THIS FILE IS MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE DECLASSIFICATION EFFORTS AND RESEARCH OF:

THE BLACK VAULT

THE BLACK VAULT IS THE LARGEST ONLINE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT / GOVERNMENT RECORD CLEARING HOUSE IN THE WORLD. THE RESEARCH EFFORTS HERE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DECLASSIFICATION OF THOUSANDS OF DOCUMENTS THROUGHOUT THE U.S. GOVERNMENT, AND ALL CAN BE DOWNLOADED BY VISITING:

HTTP://WWW BLACKVAULT COM

YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO YOUR FRIENDS, BUT PLEASE KEEP THIS IDENTIFYING IMAGE AT THE TOP OF THE .PDF SO OTHERS CAN DOWNLOAD MORE!

SERET SS-9

JOINT MEETING OF
ARMY-NAVY COMMUNICATION INTELLIGENCE BOARD
AND

ARMY-NAVY COMMUNICATION INTELLIGENCE COORDINATING COMMITTEE
15 October 1945

m

4

SUMMARY

Action To Be Taken By Committee Members.

None.

Declassified and approved for release by NSA on 04-07-2010 pursuant to E.O. 12958, as amended. ST56834

Action To Be Taken By Secretariat:

None.

TOP SCINET

CHIEF THE



JOINT MEETING OF ARMY-NAVY COMMUNICATION INTELLIGENCE BOARD AND

ARMY-NAVY COMMUNICATION INTELLIGENCE COORDINATING COMMITTEE 15 October 1945

Members present

ANCIB

Maj General Clayton Bissell Army.

Brig. General W. Preston Corderman*

Captain Robert F. Packard*

Navy: Rear Admiral Joseph R. Redman

Commodore Thomas B. Inglis

Lieutenant John V, Connorton*

ANCICC

Brig. General W. Preston Corderman* Army.

Captain Robert F. Packard*

Navy: Captain J. N. Wenger

Captain P. R. Kinney

Captain W. R. Smedberg, III Lieutenant J. V. Connorton*

*Joint membership

Also present:

GCCS: Sir Edward Travis

Group Captain Eric M. Jones

Mr. F. H. Hinsley

A joint meeting of ANCIR-ANCICC and representatives from GCCS was held at 1500 on 15 October 1945 in the office of Rear Admiral Joseph R. Redman, Chairman, ANCIB. The meeting was called for a discussion of Anglo-American collaboration in communication intelligence.

Purpose of this Meeting.

Rear Admiral Redman introduced Sir Edward Travis, Group Captain Jones, and Mr. Hinsley, stating that the meeting had been



THE SECRET

EO 1.4.(d)

called to discuss with British representatives the nature and implementation of any steps which might be taken toward future Anglo-American collaboration in communication intelligence.

Proposals Regarding Complete Anglo-American Collaboration in Communication Intelligence.

Sir Edward Travis reviewed in brief the history and development of Anglo-American collaboration in communication intelligence as initiated in 1940, and most recently extended He stated that, prior to to include collaboration on the end of the war, the British had established a unit to underand that the British Chiefs of Staff had take work on subsequently approved collaboration with the United States on this project. He felt that progress on will be slow at best, but that it can be facilitated considerably by continued emphasis upon full collaboration. Feeling that such Anglo-American collaboration as has existed in the various branches of communication intelligence has been beneficial to both parties, ho urged that complete collaboration in all branches of communication intelligence be carefully considered for the future. He felt that this would be particularly desirable from the technical point of view. Prior to this visit to the United States, approval had been secured from the British Chiefs of Staff to discuss and implement complete Anglo-American collaboration in communication intelligence. Defining the most desirable type of collaboration to be achieved as a "partnership," he stressed the fact that the field of communication intelligence is not readily adaptable to the separation of its several branches and that any cooperative effort will be severely weakened by any limitations to full collaboration. He recommended that complete partnership with mutual access to work in all branches of communication intelligence and on all tasks be accepted as a basic principle for cooperation. He indicated that there might be specific tasks regarded by either party as purely "domestic" problems and that such tasks might wisely be reserved as exceptions to the partnership. However, such exceptions must be mutually agreed upon. In answer to a query by General Bissell as to whether his directive enabled him to discuss complete Anglo-American collaboration in communication intelligence without reservation, Sir Edward Travis stated that, if there were to be any reservations, they would be "open reservations" subject to the knowledge and agreement of both parties.

