of scientific ability that extraterrestrial beings

would want to keep secret from humans, to avoid being identified, especially if they want to pose as superior to the humans. Its their way of maintaining power and control over us lesser mortals.

Today, is Thursday, February 8, 2001. In the process of writing this letter, I was told today to conclude this God/Jesus Christ/Satan/Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings related matter. I was made aware that the Conclusion is to be explained in two parts (1) the physical/material state and (2) the more fundamental or the Conscious Energy state. Part One will take us back into the ancient past where we would become aware of HOW, WHY and by WHOM the human race was created according to the scriptures. Part two will take to the energy state where we would become aware of the more fundamental side to our existence, and to the existence of 'all things', including the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings who created us. (1) The physical/material state is about going back into our ancient past, via data and becoming aware/realised, by WHOM, HOW, and WHY, the human race was created, according to the content/teaching of the bible that said, 'And god said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness ... And God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them', Genesis 1:26-27. Who and what is this God that created the human race in his image and likeness? Research and study revealed that the bible has its roots in ancient Egyptian teaching, which has its roots in ancient Sumerian teaching, which has it roots in the teaching of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings who lived on earth in ancient times, who are the creators of the human race. Research and study connected the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings to the God/Lord, etc, his son Jesus Christ and their angels to the Anumaki/extraterrestrial beings who lived on earth in ancient times, and are being worshiped by the humans, via RELIGION. These Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings no longer live on Earth like in ancient times, but they revisit Earth every 3,600 years en route from cyclic journey in deep space. Every time they return to Earth, a 'cycle' (time period) ends for the humans and a new 'cycle' begins. Religious books, including the Torah, the Bible and the Koran, were written in support of God, Jesus Christ and their angels, but failed to reveal openly that God, Jesus Christ and their angels are in fact, Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings. Basically, the content/teaching of these bibles are about some 'Controversy/Conflict between God and Satan, good and evil, right and wrong'. Since connections have been made between the God/Lord, Jesus Christ and their angels and the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, it is being made clear that the content/teaching of the bible is about God and Jesus Christ's side of the Controversy/Conflict. While books were written explaining God/Jesus Christ's side of the conflict story and in support of God, Jesus Christ and their angels, and presented to the humans, no such books were written explaining Satan's side of the story and in support of Satan and his angels. Instead, whatever was reported against Satan and his angels, was explained in God/Jesus Christ's book (the bibles). This isn't right. How do we know that what is written against Satan and his angels, in God and his son's religious books, is correct? Satan was not allowed to write his side of the story. In our democratic society, a person is innocent until proven guilty. To prove that a person is guilty, circumstantial evidence must be provided by the prosecutor. Where is the circumstantial evidence that was presented in God/Jesus Christ books (the Bibles) against Satan and his angels to prove their guilt? Apart from the few accusations made by God and his son Jesus Christ, regarding the 'apple' ordeal, and Satan's desire to become like the most High, the human race was dragged into this conflict' via the strategy of Religion, by God and his son Jesus Christ, to prove Satan's guilt - to prove what a 'rotten apple' he really is. This was to be an invisible demonstration to be accomplished by Satan and his angels using their wiles and working on the minds of the human race unknown to the humans. What kind of circumstantial evidence is this, for the human race, that is dragged into this 'Conflict' to prove Satan's guilt? This is deception on God's and Jesus Christ's part and showed where the injustice that went on in heaven, against Satan and his angles, continued on the Earth. While Jesus Christ (the Word) was allowed to become 'Flesh' and come to Earth pretending to represent the 'GOOD SIDE', and was able to write his books and present his side of the story to the human race. Satan remained an INVISIBLE FORCE, representing the 'BAD SIDE', and unable to write his books to present his side of the story. How could this be? This is not right. This is hypocrisy and injustice. Why was Jesus Christ allowed to become FLESH to represent GOODNESS and work on the minds of the human race via his teaching, and Satan remained an INVISIBLE FORCE to represent BADNESS, to work on the minds of the human race, we know not how? That Satan is presented as an invisible force to work on the minds of the humans to cause then to do wrong, amounts to MIND, and since the MIND controls the body, he has BODY CONTROL as well. This reveals that this invisible Satan works on the subconscious minds of the human race, but how?. The physical humans were dragged into a 'Conflict' by the invisible God and his son Jesus Christ, that began in heaven, to fight against invisible Satan on their behalf and the humans are supposed to prove that this invisible Satan exists, that he is a 'bad apple', and that he offended God and his son Jesus Christ, in heaven. We were not told what and where this heaven is, or what really went on there between them. Yet the humans have been dragged into their 'Conflict' against our will and forced to judge their case. This is not only a wrong

thing that God and his son Jesus Christ have done to Satan, but to the human race, knowing that it is an impossible task that they asked the humans to perform for them, knowing full well that the humans are bound to fail, because they don't really know anything about Satan who remains an invisible force to them, or what really went on in heaven. God and his son Jesus Christ are forcing the human race to act dishonestly on their part. Just as God and Jesus Christ have their written versions of this 'Conflict' story (the bible), so must Satan. Since Jesus Christ came to Earth as FLESH, so Satan must be allowed to do the same, this time around, so that the human can judge this case justly, and end this 'CONFLICT' once and for all. Since the humans were dragged into this 'Conflict' to judge this situation, allow them to do it the right up. Since there was 'war' in heaven, it takes two to start a fight. The human race was given the bible that represents God and Jesus Christ's side of the story, and denied Satan's side of the story. Now, we want Satan's side of the story (this request now acts as a force blocking God and Jesus Christ's way), if justice and fair-play is to be done. Since Jesus Christ is returning in the flesh, Satan must be allowed to return in the flesh as well, this time around, Jesus Christ is flat out depending his side here on Earth, while Satan is supposed to be flat out behaving as a bad force, constantly. There is good and bad in Satan and there is good and bad in Jesus Christ (I create good and evil, I the Lord do all these things', Isaiah 45:7). Is there a time when Satan does good? Is there a time when God and his son Jesus Christ do evil? Of course. The Old Testament is full of examples of the evil that God and Jesus Christ have done to the human race, and promised to do to our generation at the end of time (the book of Revelation). Would we ever get to know about the good that Satan has done? Yes, we would, when we get to know who and what Satan really is.

What is this 'Controversy/Conflict between God and Satan, good and evil, right and wrong'? Research and study of the bible (the Old and New Testaments) revealed that this 'Controversy/Conflict between God and Satan. Good and Evil. right and wrong', is a 'political and governmental' struggle between God and Satan which began in heaven and was extended to the Earth. 'And there was war in heaven: Michael and his angels fought against the dragon; and the dragon fought and his angels. And they prevailed not; neither was there place found anymore in heaven. And the dragon was cast out, that old serpent called the devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cast out with him', Revelation 12:7-8). Further back in the bible, in Isaiah 14:12-14, we are told that Satan committed another crime before God, when the scriptures said, 'For thou hast said in thine heart. I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God. I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north. I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High'. Again in Genesis, Satan, known as the devil was accused of tempting Adam and Eve in the Garden of Eden and caused them to disobey God, by eating of the fruit of the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Evil, and got to know about GOOD AND EVIL (Right and Wrong), like the Gods. The gods didn't want the humans to learn about 'good and evil, 'right and wrong' like themselves. The gods didn't want the humans to be in same position as themselves, because the humans would become aware what 'right and wrong' was all about, would be aware when the gods did them 'right or wrong', and 'stand up'(challenge) against them, like children who challenge their parents, and the gods didn't like being challenged by their human creation, like parents don't really like being challenged by their children (parents, including the gods, fail to realise that 'what goes around, comes around'). The gods must have known that allowing the humans access to the 'knowledge of good and evil' ('right and wrong') like themselves, would be a 'recipe for disaster' (confrontation, retaliation, etc, from the humans) for them, and they didn't want this to happen to them. Denying the humans access to the 'Tree of the knowledge of good and evil' ('right and wrong) was the gods way of keeping the humans under their power and control, like little children, where they are used and abused according to the WILL of the gods, like little children who are used and abused by the grown ups. Denving the humans the opportunity to know good and evil/right and wrong, was a way of keeping them in a state of immaturity. As far as some of the gods were concerned, the humans were to remain forever in that child-like state of immaturity, to and for the gods, but this Satan/serpent Being changed all that, when he got the opportunity to teach Adam and Eve about GOOD and EVIL (RIGHT and WRONG), and entered into further conflict with the gods, and became a bigger 'rotten apple'. In the sight of the gods, he (Satan) became the trouble maker, the liar, the deceiver, and the destroyer of human souls. On the contrary, he rescued the human race from the 'Lion's Den' - the evil, dominating, selfish, etc, etc, 'hands of the gods. The humans, having gained access to the 'Tree of the knowledge of good and evil', were then barred from having access to the 'Tree of Life'. That is, the humans having obtained the knowledge of GOOD and EVIL/RIGHT and WRONG, were then denied the knowledge of Life that would have allowed them to be immortal like the gods, not only to be immortal, but to be immortal as well as good and evil, like the gods. The gods didn't want this position for the humans. The gods wanted the humans to be like children, ' to be seen and not heard'. Chucking Adam and Eve out of the Garden of Eden, to deny

Contraction in the Section of the section of the

them access to the Tree of Life, and setting in motion the 'cycle of life and death', for them and their offspring, was a way by the gods to maintain power and control of the humans as they went out of the garden and reproduced.

There are verses in God's own book, the Bible, that reveal that God and his son Jesus Christ are real 'two-edged swords', and a 'Jekyll and Hyde'. Examples are,(a) Isaiah 45:7, 'I form the light and create darkness. I make peace and create evil. I the lord do all these things', (b) 'See, I have this day set thee over the nations and over the kingdoms, to root out and to pull down, and to destroy, and to thrown down, to build, and to plant', Jeremiah 1:10, (c) 'If ye will still abide in this land, then will build you, and not pull you down, and I will plant you and not pluck you up: for I repent of the evil that I have done unto you', (d) 'Now therefore, behold, the Lord hat put a lying spirit in the mouth of these thy prophets, and the Lord hath spoken evil against thee', 2 Chronicles 18:22, (e) 'Thus saith the Lord, Behold, I will raise up evil against thee out of thine own house, and I will take thy wives before thine eyes and give them unto thy neighbours, and he shall lie with thy wives in the sight of this sun', 2 Samuel 12:11, and many more where these came from. Now, since the Lord God does evil to blumans as well, how would the humans be able to tell who is doing what to them? That is, how would the humans be able to tell if it is the Lord God doing evil to them or Satan doing evil to them?

I stated above that research and study revealed that God, his son Jesus Christ and their angels are Anunnaki/ extraterrestrial beings related. Since research and study revealed that God, Jesus Christ and their angels are Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings connected, so research and study will reveal Satan's connections. The bible states that God, Jesus Christ and their angels, and Satan and his angels were all living in heaven, but Satan and his angels were cast out of heaven to the Earth after he lost the fight. Since further research and study into the ancient data revealed a connection between God, Jesus Christ and their angels, and the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings who lived on Earth in ancient times, then research and study into the same data will reveal a connection between Satan and his angels and the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, as well, and revealing that God, Jesus Christ and their angels represent one group of Anumnaki/extraterrestrial beings, and Satan and his angels represent another group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings. Having made these connections between God/Jesus Christ and their angels, and Satan and their angels, and the Anumaki/extraterrestrial beings that lived on Earth, in ancient times, then we can go on to connect the content/teaching bible to them (the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings), and more precisely, to the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki related group. From here onward, we must go back to the ancient data and find out more about these Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings whoa re bible related. Research and study into the ancient data about the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, their connection to God, Jesus Christ and the content/teaching of the bible, will lead us to the truth regarding the 'Controversy/Conflict between God and Satan, Good and Evil'. The above verses in Revelation 12:7-8, revealed that a war/fight was waged in heaven between Michael and the dragon/Satan the devil. Michael won the fight, and the dragon/Satan was kicked out of heaven, meaning that the dragon/Satan lost power and control of his position, in heaven, to Michael. Revelation 12.7, said that after the dragon/Satan lost the fight, he was kicked out of heaven and onto the Earth, with his angels, revealing that this 'heaven' and earth are two separate places, and that this 'heaven' is their original home. Revelation 12:13, said that, 'When the dragon saw he was cast unto the earth, he persecuted the woman which brought forth the child'. This verse reveals that this war/fight between Michael and the dragon/Satan, was extended to Earth, which was the original home of the humans. If we translate these bible verses into Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings language, we'll discover that these two groups of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings (God/Jesus Christ and their angels, and Satan and his angels) brought their war/fight (Controversy/Conflict) to Earth, and involved the human race, that they created to be their servants and slaves. The God/Jesus Christ Anunnaki group presented the Satan/Anumaki group as the evil or bad ones. The bible reveals that Michael who claims to be the creator of the humans, wants complete power and control of the humans, the earth and heaven as well, to become 'KING OF KINGS AND LORD OF LORDS', Revelation 19:16, that is, to become, King of 'Heaven' and Earth. To translate these verses of scripture into Anunnaki/extraterrestrial language, it reveals that this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group wants to be allowed to gain complete power and control of the Earth, the humans and Heaven, instead of the Satan/Anunnaki group. The humans cannot prevent the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group from gaining and maintaining power and control of their heaven, but they need the present generation of humans to give permission to gain power and control of their home, Earth. This must not be allowed.

The content/teaching of the bible revealed that God and his son Jesus Christ are the good 'guys' and Satan and his group are the bad 'guys'. When translated into Anunnaki language, it means that the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki related group is the good 'guys' and it must be allowed to maintain power and control of the Earth, while the Satan/Anunnaki group is the 'bad guys', and must be got rid of - not allowed power and control of Earth. The question is this. How could the God/Jesus Christ/Anumaki related group claim to be the good 'guys' when bible History revealed that this group is responsible for creating so much chaos, death and destruction on the Earth. For example, (1) regretting the creation of the human race and the killing of our ancestors by the flood, (2) going down to Shinar and reaping chaos and havoc upon the inhabitants, by confusing their single language and causing them to be separated and scattered upon the face of the earth (Genesis 11). This was a form of death and destruction of the human race, (3) the nuking of Sodom and Gomorrah, (4) all the biblical wars that they created and were created in their names, to date(the conflict in the Middle East), (4) the chaos, death and destruction that they planned for the humans at the end of time -- the killer earthquakes. killer famines, wars and rumours of wars, killer volcanoes, etc. before they set fire to the Earth, killing millions of humans like they did to our ancestors by the flood. After causing so much destruction on earth, how could they still claim to be the 'good guys' to the humans? How stupid do they think that we are? Since bible History is actually revealing that the God/Jesus Christ/Anumaki group is not the 'good guys' like they claimed to be, then they are the 'bad guys', to the humans. Since the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group is really the bad 'guys', then the Satan/Anunnaki group must be the good 'guys' to the humans just as Genesis revealed about the serpent tempting Eve to eat the fruit of the Tree of the knowledge of Good and Evil. What happened was that, the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group changed the whole thing around to their benefit, claimed to be good, when in fact, they are evil to the humans, and would continue to be evil and destructive to the humans, in the future. That this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group planned the destruction of the present human race, by fire, shows that this group does not like humans, only to use, abuse and then kill them. This group must not be allowed to have power and control of Earth.

This God/Jesus Christ/Anumaki/ wants complete power and control of Earth, the humans and their habitat, 'heaven'. Why? Initially, the human race was created to be their servants and slaves, to help dig their gold, said Mr Zecharia Sitchin, in his set of books, EARTH CHRONICLES. The humans stopped being their servants and slaves and digging their gold when they (the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings) abandoned Earth and returned to their 'heaven' ('heaven' is their orbiting planet, called Nibiru, according to the ancient teaching in Mr Zecharia Sitchin writings). In our time, they cannot make us their slaves to dig for their gold, so what do they want with us now that they have returned to Earth in our time, apart from using us as pawns in their games? The Satan/Anunnaki group would want to pass on more knowledge to the humans to aid them in their evolutionary process, like it did to Adam and Eve when they were encouraged to 'eat the apple', but the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group wouldn't. So what's really in it for this group? To get the answer to this question, I'll apply the motto that was given to me: 'To see things through the 'eyes' of Conscious Energy'. I'll use the scriptures to assist me here. Ecclesiastes 12:7, said. 'Then shall the dust return to the earth as it was: and the spirit shall return unto God who gave it'. This verse is referring to the death process of the human race. The spirit of the human is Conscious Energy, which is the Life Force. The spirit can only return to the one who gave it, when it is released from the physical body, which would be the death of the person. Now that a connection has been made between God, his son Jesus Christ and their angels, and a group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, who kept destroying the humans in the past, and who is bent on destroying the human race in the future (our present time), What does the release of the 'spirit' (the Conscious Energy/Life Force) from the physical body of the human, has to do with going back to God and Jesus Christ, and how does it make its journey back to this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki related group? (Conscious Energy is not lost, it is not destroyed, it changes its form). Since the 'spirit' of the human is Conscious Energy, and since Conscious Energy is a TRANSFORMER, and since the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings group work with energy (all energy is Conscious by nature), and since the Conscious Energy of the human (the 'spirit') goes back to God, Ecclesiastes 12.7, is revealing that this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group harnesses the Conscious Energy of the human race, for their use, resulting in the death process of the humans. In this way, the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group has continued to use the human race as servants and slaves, not for digging their gold, but them 'digging' our gold. That is, in the beginning, those Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings used the humans as a source of energy for slave labour. After they abandoned Earth and no longer used the humans for their slave labour, they began to use them for another kind of slave labour; that is, for their source of Conscious Energy (the spirit goes back to God/Anunnaki). Since this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group is using the human race as a source for harnessing Conscious Energy (the life force), it stands to reason why it wants to gain complete power and control of Earth with its

inhabitants. This way, it (this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group) would be able to harness the Conscious Energy of the humans on a mass scale, with the continuing cycle of 'Life and Death' (while holding on to the 'keys - knowledge -- of death and refusing to pass them on the humans), without opposition from the other group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings. In other words, this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group wants to maintain power and control of the human race for the same reason that God threw Adam and Eve out of the Garden of Eden after they ate of the fruit of the Tree of the knowledge of Good and Evil, that is, to deny it access to the 'Tree of Life'. Earth with its inhabitants would become its (the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group) 'vineyard' for 'reaping' Conscious Energy, like an 'animal farm'. But there is a 'barrier' that this group wants to get rid of if it is to succeed. This 'barrier' is the other Satan/Anunnaki group better known as the anti-Christ. Since these Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings (the God/Jesus Christ group and the Satan group) are no longer in direct, physical control of Earth and its inhabitants, like they were in ancient times, they cannot return to Earth and start a physical fight with each other. This is not the idea. The idea is to get the humans to start the wars on Earth and fight them for them, not forgetting that the humans are supposed to prove what an evil being the dragon/Satan really is. For example, the holy wars by the Muslims, the Middle East wars, etc. with devastating effects. Now, the bible does not reveal that Satan is a killer of humans, but a giver of knowledge (example, the serpent to Adam and Eve). but it does reveal that God and his son Jesus Christ are killers of humans. Translate this into Anunnaki language and we will find that the Satan/Anunnaki group is not a killer of humans, but a giver of knowledge, like the serpent to Adam and Eve in Garden of Eden, while the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group is - the prophecy in Luke 17:26-30 and those in the book of Revelation, are to be fulfilled upon the humans by God, Jesus Christ and their angels, and not by Satan and his angels. Translate this into Anunnaki language and we get, the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group is the killer of humans and not the Satan/Anunnaki group.

These Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings are energy workers. Conscious Energy to be more precise. As Conscious Energy workers and manipulators, that they can Transform/Shape-shift (Conscious Energy is not lost, it is not destroyed, it changes its form). Since they are transformers/shape-shifters, they need a constant supply of Conscious Energy. Who better to get their constant supply of Conscious Energy from, but from living human beings and animals. They made life on Earth, their 'donor card' giving them free access to Conscious Energy supply, with little or no resistance from the humans and they want to keep it that way.

Since these two opposing groups (the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group and the Satan/Anunnaki group) are living extraterrestrial beings, are religion related, are returning to Earth, their 'coming' must and will be a literal one, via space ships. Since their return to Earth is biblical and therefore inevitable, how could the world leaders stop them from returning to Earth because they are extraterrestrial beings? How could the occupants of the UFOs be accused of the violation 'Air Space?' These world leaders are aware that these extraterrestrial beings are religion related. These world leaders go to church and give the outward appearance that they are worshipping some supernatural being called God and his son Jesus Christ when inn fact, they are worshipping Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, and they know it, but they don't want the people to come to the awareness/realisation that they too, are worshipping Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings disguised as God, Jesus Christ and their angels, because, then the people would come to the awareness/realisation that God/Jesus Christ and their angels are the murdering Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings that are returning to Earth to gain world domination with intent on making some of the inhabitants their servants and slaves and murdering the rest, making RELIGION their biggest problem regarding the appearing the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings to the people globally. They want to keep the people ignorant of this fact. But why do these world leaders, after world leaders, continue to heap this awful responsibility upon their 'heads?' How long can they go on doing this? What if these Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings decide to make their global appearing in their space ships, without their permission, what are they (the world leaders) going to say? How are they going to explain it to the people? Recently I read that Mrs Margaret Thatcher (Ex-Prime Minister) gave instructions to keep the knowledge of the existence and the visitations of these extraterrestrial beings, to Earth, secret from the people. What/who gave her the right to do this to the people. especially to those who claimed to have encounters with them? Does she realise what she did to those of us who have encounters with some of them, by keeping the knowledge of them secret? She dug a 'hell hole' for us. Did these extraterrestrial beings give her and her government permission to do this to the people? By hiding this knowledge from the people, she dug a 'hell hole' for herself. 'What goes around, comes around'. The British Government was reminded that the time had come for this knowledge to be revealed to the people, but the Government and its Ministry of Defence continue to deny their existence and presence on Earth, and thus the people their right to this TRUTH. Let's see how long these world leaders are going to keep up their charade. The world leaders, by refusing to come out into the open

and tell the people the truth about the extraterrestrial beings, have dug a very big 'hole' for themselves, with the God/Jesus Christ Anunnaki/extraterrestrial group. This God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group is blackmailing them, 'big time'. Let's see how they are going to out of this 'hole' that they have dug for themselves.

Jesus Christ planned to return with this angels (a group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings) to earth take away some of the people (abduct some of the people because the people are unaware that God, his son Jesus Christ and their angels are in fact. Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings) and kill the rest by fire. 'As it was in the day of Noah, so shall it be in the days of the Son of man. They did eat, they drank, they married, they were given in marriage, until the day that Noah entered into the ark, and the flood came, and destroyed them all. Likewise also as it was in the days of Lot, they did eat, they drank, they bought, they sold, they planted, they builded, But the same day that Lot went out of Sodom it rained fire and brimstone from heaven, and destroyed them all. Even thus shall it be in the day when the Son of man is revealed'. Luke 17:26-30. The Son of man is Jesus Christ. This prophecy revealed that not only would the people be destroyed by fire, but by water as well. What would be the tool most likely to be used by this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group to fulfil this calamity on the whole Earth? It would an asteroid like the one on collision course with Earth in 2030, according NASA/astronomers. I was made aware/realised (today, Friday, February 16, 2001), that this asteroidal tool is the one that this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group will use to fulfil the prophecy in Luke 17:26-30, upon the Earth. An enormous asteroid hitting Earth would create the watery and the fiery conditions necessary to fulfil the prophecy in Luke 17:26-30, and the book of Revelation, on the earth. Not only would this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group use this asteroid to fulfil the prophecy in Luke, but it (the group) would have created it in deep space, and set it on a collision course with Earth, to arrive at a certain time after their arrival and departure from Earth with their people that they would have abducted. 'To see things through the 'eyes' of Universal, Conscious Energy'. All things are Conscious Energy related (E=mc²), or C(E=mc²) (Conscious Energy is not lost, it is not destroyed, it changes its form). These Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings work with Conscious Energy. They are TRANSFORMERS in a way that humans are not. Since this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group has it 'in' for the human on earth, it could easily use Conscious Energy to create an Earth shattering/destroying asteroid or shift one out of its place, in deep space and set it on a collision course with Earth. But, 'All things are possible', even controlling such an asteroid. The controlling of such an asteroid is possible, but only by those who made a return to the Conscious Energy/Gene Pool and be able to 'See things through the 'eves' of Conscious Energy'.