THE SECTED AS

EO 1.4.(c) EO 1.4.(d)

General Bissell requested the views of Sir Edward Travis as to what conditions, if any, might control the termination of an Agreement such as he had proposed. Sir Edward Travis stated that such an Agreement could not be so concluded as to be permanently binding. He was in agreement with the idea of General Bissell that such an Agreement should be continued only so long as it is advantageous to both parties.

Making reference to Sir Edward Travis' mention of "domestic"
problems which might constitute exceptions to an over-all Agreement
General Bissell asked that such problems be more clearly defined.
Sir Edward Travis cited as possible examples of such exceptions
problems which might develop relative to and
would therefore be purely British matters or problems relative
to the which would be the unique concern of the
United States. It was his feeling that no such exceptions
should be considered to be in effect at the present time and
that, if considered advisable, they should be raised independently
by either party when necessary. Problems involving third parties
or matters not uniquely British or American could not be con-
sidered "domestic" issues and would not constitute exceptions to
the over-all Agreement. General Bissell emphasized his feeling
that if an agreement on over-all collaboration is reached, reserva-
tions should be held at a minimum in order not to establish such
a precedent for future action. He felt that they would only
weaken the principle of complete partnership and might be a
source of suspicion between the parties to the Agreement. As
a matter of over-all world strategy, both the British and Americans
must consider all nations
as potential enemies subject to C.I.
activity In line with this
policy complete cooperation and exchange must be maintained re-
garding all sources of C. T. information other than those directly
controlled Sir Edward
Travis indicated complete agreement with the position taken by
General Bissell.

U.S -British Participation in the Economic Field.

Commodore Inglis raised the question as to the extent to which British and American participation in the economic field would be allowed under the proposed Agreement. Pointing out that ANCIB, representing the United States War and Navy Departments, is directly responsible only for C. I. activity in the military and naval field and is therefore limited in the extent



TOP SECRET

to which it can project its control into the economic field, he indicated his understanding that British communication intelligence activity might extend more deeply into the economic field. The question of a balance between United States limitations and the extent of British participation in C. I. activity in the economic field must be resolved in the preparation of the over-all Agreement. As concerns this problem Sir Edward Travis indicated that such British C. I. effort as is directed toward the commercial field will be part of broader efforts directed against future military and political enemies. Within the proposed Agreement active work on commercial ciphers should be by mutual consent only. Commodore Inglis indicated that he was primarily concerned with the dissemination of economic information from ULTRA sources. The situation of ANCIB and the relationship of United States government agencies to American commercial organizations is such that ANCIB could not agree to any procedure for dissemination which would make ULTRA information available to British commercial concerns through governmental or semigovernmental channels. Sir Edward Travis stated that American protection in this matter will be guaranteed by the fact that the proposed Agreement can be terminated by either party at/any time. It is not in the nature of the partnership, as he conceives it, that one member will disseminate the result of joint efforts without the consent of the other party.

Participation of British Dominions in Proposed Agreement.

Commodore Inglis raised the question of British Dominion participation in the proposed Agreement, indicating that this problem must be thoroughly discussed and a joint policy defined prior to the conclusion of any Anglo-American collaboration Agreement. He suggested that this problem divides itself into three phases: (1) the collection and exchange of traffic; (2) control over the dissemination of the decrypted product, and (3) the extent to which the Dominions should participate in joint cryptanalytic activity. He cited Canadian activity as a case in point. Sir Edward Travis indicated that it would be necessary to consider each Dominion separately, feeling that must of necessity be included to some extent Canada | within the scope of the Agreement and that Australia should probably be included. He is not at present advised as to the likely extent of Australia participation. The Dominions must rcceive ULTRA information which is relative and vital to their security. Referring to Canada, he indicated that the exclusion of Canada from the proposed Agreement would be embarrassing to





all concerned. All members present were agreed that, with proper
control, Dominions should be included within the scope of the
Agreement. Stating that the United States must be apprised of
ULTRA dissemination to the Dominions, General Bissell asked Sir
Edward Travis whether the would expect to provide ULTRA
information to those
which might be used as bases for intercept activity. In reply.
Sir Edward Travis indicated that
would not be provided ULTRA information in return
for the use of their areas as intercept bases. The only ULTRA
information to be disseminated within these areas will be that
which is of immediate tactical importance. Such dissemination
will be made only to local military commanders under complete
General Bissell was in agreement with this
policy as expressed.

Dissemination of ULTRA Information.

Admiral Redman raised the question of the extent to which ULTRA information will be distributed throughout the British Emplre, placing particular emphasis upon procedures established for the administrative handling of this distribution. his feeling that it will be difficult to place any specific limitation on the extent of technical work within or between the military, naval, commercial fields. Control over security and the extent of C. I. activity will of necessity be effected through control of dissemination. Inasmuch as both British and United States ULTRA dissemination will be largely interrelated, he felt that this question must be thoroughly discussed and included within the scope of the proposed Agreement. Sir Edward Travis stated that the British representatives have brought with them suggested changes for security regulations based on the proposition that ULTRA dissemination must be more limited and controlled in the future than has been the wartime practice.