(2) The fundamental or the Conscious Energy state: -- Science data teaches that, fundamentally, 'all things' are related having originated from the same Source. Fundamentally, the Source and origin of 'all things' is ENERGY, or better still, CONSCIOUS ENERGY, as expressed by Einstein's equation, E=mc², or better still, the improved equation that I was given -- C(E=mc²) ('C' stands for Consciousness). Just as we took a 'journey' back to our physical origin, in ancient times, and discovered our 'roots', so we can extend our journey further back in time, and 'return' to the to place where 'all things' originated. I refer to this place as the UNIVERSAL CONSCIOUSNESS or the Universal, Conscious Energy/Gene Pool. This is the Source of all things that exist in the Universe, even of the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings who created the human race. When you finally arrive back at this Place/Source/Conscious Energy Pool/Home, and you begin to take a good 'look around', you'll find that the nature of the Pool/Source/Home, inside, is not exactly the same as that outside the Pool, 'outside' meaning, the negative behaviour express by some of the cosmic extraterrestrial beings, in the physical and material universe. Inside, the 'Pool', you'll experience a dual Oneness, harmony, eternal existence, stability, balance, etc. 'Gods and goddesses' do not exist within the Pool. The nature of the Pool is a 'system', with the nature more like that of the 'Three Musketeers - One for all and all for one'. It is outside of the Pool that things become distorted, chaotic, etc, where changes take place. It is during this time (outside the Pool) that the Dual Oneness state is broken down, by conscious beings, and negativity raises its ugly head. Pool Attendants (beings working with Conscious Energy) like some of the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings tend to 'use and abuse' the Pool. They do so by playing the superior role over their creations (like the bible God to Moses and the Hebrews) and maltreating them, even killing them. This type of negative behaviour does not reflect the true nature of the Pool. These Pool Attendants who use and abuse the Pool and behave negatively, even pass on this negative behaviour to their creations, for example, the human race. Everything/one in the Universe returns to the Pool. For humans, you can return alive, that is, achieve a conscious connection. If you succeed in returning to the Source/Conscious Energy/Gene Pool via the life process (achieve conscious connection/universal consciousness state), by the time you arrived back at the Pool, you would have passed through several stages of processing and development, got 'cut down to size' (put away all your 'airs and graces') along the way, gone through a 'spring cleaning' of the mind and taken in adequate amount of

the necessary information, making it possible for you to recognise the true nature/state of the Pool. You'll be given time to adjust to your new position/state Your subconscious/conscious presence at the Pool (the live state) then allows you to recognise the presence of 'all things', including yourself and the Anunnaki/extraterrestrials beings who created us. From your 'position' at the Pool, you are aware/realised that these extraterrestrial beings, even the ones that created the humans, are not gods or goddesses, are not superior to you; more evolved, yes, but not superior, should assist us in our process of evolution, and should not use and abuse us like the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group, in the bible. This Pool position makes it possible for situations to de dealt with according to the true nature of the Pool. For instance, having become aware that both the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group and the Satan/Anunnaki group have their origins in the Conscious Energy/Gene Pool too, like the humans, the true nature of the Pool (harmony, stability, dual Oneness, eternal existence, etc) can then be used in evidence against the God/Jesus Christ/Anumaki group's negative behaviour/ approach towards its creation (the humans) and demand of it, the necessary related CHANGE. While the humans genetic link to the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings allows them to claim 'genetic asylum' from their Anunnaki creators, so their (the humans and the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings) Conscious Energy/Gene/Pool/link allows the humans to claim all of the Pool related Rights from the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group that planned to destroy them by fire. This is what I am doing. I now conduct my 'affairs' from the safety of the Universal, Conscious Energy/Gene Pool. I am claiming my genetic, Cosmic, Conscious Energy/Gene Pool, RIGHTS from the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, especially from that God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group. This is one vital reason why the rest of the people must be told the TRUTH; so that they could claim their RIGHTS from them too, and stop them form using them as their 'punch bags', and 'pawns' in their games.

The bible failed to reveal to us how God created the human race. Taking that 'journey', back to the beginning, via science/religion and ancient data, we learned that the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings created the human race by the scientific process of genetic engineering. This means that the humans are linked to these Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, not only biologically/genetically, but scientifically as well. As I mentioned in the above paragraph, this genetic/science link can be traced right back to the beginning of the Source of all things – the Universal, Conscious Energy/Gene Pool. This genetic/Conscious Energy/Gene Pool link with the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings allows the human race to claim its cosmic RIGHTS that have been denied them by members of the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, who claimed in the bible, to be their creators, especially the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group -- claims like, its rights to eternal life, (longer life), control of its own 'LIFE and DEATH CYCLE, etc.

Today is Wednesday, February 20, 2001. This is further information that I received today. The bible said that the serpent tempted Eve to eat the fruit of the Tree of the knowledge of Good and Evil that was in the midst of the garden of Eden. God told Adam and Eve that if they ate it, they would die. But the serpent told them it was not exactly true. 'And the serpent said unto the woman, Ye shall not surely die. For God doth know that in the day ye at thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil', Genesis 3:3-5. After Eve took of the fruit of the tree and ate it and gave some to Adam, who ate his as well, their 'eyes' (inner-sight, awareness, realisation) were opened. They became aware/realised of what was 'good and evil/right and wrong', but they did not die physically like they thought they would. The woman, Eve, did say that the tree was good for food, not 'evil', pleasant to the sight, and a tree to be desired to make one wise (Genesis 3:6). The serpent must have convinced her enough to get her to eat of the forbidden fruit that she recognised was good for food. After Adam and Eve ate the fruit, all 'hell broke loose'. God questioned Adam about the matter, 'ticked' him off about his disobedience and sent him and his partner. Eve, out of the garden of Eden, with the 'Cause and Effect' punishment trailing behind them. The serpent was given a 'ticking off' as well, and was cursed by God. After all was said and done by the serpent and Adam and Eve, the bible revealed that Adam and Eve did not die physically like they thought that they would, but went through a CHANGE - an awareness/realisation of knowing about good and evil/right and wrong like their creators. 'And the Lord said, Behold, the man is become as one of us, to know good and evil; and now, lest he put forth his hand, and take also of the tree of life and eat, and live forever', see Genesis chapter 3. So who lied here, God or the serpent? Adam and Eve ate the 'apple' and found that they didn't die, but they discovered that they were naked. Should they have eaten the 'apple'? If it meant the humans getting to know about good and evil, about what is right and what is wrong, so that they could become aware of the evil/wrong that the gods did to them, why, 'yes'. All the cover up by the gods, regarding the nature of this 'tree' and their unwillingness to allow the humans to have access to it, was because they didn't want the humans to be like them, having insight into situations, and becoming aware and realised of their own existence and of their future. When Genesis chapter 3 is translated into Anunnaki/extraterrestrial language, what we have are two groups of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, one group representing God and Jesus Christ and the other group representing the serpent/Satan. Further translation reveals that the humans depend on these Anunnaki/ extraterrestrial beings for access to knowledge to further their evolution. While the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group denies the humans this access to knowledge, the Satan/serpent/Anunnaki group is willing to allow the humans access to knowledge.

"The Satan/serpent/Anunnaki group got into 'hot water' with the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group for giving the human race via Adam and Eve, the opportunity to become aware/realised of the existence of 'good and evil/right and wrong', like its creators, and to have insight into potential evil/wrong that the gods would most likely do to it. The present human race, by continuing to support God and Jesus Christ (that group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings) and by embracing their biblical teaching without further investigation, is giving up its 'Rights' to the power and control – its rights to have access to knowledge that would aid it in its evolutionary process – handed down to it via its ancestors, via the Satan/serpent/Anunnaki group, in the Garden of Eden. If the present human race gives up its ancient 'Rights' given to it by its ancestors and the Satan/Anunnaki group to this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group, our ancestors would have died in vain, and the Satan/serpent/Anunnaki group as being the 'rotten apple', for nothing. And the present human race would have sol its 'birthright' this time around, to that rogue God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group, for 'pottage'.

For the humans to say that the extraterrestrial beings have no say in human affairs and should not be allowed access to Earth, is not exactly correct. Research and study into the bible and ancient data revealed that these Anunnaki/ extraterrestrial beings, who are the creators of the human race, do have a say in human affairs and their world governments, and therefore have the right to the access of Earth, even though they are existing under the covers of God and Jesus Christ and Satan. After the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings abandoned the Earth, the humans were allowed to have dominion over the Earth, but they maintained overall control of the Earth and over the humans subconsciously and via Religious Orders and beliefs. 'He changeth the times and the seasons: he removeth kings and setteth up kings: he give this wisdom to the wise and knowledge to them that know understanding. He revealeth the deep and secret things: he knoweth what is in the darkness, and the light dwelleth with him', Daniel 2:21-22. These verses translated into the God/Jesus Christ/Satan/Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings language, reveal that the Anunnaki have a political, religious and scientific say in the affairs of the humans, and the world leaders know this. These bible verses in Daniel 2:21-22, are God, Jesus Christ/Anunnaki related. The world leaders outwardly support the religions of their countries, which are God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki related, who are connected to this teaching in Daniel 2:21-22. In Anunnaki language, this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group claimed to have overall control of the world governments via their leaders, changing things, removing kings and setting them up - pulling down and building up, and giving knowledge and wisdom, like that Solomon. How do these Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings manage to gain control of Earth via their world leaders? By MIND CONTROL at SUBCONSCIOUS ENERGY LEVEL (the human being's mind being a conscious energy source or a type of RECEIVER, not forgetting that they created us to receive their instructions). I was made aware that one group is trying to stop the other group from revealing itself to the humans, and they are trying to do it via the world leaders. That is, the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group is trying to prevent the Satan/anti-Christ/Anunnaki group from revealing itself to the people. You see, while Jesus Christ (the Word) became flesh and live among men, Satan was kept hidden, and Jesus Christ wants it kept that way. Jesus Christ just wants to get rid of the humans that display a Satanic/anti-Christ behaviour to him and his father/God. The bible's teaching of the coming of anti-Christ, referred to members of the human race who rejected him. To translate this bible teaching into Anunnaki language, it is saying to us that the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group does not want the Satan/Anunnaki group to reveal itself (to be the Word that becomes flesh) to the humans, and is blocking its appearance to the humans via the world leaders, according to Daniel 2:21-22. The God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group cannot continue to do this to the Satan/Anunnaki group. We now know that the anti-Christ is not only members of the human race, but it is also a group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings the Satan/Anunnaki group that was in conflict with God in heaven and who brought Adam and Eve to the awareness/realisation of good and evil/right and wrong, in the garden of Eden. Now that we have come to learn that God, Jesus Christ and their angels are Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, and since the bible teaches that Jesus Christ is returning in the flesh, this means that Jesus Christ and his angels are returning as a group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, in the flesh. Since Jesus Christ is Anunnaki/extraterrestrial related, Satan/serpent/dragon are Anunnaki/extraterrestrial being related as well. Since the Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group is returning in the flesh, and since the bible teaches that anti-Christ/Satan must come as well, and since the anti-Christ/Satan are Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, the anti-Christ/Satan/Anunnaki group must come in the flesh as well. It all means that

God, Jesus Christ and his angels and the anti-Christ/Satan and his angels are all returning to Earth as Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, in the flesh, to fulfil the scriptures, in our generation. The question is this: How can the world leaders continue to keep the people in darkness and ignorance about this matter, the way that they are doing? Jesus Christ taught in the scriptures that his 'Coming' back to Earth is surrounded by a lot of secrecy ('But of that day and hour knoweth no man, no, not the angels of heaven, but my Father only', Matthew 24:36. 'Watch therefore, for ye know neither the day nor the hour wherein the Son of man cometh', Matthew 25:13). This teaching fitted snugly into the time period when the truth regarding the connection between God, Jesus Christ and their angels and the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, was unknown. Now that we know that Jesus Christ and his angels are Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings related, and are doing a cyclic return to Earth, thus giving the humans an idea when they are retuning to Earth, this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group still want to keep it secret for religious reasons. So, this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group gets the world leaders to keep its existence and visitations to Earth, secret from the rest of the people. From where I am 'standing' I cannot see how these two groups of 'people' (the world leaders and the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group) are going to 'pull' this one off (the fulfilling of this secret, biblical return to Earth). Both the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group and the anti-Christ/Satan/Anunnaki group are religiously/biblically related and are to return to Earth, in the FLESH. The world leaders cannot support the coming in the FLESH of the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group and deny the coming in the FLESH of the anti-Christ/Satan/Anunnaki group.

I was made aware that a 'STAND' must be taken to bring the present 'cycle' of the human race to an end and the start of a new 'cycle'. I was made aware that, if the world leaders continue to refuse the people their right to a global appearing of these Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, who have returned to fulfil this work for the present human race (bring the present 'cycle' to an end and start a new one), pressure will be brought to bear down upon them (these world leaders). This pressure will be placed more upon Great Britain, because it is now the existing Religious Source for the present generation of people, from which the present human race, globally, is being force fed with the teaching of the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki/teaching, via the bible. God and Jesus Christ know that their adversary (Satan/anti-Christ) must return, and that they all are Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings together, so the world leaders must stand aside now, and stop allowing themselves to block the way. I was amazed to learn from Jenny Randles books that UFOs and their occupants were visiting British Air Space long before I had my encounters with the 'lights' in 1975. Yet the Government and its Ministry of Defence continue to deny to me all knowledge of the existence of extraterrestrial beings and their visitations to Earth.

A time was set for the global appearance of this Satan/Anunnaki group, which is between February 24 – March 24, 2001, but since I have been made aware that the world leaders are being used by the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group to block its appearing, it may not be able to make its appearance at this time. I was made aware that this aerial type blockade by the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group, of the appearing of the Satan/Anunnaki group, via the world leaders, accounted for the failure of Nostradamus's prophetic dates, relating to the coming of the King of Terror.

The return of the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings to Earth = the end of the present 'cycle', of the human race and vice versa.

The Bible = God and Jesus Christ's story regarding the ongoing Conflict/Controversy between God and Satan, good and evil/right and wrong, for power and control of Earth, its habitants and of heaven.

God, Jesus Christ and their angels and Satan and his angels = Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings and vice versa. The human race = the 'pawns' dragged into this 'Conflict/Controversy between God and Satan, good and evil/right and wrong', by the God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group. The Conflict between these two groups of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, was about, 'Doing what is right/good and doing what is wrong/evil', to others, including the humans.. May I point out here that, according to the book of Revelation, 'good and evil/right and wrong' existed in heaven, 'And there was war in heaven: Michael and his angels fought against the dragon; and the dragon fought and his angels', Revelation 12:7. This fight between Michael and the dragon had its origin in the 'good and evil/right and wrong' system that existed in heaven. The question is, How just was this system exercised by the gods, in heaven? The dragon and his angels having been kicked out of heaven after they lost the fight to Michael and his angels, was a revelation to others, of how the Justice System regarding 'good and evil/right and wrong' was exercised in heaven. The use and abuse of the Justice System ('good and evil/right and wrong) in heaven, against the dragon and his angels, by Michael and his angels motivated the serpent/dragon/Satan to expose the humans in the garden of Eden, to the existence of this 'good and evil/right and wrong' system that was exercised by the gods. Translate this into Anunnaki/extraterrestrial being language, you'll find that this God/Jesus Christ/Anunnaki group did a wrong thing to the humans. This group used and abused the humans, killed them in the process, and wants to do it again to the present humans. And this is wrong. The present human race has the RIGHT to know the TRUTH about its ancient past, and the world leaders are wrong to block the human race from getting to know this ancient Truth about its ancient past, by keeping the existence of the extraterrestrial beings and their visitations to Earth, secret, from the rest of the people.

The oldest Human Rights Bill became established in the garden of Eden, when Adam and Eve were given that rare opportunity to know Good and Evil/Right and Wrong, like the gods, but much to the gods anger and annoyance with the serpent. This Human Rights Bill relates to the humans awareness/realisation of Good and Evil/Right and Wrong, and permits the humans to exercise this RIGHT at all times. This Eden Bill permits the humans to talk about Satan as freely and as openly, as they are permitted to talk about God and Jesus Christ, without being harassed by the followers of God and his son Jesus Christ and called evil and satanic, since they don't really know the truth about him. The present human race was given the wrong concept of Satan, via the content/teaching of the bible (which has to be put right), and the Eden Human Rights Bill permits the humans the right to do this.

Today is Tuesday, February 27, 2001. I was made aware that the outbreak of foot-and-mouth, and the burning of the cattle in England, to rid the place of the killer disease, is symbolic. It symbolises the spiritual, infectious disease (Evi/Wrong Doing) with which the humans became infected back there in time, and the 'slaughtering' and the burning of these people, like animals, who are classed by God, Jesus Christ and his angels, to be evil in their sight, that is pending. This foot-and-mouth outbreak has a SOURCE, and it has to found in order to halt the disease. The same thing goes for the humans who are regarded as evil by God, his son Jesus Christ and their angels, and whose death by God, Jesus Christ and their angels, by fire, is pending. The Foot-and-Mouth killer disease has a SOURCE and the Infectious Disease (Evil/Wrong Doing) about which God and his son Jesus Christ are accusing the humans, has a SOURCE. Just as the world leaders are now flat out trying to find the SOURCE of this Infectious Disease (Evil/Wrong Doing) with which the humans became contaminated back there in time. The animals are not at fault here, and neither are the humans.

This letter ends my communication with you (the Daily Mail) and with other people regarding this Anunnaki/ extraterrestrial being matter. I am bringing it to an end because the people to whom I asked to write behave in a very callous manner regarding this matter. Having been asked to conclude this Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings/human beings matter in this letter, I have automatically brought an end to my communicative link with others. I leave this Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings/human race matter in the hands of the Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings. This means that I will not be writing to anyone else regarding this Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings/human race matter. The content of this letter may seem a little bulky and repetitive. This is because this is my last letter to you and I have tried to record as much information as possible that kept coming through to me. If you wish to reply to this letter, feel free to do so. If not, don't bother. I am not really looking for a reply. You all can continue to play the hypocritical game. I am out of it.

My final thought is this. Moses (knowingly or unknowingly) sold out the humans to a killer group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, who claimed to be their God with his son Jesus Christ. For these reasons, the human race has lived a spiritual/religious lie regarding God and his son Jesus Christ, to date. This process that was brought about between Moses, God and his son Jesus Christ must be REVERSED.

The humans were dragged into this 'Conflict between God and Satan, Good and Evil/Right and Wrong', by God and his son Jesus Christ, to judge it -- Good or Evil/Right or Wrong. The humans have been denied satisfactory evidence in the bible, by God and Jesus Christ, against Satan. My verdict:-- God and his son Jesus Christ (this rogue group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings), is Wrong/Evil.

I was chosen to play my part at government level, and I have done that by writing to the necessary people. Now I have come to the end. What has a Beginning has an End and I have reached the end of the part that I was chosen to play at government level.

Please excuse any mistakes in this letter that you may find along the way.

This letter has been the most difficult one for me to write, so please excuse any mistakes in this letter that you may have found along the way.

Yours sincerely,



P.S

Today is Wednesday, February 28, 2001. I have just received a telepathic message telling me that I have no respect for God and Jesus Christ. I wish to reply to this accusation straight away, because I know that the readers of this letter will be thinking likewise. My reply is this: I used to have love and lots of respect for God and his son Jesus Christ, especially for God, but not any more. If this bible God could talk to you about this, he would tell you that I'm telling the truth. I used to believe in the content/teaching of the bible, about Jesus Christ's Second Coming to Earth to kill members of the human race. I was brainwashed to hate and despise his brother. Satan, believing that he was evil and bad for the human race and bad for me, and that Jesus Christ was the good person and therefore good for the human race and good for me, without actually knowing the full truth about their Conflict, even though there was always a 'question mark' in my mind about this 'Conflict' with God and Satan. I had that much respect for God that I didn't want to get involve in this Conflict between him and his two sons. I mean to say, from a biblical point of view, I always considered Satan to be God's son no matter how bad/evil he is, and as far as I was concerned, the three of them (God, Jesus Christ and Satan) should have sorted conflict/matter out between themselves and not dragged us into it. What do we humans really know about their Conflict? For years I didn't know the truth regarding God and Jesus Christ's connection to extraterrestrial beings, called Anumaki, who are supposed to be our creators and who are returning to Earth in our generation, to take power and control of Earth with some of its inhabitants and kill the others, by the process of burning. Now that I have come to know the truth, I am shocked to my 'system', and totally ashamed of the way in which they maltreated the human race, including myself. So therefore, I am not going to continue to love and have respect for God and his son Jesus Christ (a killer group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings) who planned to return to Earth to kill off mass numbers of humans by burning them like animals. No way. I will not be a party to this crime against the humans. So, yes, I no longer have any respect for the God of Moses and his son Jesus Christ who want me to join them to commit this crime against humanity. So excuse me. To accuse me now of not having respect for God and his son Jesus Christ, is a diabolical cheek on the part of the telepathic accuser. And this goes for anyone else who thinks the same way about me.

Even if Satan was the most evil being in the universe, the content/teaching of the bible didn't give the humans enough information to condemn Satan in support of God and his son Jesus Christ. The humans were dragged into their 'Conflict' to be their 'Judge and Jury', about the state of 'Right and Wrong'. To date, the humans have proved to be a bad 'Judge and Jury' group.

Since God, Jesus Christ and his angels are in fact Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings and since members of the human race have gravitated towards and have attached themselves to God and his son Jesus Christ and their angels, it means that they have gravitated towards and attached themselves to a group of Anunnaki/extraterrestrial beings, who proclaimed themselves to be their God, his son Jesus Christ and their angels, that they don't know any thing about. In fact, they are not gods at all. What is a god, any way? They are members of the Universal, Conscious, Energy, Community/Unit, like everyone else, including the human race that they created. If the human converted them into gods, then the humans can reverse the process, by refraining from referring to them as Gods. If they proclaimed themselves to be gods to the humans, back there in time, then that proclamation has to be dealt (reversed, stopped) with at a higher level – at the

Universal, Conscious Energy/Gene Pool level -- by members of the human race who qualified to attend the Universal, Conscious Energy/Gene Pool.

¢,

The human race is being made to feel responsible by God and Jesus Christ for something (evil) for which it is not really responsible, and this must not be allowed to continue. The world leaders must now 'pull their fingers out of this God/Jesus Christ/Satan/Anumaki/extraterrestrial beings pie' and allow these Anumaki to return to Earth/England and 'right the wrong' that was done to the human race that they created.



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1(Secretariat) MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

| ephone | (Direct |
|--------|---------|
| | (Switc |
| | (Fax) |
| | (GTN) |

Tel

tet dial) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 020 7218 9000 020 7218 9000



Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS(Sec)64/3 ~ Date 16 February 2001



Thank you for your letter of 31 January in which you asked about sighting reports of 'unidentified flying objects' this department received during 2000.

During 2000 we received 214 sighting reports and approximately 100 letters, some of which may also contain reports.

With regard to your second question concerning reports specifically from civilian and military pilots and aircrew, we have had a brief look through the files and identified three reports which broadly fit your criteria. One was received from a civilian helicopter pilot, one from an ex-RAF Serviceman and one from an ex-RAF Policeman. The sighting reports and correspondence we receive are not computerised but filed manually on Branch files in the form that they are received. Therefore, if you require a more detailed search we may have to consider whether a charge may need to be made.

Yours sincerely,





Dear Sir / Madam,

could you please inform me, of the number of Unidentified Flying Objects, that were reported to your department from 01.01.2000 to 31.12.2000.

I would also be deeply grateful if you could inform me of the number of U.F.O's, that were reported by civilian and military pilots and air crew.

Yours sincerely,

| Section 40 | | |
|---|--|--|
| Section 40 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| NISTRY OF DEFENCE DAS 3 (SEC) -6 FEB 2001 | | |



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a1(Secretariat) MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40



Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS(Sec)64/3 -Date 14 February 2001



Thank you for your recent letter addressed to the Secretary of State for Defence regarding 'unidentified flying objects'. Your letter has been passed to this office as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence of this nature.

In your letter you ask for access to 'any or all information on UFO reports'. Ministry of Defence files are subject to the provision of the Public Records Act of 1958 and 1967 and remain closed for 30 years after the last action on the file has been taken. We have, however, looked carefully to see whether earlier release of the files containing papers relating to 'UFO' issues might be possible. The Department receives about 400 sighting reports from members of the public, each year and a similar number of letters, some of which may also contain sighting reports. The information is filed manually in the form it is received on Branch files and therefore contains the personal details of all those contacting and corresponding with the Department. MOD has a duty to protect this third party confidentiality and the 30-year period is deemed appropriate for this purpose. Before access could be given to the material, staff would need to be diverted from their essential defence-related tasks to retrieve the material from archives and scrutinise and remove all of the personal information from many thousands of documents. The latter action would be necessary because the alternative, to contact everyone providing the information to secure their agreement to the release of their personal details, would be unworkable. I regret, therefore, that your request is refused under Exemption 9 of the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information (voluminous or vexatious requests) and Exemption 12 (Privacy of an individual). We would, of course, be happy to look to see what information might be made available if you could be more specific about the period, or reports of particular sightings that you are interested in. This would then enable us to consider whether a more focussed effort on a limited amount of material might be possible.

If you are unhappy with the decision to refuse your request for full access to MOD files and wish to appeal, you should write in the first instance to the Ministry of Defence, DOMD, Room 619, Northumberland House, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 5BP requesting that the decision be reviewed. If following the internal review you remain dissatisfied, you can ask your
MP to take up the case with the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administration (the Ombudsman) who can investigate on your behalf. The Ombudsman will not, however, consider an investigation until the internal review process has been completed.





MINISTERIAL CORRESPONDENCE UNIT

| To_ | Das 4500 | Ref No | 2060 | /2001 |
|------|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| | 2 3 JAN 2001 | Date | 22/1/01 | |
| | The SECRETARY of State, / | ж. с | has receiv | red the |
| atta | and letter from a member of | the public. I | t has not been | |
| ackı | nowledged by this office. | | | |

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister concerned. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly, your reply should therefore be sent within 20 working days of receipt in this branch. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 223/99, further information is available from DOMD on extension Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of **requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice** including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

Section 40

Dear Sir,

Lan writing to you on behalf of a small magazine, requesting any or all information on UFO reports. I have written to the MOD before but recieved only a crude explanation for denying me the information.

I have no reason why the MOD would deny me the information if there is nothing to hide. If these reports that jull of planet sightings, aircraft lights and other light pheneroma's, why are you devicing me access to these reports.

Ly I do not recieve this information, the magazine, combined with others alive, will organise protests, rellies and other events to get this information. I would appreciate a reply as soon as is humanely possible.

ection 40

Yours Sincerely.

South Stoke, Section 40

Please reply to , , Section 40

| | Revious From: <mark>Section 40.</mark> Secr MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, Lo | | a, Room 82 | 45 56 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40 |
|---|---|--------------------------|---|--|
| Section 40 South Stoke, Berkshire Section 40 | | Our Re D/Sec(Date | leference ference AS)/64/3 July 1999 | |



Thank you for your letter of 1 July regarding the Freedom of Information Act and access to information on 'unidentified flying objects'. Your letter has been passed to this office as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence of this nature.

First perhaps it would be useful if I explained that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorized foreign military activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

You asked about public access to information on UFO reports since the introduction of the Freedom of Information Act. In fact, the Act has not yet been implemented, although the Government is committed to the introduction of the Act as part of its programme of constitutional reform and in fulfilment of its manifesto pledge. On 24 May a draft Bill was published for public consultation. This follows proposals set out in a White Paper entitled 'Your Right To Know' published in December 1997. The consultation period ends mid-July. The Government is committed to introducing the Bill to Parliament as soon as possible but the precise timetable is not yet known. In the meantime, the *Code of Practice on Access to Government Information* will remain the principal guide to openness of Government information.