The question of straightening out and defining liaison, channels to be effected under the proposed Agreement was brought up by Captain Wenger. He was in agreement with the statement of Captain Smedberg that such tacit Agreements as had existed during the war concerning the dissemination of ULTRA information should be replaced by formal written Agreements in the future. There ensued a discussion about the various wartime situations in which ULTRA information had been provided to unauthorized recipients without the official knowledge of or exercise of satisfactory control by United States and British communication

OGA EO 1.4.(b)



- TOP SECRET SING

intelligence organizations. Mentioning various cases where the Office of Strategic Service's and the Office of War Information hed obtained ULTRA information in London through British channels. General Bissell indicated his feeling that this was largely due to the unstable U.S. administrative setup in Washington and To this extent he felt that the American Government should be considered responsible for these leaks and must be held responsible to exercise greater administrative control in the future. He indicated further that these situations had developed due to the pressing need for unusually broad ULTRA dissemination during the war years, a situation which would not likely exist in the future. Agreeing with Captain Wenger that future liaison channels must be limited and clearly defined, he wished to reemphasize the good faith of both parties as regards these matters in the future. Stating that ANCIB is in a position to control all dissemination of ULTRA information through United States channels, Admiral Redman asked Sir Edward Travis whether the London Sigint Board is in a position to effect such control over British dissemination. Sir Edward Travis stated that the London Sigint Board, through its complete control over the initial dissemination of ULTRA, exercises control over all WETRA EO 1.4.(c) dissemination in any form. EO 1.4.(d)

Exchange of Collateral Information.

Captain Wenger requested the views of the British/representatives and committee members as to the advisability of agreement concerning the exchange of collateral information. He defined collateral information as and all other related material not derived from ULTRA itself which is useful as technical information for analysts and as allied intelligence for those engaged in the use, evaluation, and dissemination of intelligence. In answer to General Bissell's query as to the extent to which the British would propose to share their ULTRA intelligence product, Sir Edward Travis indicated his feeling that the British would propose to provide the United States with the purely (factual) ULTRA product itself. Evaluation of this material is conducted by various ministries in the British Government and their product will not be completely available. He stated that he was not authorized to speak for the policy of these ministries as regards dissemination of their intelligence product nor for British naval intelligence as regards their exchange of collateral information with United States naval authorities. Such agreements must be made separately. is his understanding that discussion between British and American



TOP SERET ------

Exchange of Traffic.

General Corderman asked Sir Edward Travis about the extent to which the British would propose to exchange traffic. Sir Edward Travis stated that he had contemplated a complete exchange, indicating that he was aware of the United States, position as regards its ability to guarantee the continued procurement of such traffic.

The Security of Sources of Communication Intelligence as Affected by the Congressional Investigation of the Pearl Harbor Incident.

Stating that he was anxious for the British to be fully apprised of procedures being followed by the Navy to protect the sources of communication intelligence involved in the Pearl Harbor investigation, Captain Smedberg outlined the present naval policy on this matter. The Navy is making all necessary ULTRA materials available to the legal Counsel of the Congressional Investigating Committee. The Counsel has been briefed as to the nature of this material and the importance of preserving its security. He has indicated that he will take all possible steps to prevent the disclosure of the sources of this material. Captain Smedberg stated that every possible effort is being made by the Navy Department to protect our C. I. activities.

Procedures to Implement Discussion of the Proposed Agreement.

Admiral Redman closed the discussion by proposing that ANCICC be directed to prepare a draft Agreement for study and approval by ANCIB. He stated that the draft should be in sufficient detail and affirmed the statement of General Bissell directing that any problems of a policy nature should be promptly referred to ANCIB. In answer to General Corderman's question as to whether ANCICC should prepare its draft proposal on the premise of complete Anglo-American collaboration in communication intelligence

EO 1.4.(c)

EO 1.4.(d)

EO 1.4.(b)



activities, he stated that complete coordination is the general policy of ANCIB. Sir Edward Travis requested that the British representatives be allowed to participate in the drafting of the proposed Agreement, and it was arranged that Mr. Hinsley would prepare a draft to be presented for discussion at a joint meeting of ANCICC and British representatives to be held the following day.

Adjournment.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

John V. Connorton Robert F. Packard Secretariat, ANCIB-ANCICC