I hope this clarifies the position.

Yours sincerely, ection 40

LOWRYING /UFOS



MINISTERIAL CORRESPONDENCE UNIT

TO<u>Sec</u>

Ref No <u>6078</u>/1999 Date <u>13</u> <u>7</u> <u>7</u> <u>7</u>

The Secretary of State,/_____ has received the attached letter from a member of the public. It has not been acknowledged by this office.

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister concerned. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly, your reply should therefore be sent **within 20 working days** of the date of this minute. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force on in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 54/98; further information is available from DOMD on extension Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of **requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice** including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.



Ministry of Defense, London.



5

1st July 1999

I request any or all information regarding incidents concerning

Unidentified Flying Objects, which includes reports. This information should be available under the freedom of information act. If this information is only available to certain people, I would like to be notified. I would prefer a prompt reply if that is possible. Thank you.

Yours faithfully,



-- ^{- にい^た: 15¹⁰ 11¹}



Thank you for your letter of 7 August regarding access to reports of 'unidentified flying objects'.

As stated in my previous letter, the Government is committed to the introduction of a Freedom of Information Act as part of its programme of constitutional reform but, as yet, the Act has yet to be initiated. Currently the Ministry of Defence operates in accordance with the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information.

If you wish to have access to MOD files containing 'UFO' reports, you may wish to visit the Public Record Office in Surrey to view closed files. As is the case with other government files, MOD files are subject to the provisions of the Public Records Act of 1958 and 1967. This Act of Parliament states that official files generally remain closed from public viewing for 30 years after the last action has been taken. It was generally the case that before 1967 all 'UFO' files were destroyed after five years, as there was insufficient public interest in the subject to merit their permanent retention. However since 1967, following an increase in public interest in this subject 'UFO' report files are now routinely preserved. Any files from the 1950s and early 1960s which did survive are already available for examination by members of the public at the Public Record Office, Ruskin Avenue, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 4DU. Files from 1967 onwards will be routinely released to the Public Record Office at the 30 year point.



. 4 . 1





Ministry of Defence, Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London.

7th August 1999

Dear Section 40

Thank you for prompt response regarding my request for any or all information pertaining to 'unidentified flying objects'. I must say, the letter was quite insightful but on the whole, you are denying me simple and petite information about a simple subject. You first mentioned that UFO's that enter British air space are usually mislaid aircraft or natural phenomena, if they are, why are reports on it classified. Do aircraft lights and natural phenomena compromise the security of the nation. If it doesn't, then I don't understand why your not granting me information such as this. I know that the Freedom of Information Act is not officially legal but it soon will be so I would once again ask, if possible, any or all information regarding the subject of UFOs.

Yours Faithfully,





Thank you for your letter dated 28 December 2000.

Firstly you asked what constitutes a breach of air defences and it may help if I explain how the UK air defence region is policed. The integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Defence Region by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time "picture" of the UK's airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Defence Region would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft). From that perspective, reports provided to us of 'UFO' sightings are examined, but consultation with air defence staff and others as necessary is considered only where there is sufficient evidence to suggest a breach of UK air space. The vast majority of reports we receive are very sketchy and vague. Only a handful of reports have been received in recent years that warranted further investigation and none revealed any evidence of a threat. This has been the situation for many years and has not changed between 1980 and today.

You also enquired about a report of a "near miss" made by a British Airways pilot travelling from Milan to Manchester on 6 January 1995. On examination of our files we have found an Occurrence Report that was sent to the Ministry of Defence by the Civil Aviation Authority (CAA), which is not the same as an air miss report, but may refer to the same incident. The report was forwarded to air defence staff at the time and they concluded that they were satisfied that there was nothing in the report of defence concern. For your information I enclose a copy of the report. The details of the person who made the report have been removed to protect their confidentiality.

It is possible that in addition to the above, the pilot of the aircraft may also have made an airmiss report as you describe. If so, this would have been investigated by the CAA and if you wish to pursue your enquiries you should contact them at the following address;

Civil Aviation Authority 45-49 Kingsway London WC2b 6TE

I hope this is helpful.

.

Yours sincely Section 40

| | ION AUTH | IORITY | | . Array P | en Victore | L | ocal manage eporter's co | ment copy - yel |
|--|---|----------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| ATL OCCURRENCE | REPORT | | | | | <i>N 1685</i> | | A 122 |
| IOTES: (i) See Instructio | ns and Explanatory | | | No. 1 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | CAA Occurre | nce Number |
| | ted, please send wi House, Gatwick Air | | | | | | 950 | 0166B |
| (iii) Circle or fill in | boxes 1–53 as req | uired. Please us | e black ball- | -point pen. | ····· | | | |
| CATEGORIES OF OCC | | SS AI | PHAZ | INCIDE | | ABANL 939 ACTION Y | INF (FS/NO) | RINGEMENT 9/1 |
| NB Signal Action is rec | | nt, Airmiss an | d APHAZ a | s per MATS | | | | |
| 2 Occurrence Position ちゃへんどいど | | 3 Ebrait/15 لين ر | | 4 Date | 195 | 5 Time - | | 6 Day |
| OPERATOR | CALLSIGN | | TYPE | FROM | TO | SSR | 55 MODE C | IFRVFR |
| 7 BRITISH | | | • | 10 | | CODE | DISPLAYED | SVFR |
| AINWATS | 8 Bm | 5061 | °3737 | 10 U-PU? | 166-6 | < ¹² | 13 YES/NO | 14 IFR |
| 15 | 16 | | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 YES/NO | 22 |
| 23 | 24 | | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 YES/NO | 30 |
| 31 RTF Frequencies | 32 F | Radar Equipm | ent | 1 | 33 Equi | pment Unservi | | 34 Runway |
| 119.41 | 142 | WATCH | mith | | | MIL | | in use 24 |
| ACEG C | PE OF AIRSPACE | VY/UAR/ | | rol/Advisory | | VICE Iral/Radar/ADC S/RIS/FIS/ALR | | ID/STAR/ROUTE |
| 38 Was prescribed Y separation lost | ES 39 Min Se | pn Horiz/Vert | 40 Col | lision/Conflines/STCA/SM | ct Alert | 41 Traffic in | fo YES 4 | 2 Avoiding action given by ATC |
| 43 NARRATIVE – use | a diagram if nece | ssary (Aerodr | omes subr | nit weather r | eport inclu | uding local and | d regional QNH | |
| おたんらむい | er was | ゆきれい | - レビ | LTCP.ED |) FI | 1 <i>5</i> /n | تي رابر في من | Γο Γ4Ε |
| ils For | RUNLA | 1 24 | . tim | 19061 | <i>n</i> :- | Parz? | 2 LI | T OBJECT |
| PASSING . | ABOLE, E | Prositio | E Ori | <i>ECTION</i> | | 1.2 LE? | Y CLU | 55. |
| THERE | WAS NO | o rn | mn | π_{1} | FIC . | 12 174 | E UICH | UTY CH |
| Am SCEI | AF THE | TIME | 512 | 77-6 | 1207 | 021 T | the m | o Entreh |
| LONTACTS | whe | CASERL | -EP R | y me | - ^ | North La | TIME | |
| | | | | | | ç senistri S | S. Sora | |
| | | | | | | 25 | LAS 2 | |
| | | | | | | | AN1995 | t i |
| | | | | | - | | | Ţ |
| | | | | | | | | ŧ. |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | | | |
| | | | | Ţ | | CO | ntinue on a sep | arate sheet if neces |
| 44 Name | 45 On | duty as | 46 Lo | ocation | | 47 Time since | | |
| | APr | "NOACH | m | MANCHE | SRR | last break 11412 Strinn | of shift シーバログ | recording held |
| 50 RTF Recordings | 51 Other Agend CA 1262 act | cies Advised | 52 Si | gn/Date | · | 1 | s/Telephone | KES/N |
| held YES/NO | CA 1262 ac | | _ | | | | | |
| A 1261 190293 | | | | | | | | |



December 28, 2000

Secretariat (Air Staff) 2a Room 8245 Ministry of Defence Main Building Whitehall LONDON SW1A 2HB

Dear Sir or Madam:

I have written to you on a number of occasions in the past to request information regarding Unidentified Flying Objects. It is with this in mind that I am once again calling upon your services.

I have two requests, my first is that in your letter dated June 23, 1999 it was stated that, "...there was no indication that a breach of the United Kingdom's air defences had occurred." My request is that could you please let me know as to what constituted as a breach of air defences in 1980 and what the criteria is now.

My second request is, for a report filed by Captain Roger Willis regarding a near miss with an Unidentified Flying Object on January 6th, 1995. The flight in question was BA5061 flying from Milan to Manchester.

Once again I thank you most kindly for your co-operation and I wait in anticipation for your reply.



LOOSE MINUTE

D/Sec(AS)64/3 K

8 February 2001

D News AF-A10

THE LINCOLNSHIRE ECHO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON UFOS

I understand The Lincolnshire Echo were making enquiries as to whether there was/is a "UFO Unit" at RAF Bimbrook. Please see the attached press brief.



NEWS BRIEF

| DATE: | 8 February 2001 | |
|------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| SUBJECT: | Alleged UFO Unit | at RAF Bimbrook |
| SOURCE: | DAS 4A1(Sec) | DESK OFFICER <mark>Section 40</mark> |
| PRESS OFFI | CER: Section 4 | D News AF-A10 Section 40 |

BACKGROUND

As you will be aware, a Journalist from The Lincolnshire Echo has been contacted by an Ex-RAF serviceman who claims that there was/is a unit at RAF Bimbrook that deals with 'Unidentified Flying Object' sightings. The Lincolnshire Echo would like to know if this is true.

KEY MESSAGES

• RAF Bimbrook closed in July 1992. MOD has no knowledge of any 'UFO Unit' established at RAF Bimbrook before its closure.

• Reports of alleged UFO sightings received by RAF Stations are forwarded to the Ministry of Defence in London where they are examined to see if there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. This has been the case for at least the last thirty years.

SUPPORTING MESSAGES

• Within MOD Directorate of Air Staff 4a (Secretariat), (formerly Secretariat (Air Staff) 2a), is the focal point for reports of 'unexplained' aerial sightings.

• Until 1992 the Flying Complaints Flight (FCF), part of the HQ Provost and Security Services(UK) based at RAF Rudloe Manor, Wiltshire, was the central coordination point for any "UFO" reports made to RAF stations (from whatever source, i.e. members of the public or service personnel). No action was taken on the reports by staff in the FCF. Its function was simply to record details and pass the reports directly to Sec(AS)2a (this office) in the Ministry of Defence. Sec(AS)2a would then examine the reports and decide whether what was seen had defence implications. The FCF no longer have any involvement in the central collection of "UFO" reports made to air force bases. Any reports received by air force stations are now forwarded directly to DAS 4a(Sec) for consideration • The Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

• Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations that go beyond our specific defence remit.

• The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters, or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms about which it remains totally open-minded.

The Rive - MOD's interest. Section 40 - RAF Bin closed in JN. 92. - we have so knowledge it any and the gree UFO Unit. establish at RAF Binbroethe before its closane. all Sights vepars alleged rybing read by RAF Station, famanded to mo D Mos line - and only when ort

NEWS BRIEF

| DATE: | 8 February 2001 | | |
|------------|------------------|--------------------------|--|
| SUBJECT: | Alleged UFO Unit | at RAF Bimbrook | |
| SOURCE: | DAS 4A1(Sec) | DESK OFFICER: Section 40 | |
| PRESS OFFI | CER: Section 40 | D News AF-A10 Section 40 | |

BACKGROUND

As you will be aware, a Journalist from The Lincolnshire Echo has been contacted by an Ex-RAF serviceman who claims that there was/is a unit at RAF Bimbrook that deals with 'Unidentified Flying Object' sightings. The Lincolnshire Echo would like to know if this is true.

KEY MESSAGES

• RAF Bimbrook closed in July 1992. MOD has no knowledge of any 'UFO Unit' established at RAF Bimbrook before its closure.

• Reports of alleged UFO sightings received by RAF Stations are forwarded to the Ministry of Defence in London. This has been the case for at least the last thirty years.

SUPPORTING MESSAGES

• Within MOD Directorate of Air Staff 4a (Secretariat), (formerly Secretariat (Air Staff) 2a), is the focal point for reports of 'unexplained' aerial sightings.

• Until 1992 the Flying Complaints Flight (FCF), part of the HQ Provost and Security Services(UK) based at RAF Rudloe Manor, Wiltshire, was the central coordination point for any "UFO" reports made to RAF stations (from whatever source, i.e. members of the public or service personnel). Its function was simply to record details and pass the reports directly to Sec(AS)2a (this office) in the Ministry of Defence. Sec(AS)2a would then examine the reports and decide whether what was seen had defence implications. No action was taken on the reports by staff in the ECE. The ECE as longer have any involvement in the central collection of "UEO"

FCF. The FCF no longer have any involvement in the central collection of "UFO" reports made to air force bases. Any reports received by air force stations are now forwarded directly to DAS 4a(Sec) for consideration

• The Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

• Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations that go beyond our specific defence remit.

• The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters, or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms about which it remains totally open-minded.

- RAF Bimbrook closed in July 1992. MOD has no knowledge of any 'UFO Unit' established at RAF Bimbrook before its closure.
- Reports of alleged UFO sightings received by RAF Stations are forwarded to the Ministry of Defence in London. This has been the case for at least the last thirty
 years.
- Within MOD
- \(\Lambda Directorate of Air Staff 4a (Secretariat), (formerly Secretariat(Air Staff)2a), is the focal point for reports of "unexplained" aerial sightings.
- The Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.
- Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations that go beyond our specific defence remit.
- The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters, or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms about which it remains totally open-minded.

If prosents



- RAF Bimbrook closed in July 1992. MOD has no knowledge of any 'UFO Unit' established at RAF Bimbrook before its closure.
- Reports of alleged UFO sightings received by RAF Stations are forwarded to the Ministry of Defence in London. This has been the case for at least the last thirty years.
- Directorate of Air Staff 4a (Secretariat), (formerly Secretariat(Air Staff)2a), is the focal point for reports of "unexplained" aerial sightings.
- The Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.
- Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations that go beyond our specific defence remit.
- The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters, or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms about which it remains totally open-minded.

| NEWS | BRIEF |
|------|-------|
|------|-------|

| DATE: | 19 October 2000 | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| SUBJECT: | Low flying in Northumberland | |
| SOURCE: | DAS 4A(Sec) | DESK OFFICER: Section 40 |
| PRESS OFFICER | : Sqn Ldr Section 40 News(RAF) | Section 40 |
| | | |

BACKGROUND

In October 1999, Rt Hon Alan Beith (accompanied by fellow Lib Dem MPs, Archie Kirkwood and Michael Moore) paid a visit to the Electronic Warfare Tactics Range at RAF Spadeadam. That visit was followed, on 16 December, by a meeting with USofS, Peter Kilfoyle to review concerns about military low flying. Points discussed at that meeting included the intensity of low flying seen in LFA 12, which covers Northumberland, Durham and northeast North Yorkshire.

Following the crash of a RAF Hawk T1 XX282 on 18 October in a field 5m west of Holy Island there have been reports in the local press and on Border TV concerning low flying in Northumberland. In an interview on Border TV, Rt Hon Alan Beith, MP for Berwick-upon-Tweed, asked why so much low flying took place in his constituency.

KEY MESSAGES

- We are grateful for the support we receive from the public in respect of the need for low flying training in the UK, particularly in this part of the country.
- There are compelling reasons why Northumberland sees more than the UK average for low flying. We are
 sympathetic to the problems suffered by those in the area; we recognise the burden they carry and are not
 complacent.
- LFA 12 is ideal for military low flying training. The undulating terrain offers valuable training and there is
 more unrestricted airspace above 2,000 ft than many other areas of the country.
- Because of the built-up areas and controlled airspace in the Midlands, Yorkshire and the north-ease, over which military aircraft must not fly, aircraft from the many airbases on the eastern side of the country, and from RAF Bruggen in Germany, fly through LFA 12 to the Electronic Warfare Tactics Range at RAF Spadeadam or low flying areas in Scotland.
- We look carefully at the distribution of activity each year. We try to do all we can to ensure that no more flying training than is absolutely necessary takes place.

SUPPORTING MESSAGES

- The UK Military Low Flying System (UKLFS) covers the open airspace of the whole of the UK and surrounding oversea areas from the surface to 2,000 feet above ground, or mean sea level.
- It is our policy that, in principle, the whole of the UK is open to low flying by military aircraft in order to spread disturbance as thinly as possible.

- Although MOD aims to spread low flying training as widely and equitably as it can, it is not possible, for a number of operational and geographical reasons, to achieve a totally even spread.
- A statement on the pattern of military low flying across the UK has been published since 1995. In 1999 the
 total number of hours flying within LFA 12 had dropped by some 45% compared to the numbers of hours
 flown in 1995.
- The number of hours flown in LFA 12 by fixed wing aircraft had dropped by some 43% over the same period.

RECORD OF MESSAGE LEFT ON DAS(SEC) ANSWERPHONE MONDAY 5 FEBRUARY 2001 - 00:22



Caller



Sutton Coldfield

We wrote to **Section at 40** ereported a sighting to us (23/01/01). He queried our policy and said asked how we knew that there was no evidence of something of an extraterrestrial nature being a threat.

NFA-File



TO Section

+1

FROM: V. S. PAY. Section

RESTRICTED

3-FEB-2001 14:41

EXTRATERRESTRIAL **ENTITIES AND TECHNOLOGY, RECOVERY AND DISPOSAL**

top secret/majic eyes only

WARNING! This is a TOP SECRET-MAJIC EYES ONLY document containing compartmentalized information essential to the national security of the United States, EYES ONLY ACCESS to the material herein is strictly limited to personnel possessing **MAJIC-12 CLEARANCE LEVEL. Examination** or use by unauthorized personnel is strictly forbidden and is punishable by federal law.

APRIL 1954 MAJESTIC—12 GROUP

MI-12 48388MAN 2704351-54-1

Received 5 February 2001 No letter. Do not know what point is trying to make. 40 We do not know if this is a genuine US document released under the FOIA (I suspect not) or maybe something he has got from the internet. We will won't to so if he writes with any questions. Section 40 questions. 5/2/2001

3-FEB-2001 14:43 FROM: U. S. DAY. Section 40

TOSE

This uncleasified copy is for research purposes. Rev 3: 2/28/88; Heiverica headers, Times text TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY

SOM-01

P:1

Special Operations Manual No. 1-01

MAJESTIC-12 GROUP Washington 25, D.C., 7 April 1954

EXTRATERRESTRIAL ENTITIES AND TECHNOLOGY RECOVERY AND DISPOSAL

| Chapter 1. | OPERATION MAJESTIC-12 | Paragraphs | Page |
|--|---|------------|------|
| Section I. | Project purpose and goals | 1-5 | 2 |
| Chapter 2. | INTRODUCTION | | |
| Section I. | General | 6,7 | 4 |
| n. | Definition and data | 9-11 | 4 |
| Chapter 3. | RECOVERY OPERATIONS | | |
| Section I. | Security | 12,13 | 8 |
| п. | Technology recover, | 14-19 | 9 |
| Chapter 4. | RECEIVING AND HANDLING | | |
| Section I. | Handling upon receipt of material | 20 | 15 |
| Chapter 5. | EXTRATERRESTRIAL BIOLOGICAL ENTITIES | | |
| Section I. | Living Organisms | 21-24 | 17 |
| 11. | Non-living organisms | 25,26 | 18 |
| Chapter 6. | GUIDE TO UFO IDENTIFICATION | | |
| Section I. | UFOB guide | 27-29 | 21 |
| IL. | Identification criteria | 30-35 | 22 |
| Ш. | Possible origins | 36,37 | 26 |
| Appendix I. | REFERENCES | | 28 |
| Appendix Ia. | FORMS | | 29 |
| Appendix II. | MAJIC 12 GROUP PERSONNEL | | 30 |
| Appendix III. | PHOTOGRAPHS | | 31 |
| 10-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-1 | | | |

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL LAW





This unclassified copy is for research purposes. Rev 3; 2/2496; Helvetics headers, Times text TOP SECRET/MA.HC EYES ONLY

CHAPTER 5

EXTRATERRESTRIAL BIOLOGICAL ENTITIES

Section I. LIVING ORGANISMS

21. Scope

a. This section deals with encounters with living Extraterrestrial Biological Entities (EBEs). Such encounters fall under the jurisdiction of MJ-12 OPNAC BBS-01 and will be dealt with by this special unit only. This section details the responsibilities of persons or units making the initial contact.

22. General

Any encounter with entities known to be of extraterrestrial origin is to be considered to be a matter of national security and therefore classified TOP SECRET. Under no circumstance is the general public or the public press to learn of the existence of these entities. The official government policy is that such creatures do not exist, and that no agency of the federal government is now engaged in any study of extraterrestrials or their artifacts. Any deviation from this stated policy is absolutely forbidden.

23. Encounters

Encounters with EBEs may be classified according to one of the following categories:

a. Encounters initiated by EBEs. Possible contact may take place as a result of overtures by the entities themselves. In these instances it is anticipated that encounters will take place at military installations or other obscure locations selected by mutual agreement. Such meeting would have the advantage of being limited to personnel with appropriate clearance, away from public scrutiny. Although it is not considered very probable, there also exists the possibility that EBEs may land in public places without prior notice. In this case the OPNAC Team will formulate cover stories for the press and prepare briefings for the President and the Chiefs of Staff.

b. Encounters as the result of downed craft. Contact with survivors of accidents or craft downed by natural events or military action may occur with little or no warning. In these cases, it is important that the initial contact be limited to military personnel to preserve security. Civilian witnesses to the area will be detained and debriefed by MJ-12. Contact with EBEs by military personnel not having MJ-12 or OPNAC clearance is to be strictly limited to action necessary to ensure the availability of the EBEs for study by the OPNAC Team.

24. Isolation and Custody

a. EBEs will be detained by whatever means are necessary and removed to a secure location as soon as possible. Precautions will be taken by personnel coming in contact with EBEs to minimize the risk of disease as a result of contamination by unknown organisms. If the entities are wearing space suits or breathing apparatus of some kind, care should be exercised to prevent damage to these devices. While all efforts should be taken to assure the well-being of the EBEs, they must be isolated from any contact with unauthorized personnel. While it is not clear what provisions or amenities might be

MJ-12 4838B

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL LAW

¥.

This unclassified copy is for research purposes. Rev 7; 2/26/26; HI Section 40 TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY

required by non-human entities, they should be provided if possible. The officer in charge of the operation will make these determinations, since no guidelines now exist to cover this area.

b. Injured or wounded entities will be treated by medical personnel assigned to the OPNAC Team. If the team medical personnel are not immediately available, First Aid will be administered by Medical Corps personnel at the initial site. Since little is known about EBE biological functions, aid will be confined to the stopping of bleeding, bandaging of wounds and splinting of broken limbs. No medications of any kind are to be administered as the effect of terrestrial medications on non-human biological systems are impossible to predict. As soon as the injuries are considered stabilized, the EBEs will be moved by closed ambulance or other suitable conveyance to a secure location.

c. In dealing with any living Extraterrestrial Biological Entity, security is of paramount importance. All other considerations are secondary. Although it is preferable to maintain the physical well-being of any entity, the loss of EBE life is considered acceptable if conditions or delays to preserve that life in any way compromises the security of the operations.

d. Once the OPNAC Team has taken custody of the EBEs, their care and transportation to designated facilities become the responsibility of OPNAC personnel. Every cooperation will be extended to the team in carrying out duties. OPNAC Team personnel will be given TOP PRIORITY at all times regardless of their apparent rank or status. No person has the authority to interfere with the OPNAC Team in the performance of its duties by special direction of the President of the United States.

Section II. NON-LIVING ORGANISMS

25. Scope

Ideally, retrieval for scientific study of cadavers and other biological remains will be carried out by medical personnel familiar with this type of procedure. Because of security considerations, such collection may need to be done by non-medical personnel. This section will provide guidance for retrieval, preservation, and removal of cadavers and remains in the field.

26. Retrieval and Preservation

a. The degree of decomposition of organic remains will vary depending on the length of time the remains have been lying in the open unprotected and may be accelerated by both local weather conditions and action by predators. Therefore, biological specimens will be removed from the crash site as quickly as possible to preserve the remains in as good a condition as possible. A photographic record will be made of all remains before they are removed from the site.

b. Personnel involved in this type of operation will take all reasonable precautions to minimize physical contact with the cadavers or remains being retrieved. Surgical gloves should be worn or, if they are not available, wool or leather gloves may be worn provided they are collected for decontamination immediately after use. Shovels and entrenching tools may be employed to handle remains provided caution is exercised to be certain no damage is done to the remains. Remains will be touched with bare hands only if no other means of moving them can be found. All personnel and equipment involved in recovery operations will undergo decontamination procedures immediately after those operations are [sic] have been completed.

MJ-12 4838B

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL LAW

This Linclessified copy is for research purposes. Apr 3; 272925; Herveuces man Section TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY

c. Remains will be preserved against further decomposition as equipment and conditions permit. Cadavers and remains will be bagged or securely wrapped in waterproof coverings. Tarpaulins or foul weather gear may be used for this purpose if necessary. Remains will be refrigerated or packed with ice if available. All remains will be tagged or labeled and the time and date recorded. Wrapped remains will be placed on stretchers or in sealed containers for immediate removal to a secure facility.

d. Small detached pieces and material scraped from solid surfaces will be put in jars or other small capped containers if available. Containers will be clearly marked as to their contents and the time and date recorded. Containers will be refrigerated or packed with ice as soon as possible and removed to a secure facility.

[In place here is Figure 4—diagrams of the various types of extraterrestrial craft discussed in the text.]

MJ-12 4838B TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL LAW

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY

CHAPTER 6 GUIDE TO UFO IDENTIFICATION

Section I. UFOB GUIDE

27. Follow-up investigations

A UFOB report is worthy of follow-up investigation when it contains information to suggest that positive identification with a well-known phenomenon may be made or when it characterizes an unusual phenomenon. The report should suggest almost immediately, largely by the coherency and clarity of the data, that there is something of identification and/or scientific value. In general, reports which should be given conaideration are those which involve several reliable observers, together or separately, and which concern sighting of greater duration than one quarter minute. Exceptions should be made to this when circumstances attending the report are considered to be extraordinary. Special attention should be given to reports which give promise to a "fix" on the position and those reports involving unusual trajectories.

28. Rules of Thumb

• Each UFOB case should be judged individually but there are a number of "rules of thumb," under each of the following headings, which should prove helpful for determining the necessity for follow-up investigation.

a. Duration of Sighting. When the duration of a sighting is less than 15 seconds, the probabilities are great that it is not worthy of follow-up. As a word of caution, howerver, should a large number of individual observers report an unusual sighting of a few seconds duration, it should not be dismissed.

b. Number of Persons Reporting the Sighting. Short duration sightings by single individuals are seldom worthy of follow-up. Two or three competent independent observations carry the weight of 10 or more simultaneous individual observations. As an example, 25 people at one spot may observe a strange light in the sky. This, however, has less weight than two reliable people observing the same light from different locations. In the latter case a position-fix is indicated.

c. Distance from Location of Sightings to Nearest Field Unit. Reports which meet the preliminary criterion stated above should all be investigated if their occurrence is in the immediate operating vicinity of the squadron concerned. For reports involving greater distances, follow-up necessity might be judged as being inversely proportional to the square of the distances concerned. For example, an occurrence 150 miles away might be con-

MJ—12 4838B TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL LAW P:5

CONTINUE FROM PREVIOUS PAGE 001 OPERATION MAJESTIC-12

Section I. PROJECT PURPOSE AND GOALS

1. Scope

This manual has been prepared especially for Majestic—12 units. Its purpose is to present all aspects of Majestic—12 so authorized personnel will have a better understanding of the goals of the Group, be able to more expertly deal with Unidentified Flying Objects, Extraterrestrial Technology and Entities, and increase the efficiency of future operations.

2. General

MJ--12 takes the subject of the UFOBs. Extraterrestrial Technology and Extraterrestrial Biological Entities very seriously and considers the entire subject to be a matter of the very highest national security. For that reason everything relating to the subject has been assigned the very highest security classification. Three main points will be covered in this section.

a. The general aspects of MI-12 to clear up any misconceptions that anyone may have.

b. The importance of the operation.

c. The need for absolute secrecy in all phases of operation.

3. Security Classification

All information relating to MJ—12 has been classified MAJIC EYES ONLY and carries a security level 2 points above that of Top Secret. The reason for this has to do with the consequences that may arise not only from the impact upon the public should the existence of such matters become general knowledge, but also the danger of having such advanced technology as has been recovered by the Air Force fall into the hands of unfriendly foreign powers. No information is released to the public press and the official government position is that no special group such as MJ—12 exists.

4. History of the Group

Operation Majestic-12 was established by special classified presidential order on 24 September 1947 at the recommendation of Secretary of Defense James V. Forrestal and Dr. Vannevar Bush, Chairman of the Joint Research and Development Board. Operations are carried out under a Top Secret Research and Development—Intelligence Group directly responsible only to the President of the United States. The goals of the MJ-12 Group are as follows:

a. The recovery for scientific study of all materials and devices of a foreign or extraterrestrial manufacture that may become available. Such material and devices will be recovered by any and all means deemed necessary by the Group.

b. The recovery for scientific study of all entities and remains of entities not of terrestrial origin which may become available though independent action by those entities or by misfortune or military action.

c. The establishment and administration of Special Teams to accomplish the above

MJ-12 4838B

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL LAW

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONL

APPENDIX !

There is some writing here

No. 4, AB

[Applicable] Regulations 1. Military security (Safeguarding Security Information. Equipment, Supplies and Maintenance Maintenance Responsibilities and Shop Operation. 2. Supply Preparation and Submission of Requisitions for xx 725-405-5 Supplies. Other Publications 3. Index of Training Manuals. XX 219-20-3 Index of Technical Manuals, Technical Regu-XX 310-20-4 lations, Technical Bulletins, Supply Bulletin, Lubrications Orders, and Modification Work Orders. Index of Administrative Publications. XX310-20-5 Index of Tables of Organization and Equipment, XX310-20-7 Reduction Tables, Tables of Organization, Tables of Equipment, Type Tables of Distribution and Tables of Allowance.

4. Test Equipment References

TM 11-664 Theory and Use of Electronic Test Equipment.

5. Photographic References

| TM 11-404A | Photographic Print Processing Unit AN/IFQ-9. |
|------------|--|
| TM 11-405 | Processing Equipment PH-406. |
| TM 11-401 | Elements of Signal Photography. |
| TM 11-2363 | Darkroom PH-392. |

This unoisestified copy is for research purposes. Nev 3: 2/28/06; Helvetics headers, Times text TOP SECRE'T/MAJIC EYES ONLY

CHAPTER 1 OPERATION MAJESTIC-12

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY

CHAPTER 2 INTRODUCTION

Section I. GENERAL

6. Scope

n. This operations manual is published for the information and guidance of all concerned. It contains information on determination, documentation, collection, and disposition of debris, devices, craft, and occupants of such craft as defined as Extraterrestrial Technology or Extraterrestrial Biological Entities (EBEs) in Section II of this chapter.

b. Appendix I contains a list of current references, including technical manuals and other available publications applicable to these operations.

c. Appendix II contains a list of personnel who comprise the Majestic-12 Group.

Forms and Records.

Forms used for reporting operation are listed in Appendix Ia.

Section II. DEFINITION AND DATA

8. General

Extraterrestrial Technology is defined as follows:

a. Aircraft identified as not manufactured in the United States or any terrestrial foreign powers, including experimental military or civilian aircraft. Aircraft in this category are generally known as Unidentified Flying Objects, or UFOBs. Such aircraft may appear as one of several shapes and configurations and exhibit extraordinary flight characteristics.

b. Objects and devices of unknown origin or function, manufactured by processes or of materials not consistent with current technology or scientific knowledge.

c. Wreckage of any aircraft thought to be of extraterrestrial manufacture or origin. Such wreckage may be the results of accidents or military action.

d. Materials that exhibit unusual or extraordinary characteristics not consistent with current technology or scientific knowledge.

Extraterrestrial Biological Entities (EBEs) are described as:

a. Creatures, humanoid or otherwise, whose evolutionary processes responsible for their development are demonstrably different from those postulated or observed in homo sapiens.

9. Description of Craft

Documented extraterrestrial craft (UFOBs) are classified in one of four categories based on general shape, as follows:

a. Elliptical, or disc shape. This type of craft is of a metallic construction and dull aluminum in color. They have the appearance of two pie-pans or shallow dishes pressed together and may have a raised dome on the top or bottom. No seams or joints are visible on the surface, giving the impression of one-piece construction. Discs are estimated

MJ-12 4838B

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL LAW

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY

from 50-300 feet in diameter and the thickness is approximately 15 per cent of the diameter, not including the dome, which is 30 per cent of the disc diameter and extends another 4-6 feet above the main body of the disc. The dome may or may not include windows or ports, and ports are present around the lower rim of the disc in some instances. Most disc-shaped craft are equipped with lights on the top and bottom, and also around the rim. These lights are not visible when the craft is at rest or not functioning. There are generally no visible antenna or projections. Landing gear consists of three extendible legs ending in circular landing pads. When fully extended this landing gear supports the main body 2-3 feet above the surface at the lowest point. A rectangular hatch is located along the equator or on the lower surface of the disk.

b. Fuselage or cigar shape. Documented reports of this type of craft are extremely rare. Air Force radar reports indicate they are approximately 2 thousand feet long and 95 feet thick, and apparently they do not operate in the lower atmosphere. Very little information is available on the performance of these craft, but rader reports have indicated speeds in excess of 7,000 miles per hour. They do not appear to engage in the violent and erratic maneuvers associated with the smaller types.

c. Ovoid or circular shape. This type of craft is described as being shaped like an ice cream cone, being rounded at the large end and tapering to a near-point at the other end. They are approximately 30-40 feet long and the thick end diameter is approximately 20 per cent of the length. There is an extremely bright light at the pointed end, and this craft usually travels point down. They can appear to be any shape from round to cylindrical, depending upon the angle of observation. Often sightings of this type of craft are elliptical craft seen at an inclined angle or edge-on.

d. Airfoil or triangular shape. This craft is believed to be new technology due to the rarity and recency of the observations. Radar indicated an isosceles triangle profile, the longest side being nearly 300 feet in length. Little is known about the performance of these craft due to the rarity of good sightings, but they are believed capable of high speeds and abrupt maneuvers similar to or exceeding the performance attributed to types "a" and "c".

10. Description of Extraterrestrial Biological Entitles (EBEs)

Examination of remains recovered from wreckage of UFOBs indicates that Extraterrestrial Biological Entities may be classified into two distinct categories as follows:

a. EBE Type 1. These entities are humanoid and might be mistaken for human beings of the Oriental race if seen from a distance. They are bi-pedal, 5-5 feet 4 inches in height and weigh 80-100 pounds. Proportionally they are similar to humans, although the cranium is somewhat larger and more rounded. The skin is a pale, chalky-yellow in color, thick, and slightly pebbled in appearance. The eyes are small, wide-set, almondshaped, with brownish-black irises with very large pupils. The whites of the eyes are not like that of humans, but have a pale gray cast. The ears are small and not low on the skull. The nose is thin and long, and the mouth is wider than in humans, and nearly lipless. There is no apparent facial hair and very little body hair, that being very fine and confined to the underarm and the groin area. The body is thin and without apparent body fat, but the muscles are well-developed. The hands are small, with four long digits but no opposable thumb. The outside digit is jointed in a manner as to be nearly opposable, and there is no webbing between the finger as in humans. The legs are slightly but noticeably bowed, and the feet are somewhat splayed and proportionally large.

MJ---12 4838B TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL LAW P:8



This uncleasified copy is for research purposes. Nev 3; 2/28/85; Halvetics hadders, Times text

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY

b. Type II. These entities are humanoid but differ from Type I in many respects. They are bi-pedal, 3 feet 5 inches-4 feet 2 inches in height and weigh 25-50 pounds. Proportionally, the head is much larger than humans or Type I EBEs, the cranium being much larger and elongated. The eyes are very large, slanted, and nearly wrap around the side of the skull. They are black with no whites showing. There is no noticeable brow ridge, and the skull has a slight peak that runs over the crown. The nose consists of two small slits which sit high above the slit-like mouth. There are no external ears. The skin is a pale bluish-gray color, being somewhat darker on the back of the creature, and is very smooth and fine-celled. There is no hair on either the face or the body, and these creatures do not appear to be mammalian. The arms are long in proportion to the legs, and the hands have three long, tapering fingers and a thumb which is nearly as long as the fingers. The second finger is thicker than the others, but not as long as the index finger, The feet are small and narrow, and four toes are joined together with a membrane.

It is not definitely known where either type of creature originated, but it seems certain that they did not evolve on earth. It is further evident, although not certain, that they may have originated on two different planets.

11. Description of Extraterrestrial Technology

The following information is from preliminary analysis reports of wreckage collected from crash sites of extraterrestrial craft 1947-1953, excerpts from which are quoted verbatim to provide guidance as to the type of characteristics of material that might be encountered in future recovery operations.

a. Initial analysis of the debris from the crash site seems to indicate that the debris is that of an extraterrestrial craft which exploded from within and came into contact with the ground with great force, completely destroying the craft. The volume of matter indicates that the craft was approximately the size of a medium aircraft, although the weight of the debris indicates that the craft was extremely light for its size.

b. Metallurgical analysis of the bulk of the debris recovered indicates that the samples are not composed of any materials currently known to Terrestrial science.

c. The material tested possesses great strength and resistance to heat in proportion to its weight and size, being stronger by far than any materials used in military or civilian aircraft at present.

d. Much of the material, having the appearance of aluminum foil or aluminummagnesium sheeting, displays none of the characteristics of either metal, resembling instead some kind of unknown plastic-like material.

e. Solid structures and substantial beams having a distinct similarity in appearance to very dense grain-free wood, was very light in weight and possesses tensile and compression strength not obtainable by any means known to modern industry.

f. None of the material tested displayed measurable magnetic characteristics or residual radiation.

g. Several samples were engraved or embossed with marks and patterns. These patterns were not readily identifiable and attempts to decipher their meaning has been largely unsuccessful.

h. Examination of several apparent mechanical devices, gears, etc. revealed little or nothing of their functions or methods of manufacture.

MJ-12 4838B

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL LAW

This unclaused of Section 40 sees. Rev 3; 222016; more Section 40 sees. Rev 3; 222016

CHAPTER 3 RECOVERY OPERATIONS

Section I. SECURITY

12. Press Blackout

Great care must be taken to preserve the security of any location where Extraterrestrial Technology might be retrievable for scientific study. Extreme measures must be taken to protect and preserve any material or craft from discovery, examination, or removal by civilian agencies or individuals of the general public. It is therefore recommended that a total press blackout be initiated whenever possible. If this course of action should not prove feasible, the following cover stories are suggested for release to the press. The officer in charge will act quickly to select the cover story that best fits the situation. It should be remembered when selecting a cover story that official policy regarding UFOBs is that they do not exist.

a. Official Denial. The most desirable response would be that nothing unusual has occurred. By stating that the government has no knowledge of the event, further investigation by the public press may be forestalled.

b. Discredit Witnesses. If at all possible, witnesses will be held incommunicado until the extent of their knowledge and involvement can be determined. Witnesses will be discouraged from talking about what they have seen, and intimidation may be necessary to ensure their cooperation. If witnesses have already contacted the press, it will be necessary to discredit their stories. This can best be done by the assertion that they have either misinterpreted natural events, are the victims of hysteria or ballucinations, or are the perpetrators of hoaxes.

c. Deceptive Statements. It may become necessary to issue false statements to preserve the security of the site. Meteors, downed satellites, weather balloons, and military aircraft are all acceptable alternatives, although in the case of the downed military aircraft statement care should be exercised not to suggest that the aircraft might be experimental or secret, as this might arouse more curiosity of both the American and the foreign press. Statement issues concerning contamination of the area due to toxic spills from trucks or railroad tankers can also serve to keep unauthorized or undesirable personnel away from the area.

13. Secure the Area

The area must be secured as rapidly as possible to keep unauthorized personnel from infiltrating the site. The officer in charge will set up a perimeter and establish a command post inside the perimeter. Personnel allowed on the site will be kept to the absolute minimum necessary to prepare the craft or debris for transport, and will consist of Military Security Teams.

Local authorities may be pressed into service as traffic and crowd control. Under no circumstances will local official or law enforcement personnel be allowed inside the perimeter and all necessary precautions should be taken to ensure that they do not interfere with the operation.

a. Perimeter. It is desirable that sufficient military personnel be utilized to set up a perimeter around the site large enough to keep both unauthorized personnel and the

MJ-12 4838B

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL LAW

7

P:10



P:11

perimeter personnel from seeing the site. Once the site is contained, regular patrols will be set up along the perimeter to ensure complete security, and electronic surveillance will be utilized to augment the patrols. Perimeter personnel will be equipped with hand communication and automatic weapons with live annunition. Personnel working at the site will carry sidearms. No unauthorized personnel will be allowed into the secured area.

b. Command Post. Ideally, the command post should be as close to the site as is practical to efficiently coordinate operations. As soon as the command post is operational, contact with the Majestic—12 Group will be established via secure communications.

c. Area Sweep. The site and the surrounding area will be cleared of all unauthorized personnel. Witnesses will be debriefed and detained for further evaluation by MJ-12. Under no circumstances will witnesses be released from custody until their stories have been evaluated by MJ-12 and they have been thoroughly debriefed.

d. Situation Evaluation. A preliminary evaluation of the situation will be completed and a preliminary report prepared. The MJ—12 Group will then be briefed on the situation at the earliest possible opportunity. The MJ—12 Group will then make a determination as to whether or not a MJ—12 RED TEAM or OPNAC Team will be dispatched to the area.

Section II. TECHNOLOGY RECOVERY

14. Removal and Transport

As soon as communication is established, removal and transport of all material will commence under order of MJ-12.

a. Documentation. If the situation permits, care should be taken to document the area with photographs before anything is moved. The area will be checked for radiation and other toxic agents. If the area cannot be kept secure for an extended period of time, all material must be packed and transported as quickly as possible to the nearest secure military facility. This will be accomplished by covered transport using little-traveled roads wherever possible.

b. Complete or Functional Craft. Craft are to be approached with extreme caution if they appear functional, as serious injury may result from exposure to radiation and electrical discharges. If the craft is functioning, but appears to be abandoned, it may be approached only by specially trained MI-12 RED TEAM personnel wearing protective clothing. Any craft that appears to be functioning should also be left to MI-12 RED TEAM disposal. Complete craft and parts of crafts too large to be transported by covered transport will be disassembled, if this can be accomplished easily and quickly. If they must be transported whole, or on open flatbed trailers, they will be covered in such a manner as to carnouflage their shape.

c. Extraterrestrial Biological Entities. EBEs must be removed to a top security facility as quickly as possible. Great care should be taken to prevent possible contamination by alien biological agents. Dead EBEs should be packed in ice at the earliest opportunity to preserve tissues. Should live EBEs be encountered, they should be taken into custody and removed to a top security facility by ambulance. Every effort should be taken to ensure the EBEs survival. Personnel involvement with EBEs alive or dead must be kept to an absolute minimum. (See Chapter 5 for more detailed instruction on dealing with EBEs.)

MJ-12 4838B

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL IAW





15. Cleansing the Area

Once all material has been removed from the central area, the surrounding area will be thoroughly inspected to make sure that all traces of Extraterrestrial Technology have been removed. In the case of a crash, the surrounding area will be thoroughly gone over several times to ensure that nothing has been overlooked. The search area involved may vary depending on local conditions, at the discretion of the officer in charge. When the officer in charge is satisfied that no further evidence of the event remains at the site, it may be evacuated.

16. Special or Unusual Circumstances

The possibility exists that extraterrestriat craft may land or crash in heavily populated areas, where security cannot be maintained effectively. Large segments of the population and the public press may witness these craft. Contingency Plan MJ-1949-04P/78 (TOP SECRET * EYES ONLY) should be held in readiness should the need to make a public disclosure become necessary.



17. Extraterrestrial Technology (See Table on next page)

Figure 2. MJ Form 1-007

MJ-12 4838B

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL LAW

| No. | lien | Description or condition | MJ—12 Code | Receiving Facil |
|-----|-------------------------|---|------------|------------------------|
| 1 | Aircraft | Intactact, operational, or semi-intact aircraft of Extraterrestrial design and manufacture. | UA-002-6 | Area 51 5-4 |
| 2 | Intact device | Any mechanical or electroic device or machine which appears to be undamaged and functional. | ID-301-F | Area 51 S-4 |
| 3 | Damaged device | Any mechanical or electronic device or susceine which appears to be damaged but mostly complete. | DD-303N | Area 31 S-4 |
| 4 | Powerplant | Devices and machines or fragments which are possible propulsion units, fuel and associated control devices and panels. | PD-40-8G | Area 51 S-4 |
| 5 | Identified fragments | Fragments composed of elements or materials easily recognized as known to current science and technology, i.e., aluminum, magnesium, plastic, etc. | IF-101-K | Area 51 S-4 |
| 6 | Unidentified fragments | Fragments composed of elements or materials not known to current science and technology and which exhibits unusual or extraordinary characteristics. | UF-103-M | Area 51 S-4 |
| 7 | Supplies and provisions | Non-mechanical or non-electronic materials of a support nature such as clothing, personal belongings, organic ingestibles, etc. | SP-331 | Blue Lab WP-0 |
| 8 | Living entity* | Living non-human organisms in apparent good or reasonable health. | E8E-010 | OPNAC BBS- |
| 9 | Non-living entity | Deceased non-human organisms or portions of organisms, organic remains and other suspect matter. | EBE-XO | Blue Lab WP-1 |
| 10 | Media | Printed matter, electronic recordings, maps, charts, photographs and film. | MM-54A | Building 21 Kl 88 |
| 11 | Weapons | Any device or portion of a device thought to be offensive or defensive weaponry. | WW-010 | Area 51 S-4 |

REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL LAW

P:13

3-FEB-2001 14:50 FROM:U. S. DAY. Sec

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY

.

17.4 1.1.1

3-FEB-2001 14:51

This uncleasified gold to the uncleasible dollar to the uncleasible dollar

TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY

[™]Section 40

18. Packaging and Packing Data

a. Domestic Shipment. Individual items are tagged and wrapped in a moisturevaporproof barrier and heat sealed. They are then placed in a corrugated fiberboard box. The voids within the box are packed thoroughly with a neutral cellulose wadding to prevent movement of the items. The box closure is sealed with gummed Kraft tape. MJ Form 1-007 is placed in a sealed manila envelope marked "MAJIC--12 ACCESS ONLY" and is finnly taped to the top of the box. The box is then cushioned at each corner and at the top and bottom with fiberboard inserts and is placed within a large corrugated fiberboard box. The entire outer box closure is sealed with gummed Kraft tape. A label is affixed to the outer box bearing the following information: destination, shipping code number, and the warning, "MAJIC--12 ACCESS ONLY."

b. Overseas Shipment. Items are packaged as described above except that a dessicant and humidity indicator are included within the inner corrugated fiberboard box. Next, the box is wrapped in a moisture-vaporproof barrier and heat sealed. Then, packaged items are placed within a second waterproof carton sealed with waterproof tape. This second carton is marked "MAJIC-12 ACCESS ONLY" on all sides and is placed within a water-grease-proof lined wooden shipping container. The lining is sealed with waterproof tape and the wooden shipping container is screwed shut. The shipping container is reinforced further by nailing two [3/4]-inch metal caps about 8 inches from each end. Shipping information is then stenciled on the surface of the wooden shipping container.

Note. The packaging and packing procedure detailed above applies to non-organic items only. Data for handling, packaging, packing, and shipping of organic matter and non-living entities is provided in Chapter 5, Section II of this manual.

MJ-12 4838B TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM IS FORBIDDEN BY FEDERAL LAW





This uncleastified copy is for research purposes. Rev 3; 2/2010; Helverics hiseders, Tirres tex TOP SECRET/MAJIC EYES ONLY

operations.

d. The establishment and administration of special secure facilities located at secret locations within the continental borders of the United States for the receiving, processing, analysis, and scientific study of any and all material and entities classified as being of extraterrestrial origin by the Group of the Special Teams.

e. Establishment and administration of covert operation to be carried out in concert with Central Intelligence to effect the recovery for the United States of extraterrestrial technology and entities which may come down inside the territory of or fall into the possession of foreign powers.

f. The establishment and maintenance of absolute top secrecy concerning all the above operations.

5. Current Situation

It is considered as far as the current situation is concerned, that there are few indications that these objects and their builders pose a direct threat to the security of the United States, despite the uncertainty as to their ultimate motives in coming here. Certainly the technology possessed by these beings far surpasses anything known to modern science, yet their presence here seems to be benign, and they seem to be avoiding contact with our species, at least for the present. Several dead entities have been recovered along with a substantial amount of wreckage and devices from downed craft, all of which are now under study at various locations. No attempt has been made by extaterrestrial entities either to contact authorities or to recover their dead counterparts of the downed craft, even though one of the crashes was the result of direct military action. The greatest threat at this time arises from the acquisition and study of such advanced technology by foreign powers unfriendly to the United States. It is for this reason that the recovery and study of this type of material by the United States has been given such a high priority.



Public Records Office Ruskin Avenue Kew Richmond Surrey TW9 4DU

Tel: 020 8876 3444 Fax: 020 8878 8905

With regard to those reports mentioned at (i) to (iii), these are not yet open for public viewing so I will address these individually.

(i) When the Ministry of Defence received Lieutenant Colonal Halt's memorandum concerning the events which are alleged to have occurred at Rendlesham Forest/RAF Woodbridge in December 1980, all available substantiated evidence was looked at in the usual manner by those within the Ministry of Defence and the RAF with responsibility for air defence matters. The judgement was that there was no indication that a breach of the United Kingdom's air defences had occurred on the nights in question. As there was no evidence to substantiate an event of defence concern no further investigation into the matter was necessary. Although a number of allegations have subsequently been made about these reported events, nothing has emerged over the last 20 years which has given us reason to believe that the original assessment made by this Department was incorrect.

(ii) The MOD received several reports about lights seen in various locations and at various times during the early hours of the 31st March 1993. Enquiries were made at the time, but these proved to be inconclusive.

(iii) An airmiss was reported by two British Airways pilots on an aircraft travelling to Manchester Airport on 6 January 1995. As a matter of routine the Ministry of Defence was notified by the Civil Aviation Authority about the pilots' report shortly after the incident occurred. At the time the matter was discussed with Departmental air defence experts who confirmed that they were not aware of anything which would indicate a matter of defence relevance associated with the sighting. Furthermore there was no evidence to suggest that the UK's air defence had been compromised. As is usual with airmiss incidents involving civil aircraft, the CAA Joint Airmiss Working Party (which is a joint Civil/Military body which has complete access to all sources of civil and military information available) investigated the pilots' report. Airmiss Report 2/95 was a result of their findings. The Group were not able to determine precisely what the pilots saw, but ruled out any military aircraft activity. However there is no suggestion in the report that what the pilots observed was extraterrestrial in origin. Without any evidence to suggest that the incident was of defence relevance MOD interest in this sighting has long since concluded.

Finally, I must say that MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.





TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

To DAS(Sec) 3 Ref No 4961 /2001

DAD'S.

Date 18(6(01

The Secretary of State / has received the attached letter from a member of the public. This office has not acknowledged it.

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly; your reply should therefore be sent within 20 working days of receipt in this branch. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 223/99, further information is available from DG Info on extension Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

